Ceftaroline Activity against Staphylococcus aureus Isolated from Patients with Infective Endocarditis Worldwide (2010-2019)

Helio S. Sader, Cecilia G. Carvalhaes, Jennifer M. Streit, Rodrigo E. Mendes

CHEST 2020 | Poster number: P0447

JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA

INTRODUCTION

- · Streptococcus viridans initially was reported as the most common cause of IE; however, Staphylococcus aureus, which is most often associated with invasive procedures and health care contact, has overtaken streptococci as the most common cause of IE
- · Prosthetic valve IE and staphylococcal IE are associated with an increased risk of in-hospital death, while viridans streptococcal IE are associated with a decreased risk.
- · Ceftaroline fosamil is an advanced-generation cephalosporin active against methicillin-susceptible (MSSA) and methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA).
- · Ceftaroline was approved by the US FDA for the treatment of adults with community-acquired bacterial pneumonia and acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections (ABSSSI) in October 2010. in 2015, the US FDA approved a label expansion to include clinical data from patients with S. aureus bacteremia
- · Moreover, many reports have shown that ceftaroline is effective in treating patients with bloodstream infections (BSI) and IE

• We evaluated the *in vitro* activity of ceftaroline against a large collection of S. aureus recovered from patients hospitalized with IF worldwide. We also compared the antimicrobial susceptibility of the S. aureus from IE with the entire collection of S. aureus from BSI.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

• The SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program collected 23,833 S. aureus isolates from patients with bloodstream infections in medical centers located in:

- North America: 11,900 isolates from 176 medical centers in the US and Canada
- Europe: 7.772 isolates from 83 centers in 24 countries
- Latin America and the Asia-Pacific region (LATAM-APAC): 4,161 isolates from 81 medical centers in 26 countries.

• Among those 23,833 isolates, 396 were recovered from patients with a diagnosis of IE, including:

- 124 isolates from North America (19 US medical centers).
- 213 isolates from Europe (34 medical centers in 16 countries).
- 59 isolates from LATAM-APAC (22 centers in 11 countries).

• The isolates (1/infection episode) were collected consecutively between January 2010 and December 2019

· Isolates were determined to be clinically significant based on local guidelines and were submitted to a central monitoring laboratory (JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA) along with limited demographic information, which included the source of BSI.

 Isolates were susceptibility tested by broth microdilution at JMI Laboratories following guidelines in the CLSI M07 and by using reference 96-well panels manufactured by JMI Laboratories (2015-19) or acquired from Thermo Fisher (Cleveland, Ohio, USA; 2010-14).

RESULTS

Ceftaroline was active against 95.2% of IE isolates (MIC₅₀₉₀, 0.25/1 mg/L; Table 1).

- Ceftaroline susceptibility was higher in North America (MIC_{50/90}, 0.25/1 mg/L; 99.2% susceptible) and LATAM-APAC (MIC solar), 0.25/0.5 mg/L; 98.3% susceptible) than in Europe (MIC solar), 0.25/1 mg/L; 92.0% susceptible; Table 1).
- The overall oxacillin resistance (MRSA) rate among IE isolates was 29.0%, and MRSA rate was higher in North America (40.3%) than in Europe (25.4%) and LATAM-APAC (18.6%; Table 1).
- All ceftaroline nonsusceptible MRSA isolates from patients with IE (n=19) had a ceftaroline MIC of 2 mg/L (intermediate [US FDA] or susceptible dose-dependent [CLSI]; Figure 1).
- The highest ceftaroline MIC value among MSSA isolates from IE was 0.5 mg/L (n=281; MIC_{E0001}) 0.25/0.25 mg/L; 100.0% susceptible).

 Among MRSA isolates from IE, ceftaroline MIC values were lower in North America (n=50; MIC₅₀₀₀ 0.5/1 mg/L; 98.0% susceptible [Figure 1]) and LATAM-APAC (n=11; MIC_comp. 0.5/1 mg/L; 90.9% susceptible) compared to Europe (n=54; MIC_{E0000}, 1/2 mg/L; 68.5% susceptible; Table 1).

 Dalbavancin (MIC_{sound} ≤0.03/0.06 mg/L), daptomycin (MIC_{sound}, 0.25/0.5 mg/L), linezolid (MIC_{sound}) 1/2 mg/L), teicoplanin (MIC_{50/90}, ≤2/≤2 mg/L), and vancomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 1/1 mg/L) exhibited complete activity (100.0% susceptible) against S. aureus from IE (Table 1).

 Isolates from all BSI combined (n=23,833; MIC_{50/90}, 0.25/1 mg/L; 96.0% susceptible) exhibited ceftaroline susceptibility similar to isolates from IE (Table 1 and Figure 2 [North America]).

 All MSSA isolates from BSI (n=15,188; MIC₅₀₉₀, 0.25/0.25 mg/L) were susceptible to ceftaroline; whereas among MRSA, ceferoline susceptibility rates were 95.6% in North America (MIC source) 0.5/1 mg/L [Figure 2]), 82.2% in Europe (MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 mg/L), and 74.9% in LATAM-APAC (MIC₅₀ 1/2 mg/L: Table 1).

 Only 20 of 23,833 BSI isolates (<0.1%) exhibited ceftaroline MICs >2 mg/L, 15 isolates had ceftaroline MICs of 4 mg/L (1 from the US, 3 from Italy, and 11 from LATAM-APAC, including Hong Kong [1], Japan [2], Peru [2], South Korea [4], and Thailand [2]), and 5 isolates displayed ceftaroline MICs of 8 mg/L (1 from Spain, 1 from South Korea, and 3 from Thailand; data not shown).

 Although susceptibility to ceftaroline among IE isolates was higher in North America (99.2%) compared to Europe (92.0%) and LATAM-APAC (98.3%), susceptibility to other drugs such as oxacillin, clindamycin, erythromycin, and levofloxacin were lower in North America compared to Europe and LATAM-APAC (Table 1).

· Ceftaroline and oxacillin susceptibility rates among BSI isolates from North America oscillated with no significant yearly changes or clear trend during the 10-year study period (Table 2 and Figure 3).

CONCLUSIONS

Ceftaroline demonstrated potent in vitro activity against a large collection of S. aureus isolates recovered from patients with BSI, including IE

Ceftaroline particularly was active against MRSA from North American medical centers.

Ceftaroline nonsusceptible MRSA isolates from patients with IE had a ceftaroline MIC of 2 mg/L and results from a phase 3 randomized, controlled non-inferiority trial showed that ceftaroline fosamil 600 mg administered every 8 hours with 2-hour infusions can provide adequate exposure against S. aureus with ceftaroline MIC values of ≤4 µg/mL when treating ABSSSI

These results support further investigations to determine the role of ceftaroline in the treatment of IE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by Allergan (prior to its acquisition by AbbVie). Allergan (now AbbVie) was involved in the design and decision to present these results and JMI Laboratories received compensation fees for services in relation to preparing the manuscript. Allergan (now AbbVie) had no involvement in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data.

REFERENCES

- 1. Arshad S, Huang V, Hartman P, Perri MB, Moreno D, Zervos MJ. Ceftaroline fosamil monotherapy for methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia: a comparative clinical outcomes study. Int J Infect Dis 2017:57:27-31
- 2. CLSI. M07Ed11. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard: eleventh edition. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2018
- 3. Destache CJ, Guervil DJ, Kaye KS. Ceftaroline fosamil for the treatment of Gram-positive endocarditis: CAPTURE study experience. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2019;53(5):644-9.
- 4. Dryden M, Zhang Y, Wilson D, Iaconis JP, Gonzalez J. A Phase III, randomized, controlled, noninferiority trial of ceftaroline fosamil 600 mg every 8 h versus vancomycin plus aztreonam in patients with complicated skin and soft tissue infection with systemic inflammatory response or underlying comorbidities. J Antimicrob Chemother 2016;71(12): 3575-84.
- 5. Ho TT, Cadena J, Childs LM, Gonzalez-Velez M, Lewis JS, 2nd. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia and endocarditis treated with ceftaroline salvage therapy. J Antimicrob Chemother 2012;67(5):1267-70.

^a Criteria as published by CLSI (CLSI, 2020). NA. North America: EUR, Europe: LATAM-APAC, Latin America and Asia-Pacific regions Abbreviation: TMP-SMX_Trimethonrim-sulfamethoxazo

Figure 1. Ceftaroline activity against MSSA and MRSA from North American patients with infective endocarditis



Figure 2. Ceftaroline activity against MSSA and MRSA from North American patients with bloodstream infections



Figure 3. Yearly susceptibility rates for ceftaroline and oxacillin among S. aureus isolates from bloodstream infections in North America



study. J Antimicrob Chemother 2014:69(7):2010-3. 10. White BP, Barber KE, Stover KR. Ceftaroline for the treatment of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia. Am J Health Syst Pharm 2017;74(4):201-8.

timicrob nfective endo Ceftaroline Oxacillin Dalbavanci Daptomycir Levofloxaci Linezolid Minocycline Teicoplanin Tetracycline TMP-SMX Vancomyc Bloodstream i Ceftaroline Oxacillin Dalbavanci Daptomycir l evofloxaci l inezolid Minocycline Teicoplanir

Tetracycline

TMP-SMX

Vancomycii



6. Lin JC, Aung G, Thomas A, Jahng M, Johns S, Fierer J. The use of ceftaroline fosamil in methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus endocarditis and deep-seated MRSA infections: a retrospective case series of 10 patients. J Infect Chemother 2013;19(1):42-9.

7. Pani A. Colombo F. Agnelli F. Frantellizzi V. Baratta F. Pastori D. et al. Off-label use of ceftaroline fosamil: A systematic review. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2019:54(5):562-71.

8. Polenakovik HM, Pleiman CM. Ceftaroline for meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus

bacteraemia: Case series and review of the literature. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2013;42(5):450-5 9. Tattevin P, Boutoille D, Vitrat V, Van Grunderbeeck N, Revest M, Dupont M, et al. Salvage treatment of methicillin-resistant staphylococcal endocarditis with ceftaroline: a multicentre observational

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of ceftaroline and comparator agents tested against S. aureus from infective endocarditis and bloodstream infections (2010–2019)

| agent/ | MIC in mg/L | | % Susceptible by region (no. of isolates) ^a | | | | |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|--|---------|------------|-------------|--|
| pe - | MIC ₅₀ | MIC ₉₀ | NA | EUR | LATAM-APAC | All Regions | |
| carditis | | | (124) | (213) | (59) | (396) | |
| | 0.25 | 1 | 99.2 | 92.0 | 98.3 | 95.2 | |
| | 0.5 | >2 | 59.7 | 74.6 | 81.4 | 71.0 | |
| | ≤0.03 | 0.06 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| | 0.25 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| 1 | ≤0.5 | >4 | 71.8 | 73.7 | 91.5 | 75.8 | |
| | 1 | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| | ≤0.06 | 0.12 | 98.6 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 99.5 | |
| | ≤2 | ≤2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| | ≤0.5 | ≤0.5 | 94.4 | 94.8 | 83.1 | 92.9 | |
| | ≤0.5 | ≤0.5 | 99.2 | 100.0 | 94.9 | 99.0 | |
| | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| nfections | | | (11,900) | (7,772) | (4,161) | (23,833) | |
| | 0.25 | 1 | 98.1 | 95.4 | 91.1 | 96.0 | |
| | 0.5 | >2 | 56.4 | 74.4 | 64.8 | 63.7 | |
| | ≤0.03 | 0.06 | >99.9 | 100.0 | >99.9 | >99.9 | |
| | 0.25 | 0.5 | 99.9 | >99.9 | >99.9 | 99.9 | |
| 1 | ≤0.5 | >4 | 61.3 | 74.8 | 74.1 | 67.9 | |
| | 1 | 2 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |
| | ≤0.06 | 0.12 | 98.9 | 99.5 | 97.5 | 98.9 | |
| | ≤2 | ≤2 | 100.0 | >99.9 | 100.0 | >99.9 | |
| | ≤0.5 | 1 | 95.6 | 92.4 | 85.1 | 92.7 | |
| | ≤0.5 | ≤0.5 | 97.9 | 99.4 | 96.3 | 98.1 | |
| | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | |



Table 2. Yearly susceptibility rates for ceftaroline (CPT) and oxacillin (OXA) stratified by region

| Year (no. for | % Susceptible ^a | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------------|---------------|-------|--------|------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| all regions) | North A | North America | | Europe | | LATAM-APAC ⁶ | | | |
| | СРТ | OXA | СРТ | OXA | CPT | ΟΧΑ | | | |
| 2019 (1,614) | 98.1 | 58.3 | 97.2 | 77.6 | 94.8 | 70.6 | | | |
| 2018 (1,644) | 96.1 | 56.9 | 94.8 | 75.9 | 96.8 | 71.8 | | | |
| 2017 (1,960) | 97.0 | 60.6 | 93.5 | 75.6 | 95.0 | 70.1 | | | |
| 2016 (2,339) | 98.0 | 58.2 | 97.1 | 72.8 | 93.4 | 72.3 | | | |
| 2015 (2,167) | 97.7 | 55.7 | 95.8 | 74.1 | 94.2 | 69.9 | | | |
| 2014 (1,629) | 97.6 | 54.9 | 91.8° | 72.5 | d | d | | | |
| 2013 (2,438) | 98.2 | 58.6 | 95.5 | 78.2 | 88.9 | 60.6 | | | |
| 2012 (2,119) | 96.9 | 56.2 | 94.5 | 72.6 | 89.0 | 65.7 | | | |
| 2011 (2,934) | 99.0 | 54.4 | 95.2 | 71.4 | 88.1 | 59.7 | | | |
| 2010 (4,989) | 99.5 | 54.6 | 96.9 | 74.2 | 90.1 | 59.5 | | | |

^a Criteria as published by CLSI (CLSI, 2020).

^b Include isolates from 26 countries located in Latin America and the Asia-Pacific region

^c Low susceptibility in Europe in 2014 mainly was due to low rates in Italy, Portugal, and Romania.
^d Isolates from bloodstream infections were not collected in these regions in 2014.

Abbreviations: CPT, ceftaroline; OXA, oxacillin.

