ASM-ESCMID 2018 Poster #116

Analysis of Oritavancin In Vitro Activity against **Enterococcal Isolates from US Medical Centers and Resistant Subsets**

CG Carvalhaes, HS Sader, D Shortridge, SJR Arends, RK Flamm, RE Mendes JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA

Contact Information: Rodrigo E. Mendes, PhD JMI Laboratories 345 Beaver Kreek Centre, Suite A North Liberty, IA 52317 Phone: (319) 665-3370 Fax: (319) 665-3371 Email: rodrigo-mendes@jmilabs.com



To obtain a PDF of this poster Scan the QR code https://www.jmilabs.com/data/posters/ASI -ESCMID-2018-oritavancin-enterococci.p

Introduction

- Enterococcal infections remain a clinical challenge due to the efficiency of these pathogens at attaining antimicrobial resistance
- Enterococci represent the second and third most common pathogen across all healthcare-associated infections (HAI) in the United States and Europe, respectively. This is also the single most common pathogen among central line-associated bloodstream infection (CLABSIs) in the United States based on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's 2011-2014 report
- The vast majority of enterococcal infections are caused by Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium, and E. faecium-caused HAIs have become nearly as prevalent as E. faecalis-caused HAIs
 - This change in epidemiology is of paramount clinical importance, since E. faecium isolates often display a multidrug-resistance (MDR) phenotype
- The lipoglycopeptide oritavancin possesses long-acting activity against Gram-positive bacteria
 - Oritavancin possesses multiple mechanisms of action and a rapid concentration-dependent bactericidal activity
 - Oritavancin inhibits transpeptidation and transglycosylation by binding to the peptidic cross-linking portion of the cell wall and to the peptidoglycan precursor, respectively In addition, oritavancin appears to cause membrane depolarization and permeabilization

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

- Isolates were tested for susceptibility by broth microdilution following guidelines in the CLSI M07 (2018) document
- Frozen-form broth microdilution panels were manufactured by JMI Laboratories and contained cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth (CAMHB)
 - Polysorbate-80 (0.002%) was included in the CAMHB when testing oritavancin, while calcium (Ca²⁺) supplementation (50 mg/L) was used for testing daptomycin
- Quality assurance was performed by concurrently testing the CLSI-recommended quality control reference strain E. faecalis ATCC 29212
- Breakpoint criteria for comparator agents were from the CLSI M100 (2018) document
- Screening for gentamicin and streptomycin high-level (HL) resistance used EUCAST methods and criteria
- E. faecalis and E. faecium displaying vancomycin and teicoplanin MIC results of ≥ 4 mg/L and ≥ 8 mg/L, respectively, were classified as VanA phenotype
- E. faecalis and E. faecium isolates with vancomycin MIC results of ≥4 mg/L and teicoplanin MIC results of ≤8 mg/L were classified as VanB phenotype

Conclusions

- Oritavancin showed potent in vitro activity against E. faecalis and E. faecium isolates causing infections, including against VanA phenotype pathogens, in hospitalized patients in US medical centers
- Oritavancin also displayed potent *in vitro* activity against a worldwide challenge set of nonsusceptible isolates
 - All isolates but 1 were inhibited by oritavancin at ≤0.25 mg/L (Table 2)
- Overall, *E. faecalis* isolates remained susceptible to ampicillin, linezolid, daptomycin (100%), and vancomycin (96.9%); however, 25.8% of *E. faecalis* showed gentamicin HL resistance, which may compromise the standard empiric β-lactamaminoglycoside combination approach for serious invasive infections (Table 3)
- High vancomycin resistance rates were observed among E. faecium isolates, and only oritavancin, linezolid, tigecycline, and daptomycin remained active in *vitro* against those isolates (Table 3)
- The potent in vitro activity of oritavancin reported against vancomycin-resistant E. faecium isolates and linezolid-nonsusceptible isolates suggests that this agent may be considered as part of the therapeutic options against highly resistant E. faecium

The *in vitro* activities of oritavancin and comparator agents were assessed against *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* from US hospitals and a global challenge set of enterococci, including vancomycin-nonsusceptible, linezolid-nonsusceptible, and daptomycin-nonsusceptible isolates

Materials and Methods

Bacterial isolates

- A total of 391 E. faecalis and 179 E. faecium isolates recovered from US hospitals during 2017 were studied
- Isolates were responsible for bloodstream (43.7%), urinary tract (18.1%), skin and skin structure (18.8%) and intraabdominal infections (18.4%)
- Isolates originated from 31 sites located in 21 states in 9 US census divisions and were submitted to JMI Laboratories (North Liberty, Iowa, USA) as part of the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program
- A worldwide challenge set of 12 daptomycin-nonsusceptible ٠ *E. faecium* isolates were tested, as well as 97 molecularly characterized linezolid-nonsusceptible isolates, including 24 optrA-carrying *E. faecalis*
- Isolates were initially identified by the participating laboratory, and identifications were confirmed at JMI Laboratories using matrix assisted laser desorption ionization time of flight technology mass spectrometry (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) and/or genome sequencing

Results

- Oritavancin inhibited all E. faecalis and E. faecium isolates from US medical centers, including those displaying a VanA phenotype, at the susceptible breakpoint for vancomycinsusceptible *E. faecalis* (≤0.12 mg/L) (Table 1)
- Oritavancin (MIC₅₀, 0.015 mg/L) had similar MIC₅₀ values against a US collection of vancomycin-susceptible (Table 1) and a global collection of linezolid-nonsusceptible *E. faecalis* (Table 2), including a subset carrying optrA
 - In contrast, the MIC₅₀ values against vancomycinnonsusceptible E. faecalis were 8-fold higher than that of vancomycin-susceptible, albeit an MIC₁₀₀ value of 0.12 mg/L was noted (Table 1)
- Isolates displaying gentamicin HL resistance phenotype were more common among *E. faecalis* (25.8%) than *E. faecium* (12.8%), but streptomycin HL resistance was more frequent among *E. faecium* (33.0% vs. 16.6% *E. faecalis*) (Table 3)
- Oritavancin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.004/0.008 mg/L) showed low MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ values against vancomycin-susceptible *E. faecium*, while oritavancin MIC results (MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.06 mg/L) were 8-fold higher against VanA-type *E. faecium* (Table 1)
- All E. faecium isolates were inhibited by oritavancin at ≤0.12 mg/L
- Similar MIC₅₀ results were obtained for oritavancin when tested against daptomycin-nonsusceptible (MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.25 mg/L) or linezolid-nonsusceptible (MIC_{50/90}, 0.015/0.12 mg/L) E. faecium (Table 2)
- VanA *E. faecium* showed a multidrug-resistance phenotype in which linezolid and daptomycin remained active (100.0% susceptible) (Table 3)

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by Melinta Therapeutics. JMI Laboratories received compensation for services related to preparing this poster.

References

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2018). M100Ed28E. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 28th informational supplement. Wayne, PA: CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2018). M07Ed11E. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard: eleventh edition. Wayne, PA: CLSI.

EUCAST (2018). Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MIC's and zone diameters. Version 8.0, January 2018. Available at: http://www.eucast.org /fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST files/Breakpoint tables/v 8.0 Breakpoint Tables.pdf. Accessed January 2018.

European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Point prevalence survey of healthcareassociated infections and antimicrobial use in European acute care hospitals – protocol version 5.3. Stockholm: ECDC; 2016. https://ecdc.europa.eu/sites/portal/files/media/en/publications /Publications/healthcare-associated-infections-antimicrobial-use-PPS.pdf

Weiner LM, Webb AK, Limbago B, et al. (2016). Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: Summary of data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2011-2014. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 37: 1288-1301.

Table 1 MIC distribution	l of oritavancin	against Enterococ	<i>cus</i> spp. clinica	al isolates
collected from US medi	cal centers			

Organism	Number and cumulative % of isolates inhibited at MIC (mg/L) of:							MIC	MIC	
(no. of isolates)	0.001	0.002	0.004	0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12		
E. faecalis (391)			7 1.8	74 20.7	179 66.5	101 92.3	20 97.4	10 100.0	0.015	0.03
Vancomycin-susceptible (379)			7 1.8	74 21	179 68.6	99 94.7	17 99.2	3 100.0	0.015	0.03
VanA (11)						1 9.1	3 36.4	7 100.0	0.12	0.12
E. faecium (179)	1 0.6	6 3.9	40 26.3	42 49.7	24 63.1	42 86.6	17 96.1	7 100.0	0.015	0.06
Vancomycin-susceptible (62)		5 8.1	34 62.9	23 100.0					0.004	0.008
VanA (107)			2 1.9	15 15.9	24 38.3	42 77.6	17 93.5	7 100.0	0.03	0.06

Table 3 Activity of oritavancin and comparator antimicrobial agents when tested against Enterococcus spp. clinical isolates from US medical centers in the 2017 SENTRY Program

Antimiershiel event M			Dense		CLSI ^a		EUCAST ^a			
Antimicropial agent			Range	%S	%I	%R	%S	%I	%R	
E. faecalis (391)										
Oritavancin ^b 0.	.015	0.03	0.004 to 0.12	100.0						
Ampicillin	1	1	≤0.12 to 4	100.0		0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
Daptomycin (0.5	1	≤0.25 to 4	100.0						
Linezolid	1	2	0.25 to 2	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		0.0	
Gentamicin HL°							74.2		25.8	
Streptomycin HL ^c							83.4		16.6	
Tigecycline 0	.06	0.12	≤0.015 to 0.25				100.0	0.0	0.0	
Teicoplanin (0.5	0.5	≤0.12 to >16	97.2	0.0	2.8	97.2		2.8	
Vancomycin	1	2	0.25 to >16	96.9	0.0	3.1	96.9		3.1	
VanA <i>E. faecalis</i> (11)										
Oritavancin ^b 0	.12	0.12	0.03 to 0.12	100.0						
Ampicillin	1	1	0.5 to 1	100.0		0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
Daptomycin (0.5	0.5	≤0.25 to 0.5	100.0						
Linezolid	1	2	0.5 to 2	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		0.0	
Gentamicin HL°							27.3		72.7	
Streptomycin HL ^c							27.3		72.7	
Tigecycline 0	.06	0.12	0.03 to 0.12				100.0	0.0	0.0	
Teicoplanin >	>16	>16	>16 to >16	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0		100.0	
Vancomycin >	>16	>16	>16 to >16	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0		100.0	
E. faecium (179)										
Oritavancin 0.	.015	0.06	0.001 to 0.12							
Ampicillin >	>16	>16	≤0.12 to >16	19.6		80.4	17.9	1.7	80.4	
Daptomycin	1	2	≤0.25 to 4	100.0						
Linezolid	1	2	0.25 to 2	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		0.0	
Gentamicin HL°							87.2		12.8	
Streptomycin HL ^c							67.0		33.0	
Tigecycline 0	.06	0.12	≤0.015 to 0.5				98.3	1.7	0.0	
Teicoplanin >	>16	>16	≤0.12 to >16	40.2	6.1	53.6	37.4		62.6	
Vancomycin >	>16	>16	0.5 to >16	34.6	0.0	65.4	34.6		65.4	
VanA <i>E faecium</i> (107)										
Oritavancin 0	.03	0.06	0.004 to 0.12							
Ampicillin >	>16	>16	≤0.12 to >16	0.9		99.1	0.9	0.0	99.1	
Daptomycin	1	2	≤0.25 to 4	100.0						
Linezolid	1	2	0.5 to 2	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		0.0	
Gentamicin HL°							83.2		16.8	
Streptomycin HL ^c							53.3		46.7	
Tigecycline 0	.06	0.12	≤0.015 to 0.5				98.1	1.9	0.0	
Teicoplanin >	>16	>16	16 to >16	0.0	10.3	89.7	0.0		100.0	
Vancomycin >	>16	>16	>16 to >16	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0		100.0	

Table 2 MIC distribution of oritavancin against a global subset of multidrug-resistant *Enterococcus* spp. clinical isolates

Organism	Number and cumulative % of isolates inhibited at MIC (mg/L) of:								MIC	MIC	
(no. of isolates)	0.004	0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	WIC 50	WIIC ₉₀
E. faecalis											
Linezolid-		7	15	5	1	2	2	0	1	0.015	0.12
nonsusceptible (33)		21.2	66.7	81.8	84.8	90.9	97.0	97.0	100.0	0.015	0.12
optrA (24)		5	13	4	1	1				0.015	0.02
		20.8	75.0	91.7	95.8	100.0				0.015	0.03
E. faecium											
Daptomycin-		1	3	2	1	1	4			0.03	0.25
nonsusceptible (12)		8.3	33.3	50.0	58.3	66.7	100.0			0.03	0.25
Linezolid-		22	10	12	8	9	3			0.015	0 1 2
nonsusceptible (64)		34.4	50.0	68.8	81.2	95.3	100.0			0.015	0.12

^a Criteria as published by CLSI 2018 and EUCAST 2018.

^b Breakpoint applied to all E. faecalis isolates, but approved for vancomycin-susceptible isolates only.

^c Screening for gentamicin and streptomycin HL resistance applied EUCAST methods and criteria