# **ASM Microbe 2017** Saturday - 406

# Evaluation of Fosfomycin Activity When Combined with Selected Antimicrobial Agents and Tested against Bacterial Isolates Using Checkerboard Methods PR RHOMBERG<sup>1</sup>, JM LINDLEY<sup>1</sup>, HS SADER<sup>1</sup>, K SWEENEY<sup>2</sup>, EJ ELLIS-GROSSE<sup>2</sup>, RK FLAMM<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA; <sup>2</sup>Zavante Therapeutics, Inc. San Diego, California, USA

## Amended Abstract

Background: ZTI-01 (fosfomycin; FOS) is an intravenous antibiotic under US development to treat complicated urinary tract infections (cUTI). Unlike other classes, FOS covalently binds to MurA, a precursor in bacterial cell wall synthesis. FOS has broad in vitro activity against gram-positive and -negative bacteria, including multidrugresistant (MDR) organisms. Differing mode of action antibiotic combinations are frequently employed to treat concerning MDRs. Optimal FOS combinations producing synergy (SYN) and lacking antagonism (ANT) warrant this investigation.

Methods: Forty strains were evaluated: 5 Staphylococcus aureus (SA), 5 Enterococcus faecalis (EF), 5 Pseudomonas aeruginosa (PSA), 5 Acinetobacter baumannii (ACB), and 20 enterics, including clinical and ATCC strains. Interaction between FOS (with 25 µg/mL glucose-6-phosphate) and up to 10 combination agents was investigated by checkerboard broth microdilution methods against each species/group from a total of 16 antimicrobial agents. Summary fractional inhibitory concentration ( $\sum$ FIC) values were calculated for each FOS/agent combination at the minimum, maximum, and mean. The  $\sum$ FIC was used to classify the combined activity as SYN ( $\leq 0.5$ ), indifference (INDIF; >0.5 and ≤4), or ANT (>4). Indeterminate (INDET) category was assigned when unable to determine combination effects.

**Results:** FOS showed no ANT, but showed SYN when combined with multiple agents against isolates from all 5 species/groups. Highest SYN rates were seen when FOS was combined with piperacillin-tazobactam, cephalosporins, meropenem, or penicillin. All agents showed SYN rates of 8.0% to 50.0% when combined with FOS. Among INDIF isolates, 17.7% had  $\sum$ FIC >1 and ≤4; 18.5% had  $\sum$ FIC =1 (additive); 63.8% had  $\Sigma$ FIC >0.5 and <1 (partial SYN).

Organism	Combination _	No. of strains by interpretive category (% of total)										
(no. tested)	agents	SYN	INDIF	ANT	INDET							
SA (5)	10	16 (32.0)	28 (56.0)	0 (0.0)	6 (12.0)							
EF (5)	7	7 (20.0)	27 (77.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.9)							
PSA (5)	9	7 (15.6)	37 (82.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.2)							
ACB (5)	8	12 (30.0)	24 (60.0)	0 (0.0)	4 (10.0)							
Enteric (20)	10	57 (28.5)	116 (58.0)	0 (0.0)	27 (13.5)							
All		99 (26.8)	232 (62.7)	0 (0.0)	39 (10.5)							

**Conclusions**: Nearly 30% of all combinations with FOS were SYN (*S*FIC ≤0.5) and 40% demonstrated partial SYN, which indicates combination therapy with FOS may be beneficial. Importantly, no ANT was observed with any of the FOS combinations.

## Introduction

- Fosfomycin has been used to treat a variety of infections, including urinary tract, respiratory tract, and skin and skin structure infections and is available in an intravenous and oral formulation
- ZTI-01 (fosfomycin for injection) is administered as 6 grams every 8 hours for 7-14 days and is under development in the US to treat complicated urinary tract infections (https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02753946)
- Fosfomycin exhibits a broad spectrum of activity against gram-positive and gramnegative bacteria, including multidrug-resistant (MDR) organisms
- Unlike other antimicrobial classes, fosfomycin demonstrates a unique mode of action where it binds covalently to MurA where it inhibits the synthesis of peptidoglycan by blocking the formation of N-acetylmuramic acid
- Antimicrobial agent combinations with different modes of action are frequently used clinically to treat infections caused by multidrug-resistant organisms
- In the present study, we evaluated the activity of fosfomycin when combined with up to 10 antimicrobial agents from several classes to summarize fractional inhibitory concentration ( $\sum$ FIC) values for selected isolates of Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus faecalis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Acinetobacter baumanniicalcoaceticus species complex, and Enterobacteriaceae

- strains
- Procedure Handbook, 4<sup>th</sup> Edition
- combinations
- was:

 $\sum FIC = FIC_{\Delta}$ 

- each antimicrobial combination
- >4.0
- to ≤4.0

- isolate

- the 5 *E. faecalis* isolates
- combined with fosfomycin

### **Materials and Methods**

 Isolates tested included 35 gram-negative and gram-positive clinical isolates collected in 2015 as part of the global SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program and 5 ATCC

 Susceptibility testing for fosfomycin and combination agents was performed using broth microdilution checkerboard synergy methods as described in Clinical Microbiology

- Cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth was supplemented with 25 mg/L glucose-6phosphate following CLSI recommendations for testing fosfomycin alone and in

• Checkerboard synergy panels were produced by JMI Laboratories (North Liberty, Iowa, USA) and stored at less than -70°C until use

• Formula used to calculate the summary fractional inhibitory concentration ( $\Sigma$ FIC) value

MIC of agent B in combination MIC of agent A in combination MIC of agent A alone MIC of agent B alone

• The  $\sum$ FIC value for each well adjacent to growth in the checkerboard synergy panel was calculated and used to identify the  $\sum FIC$  minimum,  $\sum FIC$  maximum, and  $\sum FIC$  mean for

• The FIC index categorical interpretations define synergy as when the value is  $\leq 0.5$ , indifferent when the FIC index is >0.5 to  $\leq$ 4.0, and antagonistic when the FIC index is

- Alternatively, the FIC index can be defined as partial synergy when the FIC index is >0.5 to <1, additive when the FIC index is 1, and indifferent when the FIC index is >1

Some combination interactions could not be determined due to the presence of off-scale MIC test results and a categorical result of indeterminate was recorded

### Results

• Fosfomycin antimicrobial combinations against S. aureus

- Among the 5 isolates (3 MRSA) and 10 antimicrobial agents, a total of 50 combinations with fosfomycin were tested and 32% showed synergy (Table 1) Meropenem and linezolid exhibited synergy when tested with fosfomycin in 4/5

 High rates of synergy also were seen when fosfomycin was combined with minocycline (3/5), piperacillin-tazobactam (2/5), and rifampin (2/5)

- No antagonism was observed among combinations tested against S. aureus • Fosfomycin antimicrobial combinations against *E. faecalis* 

- Synergy was observed in 20% of the 35 combinations tested with fosfomycin against

- Piperacillin-tazobactam showed synergy for 3/5 isolates while penicillin showed synergy for 2/5 isolates (Table 1)

- For 19/35 (54%) antimicrobial combinations  $FIC_{min}$  was >0.5 to <1 and 5/35 (14%) combinations had  $FIC_{min} = 1$ , suggesting partial synergy or additive activity when

- Average FIC<sub>min</sub> values for piperacillin-tazobactam, meropenem, and levofloxacin for the 5 *E. faecalis* isolates tested were 0.56, 0.59, and 0.70, respectively (Table 4)

Fosfomycin antimicrobial combinations against *P. aeruginosa*

- Fosfomycin tested in checkerboard synergy combinations with 9 antimicrobials showed synergy in 16% of the 45 total combinations

- The most common synergistic combinations for fosfomycin were with ceftazidime (2/5 isolates) and minocycline (2/5; Table 2)

#### Table 1 Summary of categorical interactions for antimicrobial agents when tested in checkerboard combinations with fosfomycin for gram positive isolates

			S. au		E. faecalis							
	SYN		<b>INDIF</b> <sup>a</sup>		ANTAG	INDET	SYN		<b>INDIF</b> <sup>a</sup>		ANT	
Antimicrobial agent		PS	ADD	<b>INDIF</b> <sup>b</sup>				PS	ADD	<b>INDIF</b> <sup>b</sup>		
Gentamicin		4		1			C					
Ceftriaxone	1	1	1			2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
Penicillin		_	_	_			2	2	1			
Piperacillin-tazobactam	2		1			2	3	2				
Meropenem	4					1	1	4				
Levofloxacin		4		1				4	1			
Minocycline	3	1	1					3	2			
Linezolid	4	1						2		3		
Rifampin	2	1	1			1	_	_		_		
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole		5						<u> </u>				
Vancomycin		3	1	1			1	2	1			
Total	16	20	5	3	0	6	7	19	5	3	0	
	32%	40%	10% 56%	6%	0%	12%	20%	54%	14% 77%	9%	0%	

NDIF (≥0.5 to ≤4) category broken down into ≥5, partial synergy (≥0.5 to <1); ADD, additive (1); INDIF, indiπerent (≥1 to ≤4) <sup>b</sup> INDIF (>1 to ≤4)

	P. aeruginosa							A. bauma	annii-calcoace	e <i>ticus</i> specie	es complex	Enterobacteriaceae						
	SYN		<b>INDIF</b> <sup>a</sup>		ANTAG	INDET	SYN		<b>INDIF</b> <sup>a</sup>		ANTAG	INDET	SYN		<b>INDIF</b> <sup>a</sup>		ANTAG	INDET
Antimicrobial agent		PS	ADD	<b>INDIF</b> <sup>b</sup>				PS	ADD	<b>INDIF</b> <sup>b</sup>				PS	ADD	<b>INDIF</b> <sup>b</sup>		
Amikacin		3	1	1			2	1	2				C					
Gentamicin		1	3	1			2	3					6	11	2	1		
Aztreonam	1	3				1							5	5	1			9
Ceftazidime	2	3					2	1		1		1	8	9	1			2
Piperacillin-tazobactam		5					3		1			1	12	5	2			1
Meropenem	1	2	1	1			1	2	1			1	8	9	1			2
Levofloxacin	1	3	1					3	1	1			6	8	4	2		
Minocycline	2	3											4	7	6	1		2
Tigecycline								2	2	1			5	9	1	5		
Colistin		1		4			2	1		1		1	1	5		8		6
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole													2	4	2	7		5
Total	7	24	6	7	0	1	12	13	7	4	0	4	57	72	20	24	0	27
	16%	53%	13%	16%	0%	2%	30%	33%	17%	10%	0%	10%	29%	36%	10%	12%	0%	13%
			56%						60%						58%			

<sup>a</sup> INDIF (>0.5 to ≤4) category broken down into PS, partial synergy (>0.5 to <1); ADD, additive (1); INDIFF, indifferent (>1 to ≤4) <sup>b</sup> INDIF (>1 to ≤4)

#### Table 3 Summary of categorical interactions for antimicrobial agents when tested in checkerboard combinations with fosfomycin for Enterobacteriaceae

	Enterobacter spp.					E. coli						K. pneumoniae							P. m		
	SYN		<b>INDIF</b> <sup>a</sup>		ANTAG	INDET	SYN		<b>INDIF</b> <sup>a</sup>		ANTAG	INDET	SYN		<b>INDIF</b> <sup>a</sup>		ANTAG	INDET	SYN		<b>INDIF</b> <sup>a</sup>
Antimicrobial agent		PS	ADD	<b>INDIF</b> <sup>b</sup>				PS	ADD	<b>INDIF</b> <sup>b</sup>				PS	ADD	<b>INDIF</b> <sup>b</sup>				PS	ADD
Gentamicin	2	3					1	4					1	3	1				2	1	1
Aztreonam	5							4	1					1				4			
Ceftazidime	4					1	3	2						4				1	1	3	1
Piperacillin-tazobactam	2	3					3	1	1				4		1				3	1	
Meropenem	3	2					1	3				1		4	1				4		
Levofloxacin	2	3					1	1	2	1			2	2		1			1	2	2
Minocycline		4				1		3	1	1					4			1	4		1
Tigecycline	2	2		1			2	2		1				2	1	2			1	3	
Colistin		2		2		1	1	2		2				1		4					
Trimehtoprim-sulfamethoxazole	1	1	1	1		1	1		1	2		1		1		2		2		2	
Total	21	20	1	4	0	4	13	22	6	7	0	2	7	18	8	9	0	8	16	12	5
	42%	40%	2% 50%	8%	0%	8%	26%	44%	12% 70%	14%	0%	4%	14%	36%	16% 70%	18%	0%	16%	32%	24%	10%

<sup>a</sup> INDIF (>0.5 to ≤4) category broken down into PS, partial synergy (>0.5 to <1); ADD, additive (1); INDIF, indifferent (>1 to ≤4)

- 5/5 isolates for piperacillin-tazobactam (average FIC<sub>min</sub> = 0.66; Table 2 and Table 4)
- FIC<sub>min</sub> >0.5 to <1 (partial synergy) was observed in 24/45 (53%) combinations, including - No antagonism was observed among combinations tested against *P. aeruginosa* Fosfomycin antimicrobial combinations against A. baumannii-calcoaceticus species
- complex
- 30% of the 40 antimicrobial/isolate combinations tested with fosfomycin against A. baumannii-calcoaceticus species complex isolates showed synergy
- Synergy was observed for piperacillin-tazobactam in 3/5 isolates and for amikacin, gentamicin, ceftazidime, and colistin in 2/5 isolates (Table 2)
- Average FIC<sub>min</sub> values for piperacillin-tazobactam, meropenem, and levofloxacin for the 5 A. baumannii-calcoaceticus species complex isolates was 0.51, 0.61 and 0.78, respectively (Table 4)

Table 2 Summary of categorical interactions for antimicrobial agents when tested in checkerboard combinations with fosfomycin for gram-negative isolates

- Fosfomycin antimicrobial combinations against *Enterobacteriaceae*
- Twenty isolates from 4 species groups were tested in checkerboard configurations with 10 antimicrobials where 29% of combinations showed synergistic interactions with fosfomycin
- Most frequent interaction of synergy was seen for piperacillin-tazobactam 12/20 isolates followed by meropenem and ceftazidime, 8/20 isolates and then levofloxacin and gentamicin, 6/20 isolates (Table 2)
- Average FIC<sub>min</sub> values for the 4 groups of *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates showed variability among piperacillin-tazobactam, meropenem, and levofloxacin with results between 0.44-0.54, 0.47-0.70, and 0.53-0.84, respectively (Table 4)
- No antagonism was observed among combinations tested against Enterobacteriaceae

**Contact Information:** Paul R. Rhomberg, B.S. JMI Laboratories 345 Beaver Kreek Centre, Suite A North Liberty, IA 52317 Phone: (319) 665-3370 Fax: (319) 665-3371 Email: paul-rhomberg@jmilabs.com



https://www.jmilabs.com/data/posters /ASMMicrobe17-fosfo-checkerboards.pdf





	No.	Piperaci	llin-tazoba	ctam FIC	Me	eropenem l	FIC	Levofloxacin FIC					
Organism group	strains	Min.	Max.	Mean	Min.	Max.	Mean	Min.	Max.	Mean			
S. aureus	5	0.59	0.89	0.70	0.38	0.53	0.46	0.79	1.51	1.07			
E. faecalis	5	0.56	1.15	0.86	0.59	1.01	0.78	0.70	1.38	1.04			
P. aeruginosa	5	0.66	1.73	1.23	0.84	1.56	1.14	0.73	1.33	0.96			
A. baumannii- calcoaceticus													
species complex	5	0.51	1.43	0.80	0.61	0.94	0.78	0.78	1.61	1.08			
Enterobacteriaceae	20	0.51	1.11	0.74	0.53	1.16	0.86	0.69	1.45	1.00			
Enterobacter spp.	5	0.51	1.36	0.81	0.47	1.08	0.86	0.53	1.28	0.86			
E. coli	5	0.54	1.19	0.77	0.56	1.05	0.81	0.84	1.24	1.06			
K. pneumoniae	5	0.44	1.10	0.71	0.70	1.69	1.15	0.65	1.70	1.03			
P. mirabilis	5	0.53	0.72	0.63	0.39	0.74	0.57	0.73	1.58	1.04			

### Conclusions

- Fosfomycin tested in combination with a variety of currently used antimicrobial agents demonstrated synergy for 26.8% of the 370 organism/combinations tested
- More than 82% of isolates categorized as indifferent by ASM guidelines, showed partial or additive activity (63.8% had an FIC result >0.5 and <1, suggesting partial synergy and 18.5% had an FIC =1, suggesting additive activity)
- No instances of antagonism were observed among the 370 organism/antimicrobial agent combinations tested
- The highest rates of synergy across isolates were seen when fosfomycin was combined with piperacillin-tazobactam (50%), ceftazidime (40%), meropenem (37.5%), rifampin (40%), linezolid (40%), or penicillin (40%); other agents showed synergy rates of 8.0% to 25.7% when combined with fosfomycin
- For Pseudomonas isolates, highest rates of synergy and partial synergy were ceftazidime and minocycline combinations with fosfomycin at rates of 40% and 60%
- For Acinetobacter isolates, highest rates of synergy, partial synergy, or additivity were piperacillin-tazobactam, gentamicin, and amikacin combinations with fosfomycin at rates of 60/40/40%, 0/60/20%, and 20/0/40%, respectively
- The high percentage of synergy or partial synergy/additive combinations observed suggests enhanced activity may occur when fosfomycin is used in combination therapy



# Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the following staff members at JMI Laboratories: A. Watters, B. Wittenbrook, J.E. Schuchert, and L. Flanigan for technical or editorial support. This study was performed at JMI Laboratories and supported by Zavante Therapeutics, which included funding for services related to preparing this poster.

#### References

ClinicalTrials.gov (2017). Safety and efficacy of ZTI-01 (IV fosfomycin) vs piperacillin/tazobactam for treatment cUTI/AP infections (ZEUS). Available at https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02753946. Accessed March 2017.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2015). M07-A10. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard—tenth edition. Wayne, PA: CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2017). M100-S27. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 27th informational supplement. Wayne, PA: CLSI.

Clinical Microbiology Procedures Handbook. A. Leber, editor in chief. Synergism testing: broth microdilution checkerboard and broth macrodilution method. 5.16 (2016)

Kiffer, C. R., J. L. Sampaio, S. Sinto, et al. 2005. In vitro synergy test of meropenem and sulbactam against clinical isolates of Acinetobacter baumannii. Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 52: 317–322.

Manual of Clinical Microbiology 2015 4th Edition, Pages 5.16

Sader, H. S., H. K. Huynh and R. N. Jones. 2003. Contemporary in vitro synergy rates for aztreonam combined with newer fluoroquinolones and beta-lactams tested against gram-negative bacilli. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 47: 547–550.

