ASA 2016 February 25, 2016 Melbourne, Australia Surveillance of Ceftolozane/Tazobactam **Antimicrobial Activity Tested Against Contemporary Gram-Negative Organisms** and Streptococcus pneumoniae **Isolated in Australia**

Nimmo GR¹; Sader HS²; Streit JM²; Farrell DJ²

¹Pathology Queensland Central Laboratory, Brisbane, QLD, Australia; ²JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA, USA

Amended Abstract

Objectives: Ceftolozane/tazobactam (TOL/TAZ) was approved by the Therapeutic Goods Administration in November 2015 for the treatment of adult patients with complicated intra-abdominal infections (in combination with metronidazole), acute pyelonephritis, and complicated urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Proteus mirabilis, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. TOL/TAZ is currently in clinical development in patients with ventilator-associated bacterial pneumonia. The Program to Assess Ceftolozane/Tazobactam Susceptibility (PACTS), a global surveillance program in which methods for isolate collection, centralized reporting, and analysis have been standardized, evaluates the in vitro activity of ceftolozane/tazobactam. **Methods**: In 2013 and 2014, a total of 1573 Gram-negative, nonduplicate, clinical isolates were collected from hospitalized patients in 8 medical centers in 5 Australian cities (n centers): Sydney (3), Adelaide (2), Brisbane (1), Melbourne (1), and Perth (1). In addition, 74 Streptococcus pneumoniae isolates were collected from these sites in 2014 only. Isolates were tested for antibacterial susceptibility (S) by broth microdilution, and extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) phenotype was determined per Clinical Laboratory and Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines. CLSI breakpoints were used to determine % S. **Results**: Against 249 isolates of *P. aeruginosa*, TOL/TAZ (93.6% S) was the most active β -lactam agent tested and demonstrated greater activity than piperacillin-tazobactam (PIP/TAZ, 76.7% S), ceftazidime (CAZ, 79.9%) S), cefepime (88.4% S), and meropenem (MER, 91.2% S). Also against P. aeruginosa, except for colistin (98.6% S), TOL/TAZ was the most active agent tested, compared with amikacin (93.1% S), gentamicin (90% S), and levofloxacin (84.3% S). Against 523 Enterobacteriaceae (ENT), TOL/TAZ was more active (97.1% S) than PIP/TAZ (93.5% S) and CAZ (92.9% S) but less active than MER (99.6% S, Table). TOL/TAZ was very active against all ESBL-phenotype *E. coli* (n = 27, 10.7% of isolates, 100.0% S) and most of the 7 K. pneumoniae ESBL-phenotype strains (7.1% of isolates, 71.4% S). TOL/TAZ in vitro activity against S. pneumoniae (MIC_{50/90}, 0.12/8 µg/mL), as with other β -lactam agents tested, varied according to S. pneumoniae susceptibility to penicillin (PEN).

Materials and Methods

Sampling sites and organisms

- Enterobacteriaceae, *P. aeruginosa*, and *S. pneumoniae* isolates were consecutively collected in 2013 and 2014 from 8 medical centers in Australia by the Program to Assess Ceftolozane/Tazobactam Susceptibility (PACTS)
- 8 medical centers in 5 Australian cities participated: Sydney (3), Adelaide (2), Brisbane (1), Melbourne (1), and Perth (1). In addition, 74 S. pneumoniae isolates were collected from these sites in 2014 only
- All organisms were isolated from documented infections, and

Table 2. Activity of ceftolozane/tazobactam and comparator antimicrobial agents when tested against bacterial isolates from Australia (2013-2014)

Organisms (no. tested)/	MIC µg/mL		% S / % I / % R				
Antimicrobial Agent	MIC ₅₀ MIC ₉₀		CLSI [†]	EUCAST [†]			
All Enterobacteriaceae (523) [‡] Ceftolozane/tazobactam Ceftazidime Cefepime Cefepime Ceftriaxone Piperacillin/tazobactam Meropenem Levofloxacin Gentamicin Tigecycline [¶] Colistin	0.25 0.25 ≤0.5 ≤0.06 2 ≤0.06 ≤0.12 ≤1 0.12 ≤0.5	1 1 ≤0.5 2 8 ≤0.06 0.5 2 0.5 ≥8	$\begin{array}{r} 97.1 / 0.6 / 2.3 \\ 92.9 / 0.8 / 6.3 \\ 95.0 / 1.7 / 3.3 \\ 89.3 / 1.3 / 9.4 \\ 93.5 / 3.3 / 3.3 \\ 99.6 / 0.2 / 0.2 \\ 94.0 / 0.4 / 5.6 \\ 94.1 / 0.6 / 5.4 \\ 99.6 / 0.4 / 0.0 \\ - / - / - \end{array}$	94.6 / [§] / 5.4 90.2 / 2.7 / 7.1 93.9 / 1.9 / 4.2 89.3 / 1.3 / 9.4 90.6 / 2.9 / 6.5 99.8 / 0.0 / 0.2 93.9 / 0.2 / 5.9 93.5 / 0.6 / 5.9 98.1 / 1.5 / 0.4 87.2 / - / 12.8			
E. coli (253) Ceftolozane/tazobactam Ceftazidime Cefepime Cefepime Ceftriaxone Piperacillin/tazobactam Meropenem Levofloxacin Gentamicin Tigecycline [¶] Colistin	0.25 ≤0.12 ≤0.5 ≤0.06 2 ≤0.06 ≤0.12 ≤1 0.06 ≤0.5	0.25 0.5 ≤0.5 0.5 8 ≤0.06 1 2 0.12 ≤0.5	$\begin{array}{r} 100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0 \\ 95.7 / 1.2 / 3.2 \\ 93.3 / 2.4 / 4.3 \\ 91.7 / 0.0 / 8.3 \\ 96.4 / 1.2 / 2.4 \\ 100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0 \\ 90.1 / 0.0 / 0.0 \\ 90.1 / 0.0 / 9.9 \\ 91.3 / 0.4 / 8.3 \\ 100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0 \\ - / - / - \end{array}$	99.6 / - / 0.4 92.1 / 3.6 / 4.3 92.1 / 1.6 / 6.3 91.7 / 0.0 / 8.3 94.1 / 2.4 / 3.6 100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0 90.1 / 0.0 / 9.9 90.5 / 0.8 / 8.7 100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0 100.0 / - / 0.0			
K. pneumoniae (99) Ceftolozane/tazobactam Ceftazidime Cefepime Ceftriaxone Piperacillin/tazobactam Meropenem Levofloxacin Gentamicin Tigecycline [¶] Colistin	0.25 ≤0.12 ≤0.5 ≤0.06 4 ≤0.06 ≤0.12 ≤1 0.25 ≤0.5	0.5 0.5 ≤0.5 0.12 8 ≤0.06 0.5 ≤1 0.5 1	$\begin{array}{r} 98.0 / 0.0 / 2.0 \\ 96.0 / 0.0 / 4.0 \\ 98.0 / 0.0 / 2.0 \\ 94.9 / 1.0 / 4.0 \\ 96.0 / 0.0 / 4.0 \\ 99.0 / 0.0 / 4.0 \\ 99.0 / 0.0 / 1.0 \\ 98.0 / 0.0 / 2.0 \\ 98.0 / 1.0 / 1.0 \\ 100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0 \\ - / - / - \end{array}$	96.0 / - / 4.0 92.9 / 3.0 / 4.0 98.0 / 0.0 / 2.0 94.9 / 1.0 / 4.0 92.9 / 3.0 / 4.0 99.0 / 0.0 / 1.0 97.0 / 1.0 / 2.0 98.0 / 0.0 / 2.0 97.0 / 3.0 / 0.0 100.0 / - / 0.0			
K. oxytoca (35) Ceftolozane/tazobactam Ceftazidime Cefepime Ceftriaxone Piperacillin/tazobactam Meropenem Levofloxacin Gentamicin Tigecycline [¶] Colistin	0.25 ≤0.12 ≤0.5 ≤0.06 2 ≤0.06 ≤0.12 ≤1 0.25 ≤0.5	1 0.5 ≤0.5 2 16 ≤0.06 ≤0.12 ≤1 0.25 ≤0.5	$ \begin{array}{r} 100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0 \\ 97.1 / 0.0 / 2.9 \\ 97.1 / 0.0 / 2.9 \\ 85.7 / 5.7 / 8.6 \\ 94.3 / 0.0 / 5.7 \\ 100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0 \\ 100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0 \\ 97.1 / 0.0 / 2.9 \\ 100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0 \\ - / - / - \\ \end{array} $	91.4 / - / 8.6 97.1 / 0.0 / 2.9 94.3 / 2.9 / 2.9 85.7 / 5.7 / 8.6 88.6 / 5.7 / 5.7 100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0 97.1 / 0.0 / 0.0 97.1 / 0.0 / 2.9 100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0 100.0 / - / 0.0			
E. cloacae (46) Ceftolozane/tazobactam Ceftazidime Cefepime Ceftriaxone Piperacillin/tazobactam Meropenem Levofloxacin Gentamicin Tigecycline [¶] Colistin	0.5 0.25 ≤0.5 0.25 2 ≤0.06 ≤0.12 ≤1 0.25 ≤0.5	8 >16 4 >8 64 0.12 0.5 4 0.5 >8	84.8 / 2.2 / 13.0 $78.3 / 0.0 / 21.7$ $89.1 / 6.5 / 4.3$ $76.1 / 2.2 / 21.7$ $78.3 / 15.2 / 6.5$ $97.8 / 2.2 / 0.0$ $98.0 / 0.0 / 2.0$ $91.3 / 0.0 / 8.7$ $97.8 / 2.2 / 0.0$ $- / - / -$	82.6 / - / 17.4 78.3 / 0.0 / 21.7 87.0 / 8.7 / 4.3 76.1 / 2.2 / 21.7 78.3 / 0.0 / 21.7 100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0 97.0 / 1.0 / 2.0 89.1 / 2.2 / 8.7 97.8 / 0.0 / 2.2 73.9 / - / 26.1			
P. mirabilis (13)Ceftolozane/tazobactamCeftazidimeCeftpimeCefepimeCeftriaxonePiperacillin/tazobactamMeropenemLevofloxacinGentamicinTigecycline¶ColistinP. aeruginosa (249)	0.5 ≤0.12 ≤0.5 ≤0.06 ≤0.5 0.06 ≤0.12 ≤1 1 >8	0.5 ≤0.12 ≤0.5 ≤0.06 ≤0.5 0.12 0.25 ≤1 2 >8	$\begin{array}{c} 100.0 \ / \ 0.0 \ / \ 0.0 \\ 100.0 \ / \ 0.0 \ / \ 0.0 \\ 100.0 \ / \ 0.0 \ / \ 0.0 \\ 100.0 \ / \ 0.0 \ / \ 0.0 \\ 100.0 \ / \ 0.0 \ / \ 0.0 \\ 100.0 \ / \ 0.0 \ / \ 0.0 \\ 100.0 \ / \ 0.0 \ / \ 0.0 \\ 100.0 \ / \ 0.0 \ / \ 0.0 \\ 100.0 \ / \ 0.0 \ / \ 0.0 \\ 100.0 \ / \ 0.0 \ / \ 0.0 \\ 100.0 \ / \ 0.0 \ / \ 0.0 \\ \end{array}$	100.0 / - / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0 76.9 / 23.1 / 0.0 0.0 / - / 100.0			
Ceftolozane/tazobactam Ceftazidime Cefepime Meropenem Piperacillin/tazobactam Levofloxacin Gentamicin Amikacin Colistin S. pneumoniae (74)	1 4 2 0.25 8 0.5 ≤1 2 1	4 >16 16 2 >64 44 8 8 8 2	93.6 / 4.0 / 2.4 79.9 / 8.0 / 12.0 88.4 / 3.6 / 8.0 91.2 / 3.6 / 5.2 76.7 / 12.0 / 11.2 84.3 / 7.6 / 8.0 90.0 / 2.4 / 7.6 93.1 / 2.6 / 4.3 98.6 / 0.4 / 0.0	93.6 / - / 6.4 79.9 / - / 20.1 88.4 / - / 11.6 91.2 / 5.6 / 3.2 76.7 / - / 23.3 74.3 / 10.0 / 15.7 90.0 / - / 10.0 92.2 / 0.9 / 6.9 100.0 / - / 0.0			
Ceftolozane/tazobactam Amoxicillin/clavulanate Penicillin ^{††} Penicillin ^{‡‡} Ceftriaxone ^{§§} Imipenem Erythromycin Levofloxacin Tetracycline Tigecycline [¶] Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole Linezolid	0.12 ≤1 ≤0.06 ≤0.06 ≤0.12 ≤0.12 1 ≤0.5 0.03 ≤0.5 0.5	8 4 2 2 1 0.5 >16 2 >8 0.03 >4 1	-/-/- 89.2/1.4/9.5 68.9/17.6/13.5 91.9/8.1/0.0 91.9/8.1/0.0 85.1/14.9/0.0 70.3/0.0/29.7 95.9/0.0/4.1 71.6/0.0/28.4 100.0/-/- 64.9/6.8/28.4 100.0/-/-	$\begin{array}{r} -/-/- \\ -/-/- \\ 68.9/-/31.1 \\ 68.9/23.0/8.1 \\ 82.4/17.6/0.0 \\ 100.0/0.0/0.0 \\ 100.0/0.0/29.7 \\ 95.9/-/4.1 \\ 71.6/0.0/28.4 \\ -/-/- \\ 71.6/0.0/28.4 \\ 100.0/0.0/0.0 \end{array}$			
Vancomycin CLSI = Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute: E	0.25	0.5	100.0 / - / -	100.0 / - / 0.0			

Conclusion: PACTS surveillance showed that TOL/TAZ demonstrated good activity against *P. aeruginosa* (including CAZ- and MEM-resistant strains), ENT (including most ESBL strains), and penicillin-susceptible S. pneumoniae isolated from patients in Australian hospitals during 2013 and 2014.

	TOL/TAZ	PIP/TAZ	CAZ	MER MIC _{50/90} / % S [†]	
Organism or phenotype (n)	MIC _{50/90} / % S [†]	MIC _{50/90} / % S [†]	MIC _{50/90} / % S [†]		
Enterobacteriaceae (523)	0.25 / 1 / 97.1	2 / 8 / 93.5	0.25 / 1 / 92.9	≤0.06 / ≤0.06 / 99.6	
E. coli (253)	0.25 / 0.25 / 100.0	2 / 8 / 96.4	≤0.12 / 0.5 / 95.7	≤0.06 / ≤0.06 / 100.0	
ESBL-phenotype (27)	0.5 / 1 / 100.0	8 / 64 / 85.2	4 / >16 / 59.3	≤0.06 / ≤0.06 / 100.0	
K. pneumoniae (99)	0.25 / 0.5 / 98.0	4 / 8 / 96.0	≤0.12 / 0.5 / 96.0	≤0.06 / ≤0.06 / 99.0	
ESBL-phenotype (7)	0.5 / _‡ / 71.4	>64 / _‡ / 42.9	16 / _ [‡] / 42.9	≤0.06 / _‡ / 85.7	
K. oxytoca (35)	0.25 / 1 / 100.0	2 / 16 / 94.3	≤0.12 / 0.5 / 97.1	≤0.06 / ≤0.06 / 100.0	
E. cloacae (46)	0.5 / 8 / 84.8	2 / 64 / 78.3	0.25 / >16 / 78.3	≤0.06 / 0.12 / 97.8	
P. mirabilis (13)	0.5 / 0.5 / 100.0	≤0.5 / ≤0.5 / 100.0	≤0.12 / ≤0.12 / 100.0	0.06 / 0.12 / 100.0	
P. aeruginosa (249)	1 / 4 / 93.6	8 / >64 / 76.7	4 / >16 / 79.9	0.25 / 2 / 91.2	

only 1 strain per patient-infection episode was included in the surveillance collection

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

- Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values for ceftolozane/tazobactam (tazobactam fixed at 4 µg/mL) and comparator agents were determined using the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) broth microdilution reference method (M07-A10)
- Quality control ranges and interpretive criteria for comparator compounds used the CLSI M100-S26 and 2016 European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing version 6.0 (EUCAST v6.0) guidelines
- The ESBL phenotype was defined as an MIC of $\geq 2 \mu g/mL$ for ceftazidime or ceftriaxone or aztreonam

Results

- Against 249 isolates of *P. aeruginosa*, ceftolozane/tazobactam (MIC_{50/90}, 1/4 µg/mL; 93.6% susceptible [S]) was the most active β-lactam agent tested and demonstrated greater activity than piperacillin/tazobactam (76.7% S), ceftazidime (79.9% S), cefepime (88.4% S), and meropenem (91.2% S; **Tables 1 and 2**)
- Except for colistin (98.6% S), ceftolozane/tazobactam was the most active agent tested against *P. aeruginosa* compared with amikacin (93.1% S), gentamicin (90.0% S), and levofloxacin (84.3% S; **Table 2**)
- 20.1% of the *P. aeruginosa* strains were ceftazidime nonsusceptible, and 68.0% of these ceftazidime-nonsusceptible strains were susceptible to ceftolozane/tazobactam

[‡]Insufficient data to determine MIC_{oo}

Introduction

- Ceftolozane, a novel oxyiminoaminothiazolyl cephalosporin with potent activity against Enterobacteriaceae (similar to other oxyiminoaminothiazolyl cephalosporins), has shown greater activity than ceftazidime against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
- Ceftolozane has stability against many *P. aeruginosa* resistance mechanisms, including ampicillin C (AmpC) hyperproduction and efflux mechanisms; furthermore, ceftolozane is little affected by porin deficiency. However, as with other oxyiminoaminothiazoly cephalosporins, ceftolozane activity is compromised in bacteria that produce extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs), stably derepressed AmpC β -lactamases, and carbapenemases
- Tazobactam, a penicillanic acid sulfone, is a well-established β -lactamase inhibitor that extends the spectrum of β -lactam agents
- Ceftolozane/tazobactam is a novel antibacterial with activity against *P. aeruginosa*, including drug-resistant strains and other common Gram-negative pathogens, including most ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae

- 8.8% of *P. aeruginosa* strains were meropenem nonsusceptible, and the ceftolozane/tazobactam susceptibility rate against these strains was 63.6% (**Table 1**)
- Ceftolozane/tazobactam was very active (MIC_{50/90}, 0.25/1 µg/mL; Table 1) against 523 Enterobacteriaceae, with CLSI/EUCAST breakpoint susceptibility rates of 97.1%/94.6% (Table 2)
- Meropenem (99.6% [CLSI]/99.8% S [EUCAST]; MIC₅₀, ≤0.06 µg/mL) was the most active agent tested against Enterobacteriaceae (Table 2)
- ESBL phenotype represented 10.7% (27 of 253) of *E. coli* isolates, and 100.0%/96.3% (CLSI/EUCAST) of these isolates were susceptible to ceftolozane/tazobactam (**Table 1**)
- ESBL phenotype represented 7.1% (7 of 99) of K. pneumoniae isolates and 71.4%/57.1% (CLSI/EUCAST) of these isolates were susceptible to ceftolozane/tazobactam (**Table 1**)
- Ceftolozane/tazobactam showed good activity against Enterobacter *cloacae* (MIC_{50/90}, 0.5/8 μg/mL; 84.8% [CLSI]/82.6% [EUCAST] S), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (MIC_{50/90}, 0.25/1 µg/mL; 100.0%/91.4% S), and *Proteus mirabilis* (MIC_{50/90}, 0.5/0.5 µg/mL; 100.0% S; **Table 2**)
- Ceftolozane/tazobactam in vitro activity (MIC_{50/90}, 0.12/8 µg/mL), as with other β -lactams, varied according to S. pneumoniae susceptibility to penicillin (**Tables 1 and 2**)

Table 1. Activity and cumulative % distribution of ceftolozane/tazobactam tested against bacterial isolates from Australia (2013-2014)

	Cumulative % Inhibited at Ceftolozane/ Tazobactam MIC, μg/mL						MIC _{50/90}		
Organism (No.)	≤0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	>16	µg/mL
Enterobacteriaceae (523)	70.9	87.6	94.6	<u>97.1</u>	97.7	98.3	99.0	100.0	0.25 / 1
<i>E. coli</i> (253)	91.3	98.0	99.6	<u>100.0</u>					0.25 / 0.25
<i>E. coli-</i> ESBL (27)	48.1	85.2	96.3	<u>100.0</u>					0.5 / 1
K. pneumoniae (99)	72.7	91.9	96.0	<u>98.0</u>	98.0	98.0	99.0	100.0	0.25 / 0.5
K. pneumoniae-ESBL (7)	28.6	57.1	57.1	<u>71.4</u>	71.4	71.4	85.7	100.0	0.5 / —
K. oxytoca (35)	80.0	88.6	91.4	<u>100.0</u>					0.25 / 1
E. cloacae (46)	41.3	69.6	82.6	<u>84.8</u>	87.0	91.3	91.3	100.0	0.5 / 8
P. mirabilis (13)	15.4	<u>100.0</u>							0.5 / 0.5
P. aeruginosa (249)	5.6	43.0	84.3	88.8	<u>93.6</u>	97.6	98.0	100.0	1 / 4
P. aeruginosa-CAZ-NS (50)	0.0	8.0	32.0	44.0	<u>68.0</u>	88.0	90.0	100.0	4 / 16
P. aeruginosa-MER-NS (22)	0.0	0.0	31.8	40.9	<u>63.6</u>	77.3	81.8	100.0	4 / >32
S. pneumoniae (74)	64.9	67.6	70.3	73.0	82.4	90.5	100.0		0.12 / 8

CLSI = Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; EUCAST = European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; I = intermediate; MIC_{50} = minimum inhibitory concentration to inhibit growth of 50% of isolates; MIC_{90} = minimum inhibitory concentration to inhibit growth of 90% of isolates; no. = number; R = resistant; S = susceptible.

[†]Criteria as published by CLSI (2015) and EUCAST (2015)

[‡]Includes Citrobacter freundii (5), C. freundii species complex (1), C. koseri (7), Enterobacter aerogenes (16), Enterobacter asburiae (3), E. cloacae (46), E. cloacae species complex (1), Escherichia coli (253), K. oxytoca (35), K. pneumoniae (99), Leclercia adecarboxylata (1), Morganella morganii (4), P. mirabilis (13), Proteus vulgaris (1), Serratia liquefaciens (1), Serratia marcescens (35), unspeciated Salmonella (2).

[§]Dash indicates that no breakpoint was available for interpretation.

[¶]In the absence of CLSI breakpoints, FDA breakpoints were applied when available (Tygacil [package insert]. Philadelphia, PA:

- In the past decade, the number of nosocomial infections caused by *P*. aeruginosa and Enterobacteriaceae in intensive care units worldwide increased, along with antimicrobial resistance, with associated increases in morbidity and mortality rates
- Empirical and targeted therapies to treat infections caused by these organisms are becoming increasingly limited
- Ceftolozane/tazobactam was approved by the Therapeutic Goods Administration in November 2015 for the treatment of adult patients with complicated intra-abdominal infections (in combination with metronidazole), acute pyelonephritis, and complicated urinary tract infections caused by Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Proteus mirabilis, and P. aeruginosa
- Ceftolozane/tazobactam is in clinical development in patients with ventilator-associated bacterial pneumonia
- In the current study, we evaluated the potency of ceftolozane/tazobactam and comparator drugs against a large, contemporary (2013-2014) collection of clinically derived Enterobacteriaceae, *P. aeruginosa*, and *Streptococcus* pneumoniae obtained from patients in Australian hospitals

Contact Information: Graeme R. Nimmo, MD, FRCPA Pathology Queensland Central Laboratory

Brisbane, QLD 4029, Australia

Graeme.Nimmo@health.qld.gov.au

CAZ = ceftazidime; ESBL = extended-spectrum β -lactamase; MER = meropenem; NS = nonsusceptible. Bold values represent % susceptible by EUCAST breakpoints and underlined values represent % susceptible by CLSI/FDA breakpoints. There are no EUCAST or CLSI/FDA breakpoints for S. pneumoniae.

References

- . Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. MU/-A10: methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard tenth edition. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2015.
- 2. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. M100-S26: performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: twenty-six informational supplement. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2016.
- 3. Craig WA, Andes DR. In vivo activities of ceftolozane, a new cephalosporin, with and without tazobactam against Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Enterobacteriaceae, including strains with extended-spectrum β-lactamases, in the thighs of neutropenic mice. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(4):1577-1582.
- 4. The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 6.0, 2016. http://www.eucast.org/clinical breakpoints. Accessed January 1, 2016.
- 5. Farrell DJ, Flamm RK, Sader HS, Jones RN. Antimicrobial activity of ceftolozane-tazobactam tested against Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa with various resistance patterns isolated in U.S. hospitals (2011-2012). Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(12):6305-6310.
- 6. Sader HS, Farrell DJ, Castanheira M, Flamm RK, Jones RN. Antimicrobial activity of ceftolozane/tazobactam tested against Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Enterobacteriaceae with various resistance patterns isolated in European hospitals (2011-12). J Antimicrob Chemother. 2014;69(10):2713-2722.
- Skalweit MJ. Profile of ceftolozane/tazobactam and its potential in the treatment of complicated intra-abdominal infections. Drug Des Devel Ther. 2015;9:2919-2925.

Wyeth Pharmaceuticals Inc.; December 2014). ⁺⁺Oral penicillin V breakpoints were used. ^{‡‡}CLSI parenteral (nonmeningitis) and EUCAST "infections other than meningitis" breakpoints used. §§Nonmeningitis breakpoints used.

Conclusions

- In 2013-2014, ceftolozane/tazobactam showed high potency against contemporary *P. aeruginosa* isolates (including many ceftazidime- and meropenem-nonsusceptible strains) consecutively collected from 8 medical centers in 5 Australian cities
- Ceftolozane/tazobactam showed good activity when tested against Enterobacteriaceae, including ESBL strains, isolated from patients from Australian hospitals during the period 2013-2014
- Ceftolozane/tazobactam in vitro activity, as with other β -lactams, varied according to S. pneumoniae susceptibility to penicillin

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge Narrelle George of Royal Brisbane Hospitals, Herston, Australia for her assistance with this research. Funding for this research was provided by Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA. Editorial assistance was provided by Meryl Mandle, BS, and Tracy Cao, PhD, of ApotheCom, Yardley, PA, USA. This assistance was funded by Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA.

The following sites participated: Royal Brisbane Hospitals, Herston, Australia (G. Nimmo); Royal Perth Hospital, Perth, Australia (G. Coombs); Westmead Hospital, Sydney, Australia, (T. Olma/J. Ellem); Flinders Medical Centre, Flinders, Australia (M. Anear); St. Vincents Hospital, Darlington, Australia, (J. Harkness); Monash Medical Centre, Melbourne, Australia (T. Korman/D. Kotsanas); Royal Adelaide Hospital, Adelaide, Australia (I. Bastian); The Royal North Shore Hospital, Sydney, Australia (G. Kotsiou).

