Antimicrobial Activities of Aztreonam-Avibactam and Comparator Agents Tested against Enterobacterales from European Hospitals Analysed by Infection Type (2019–2020)

Helio S. Sader, Rodrigo E. Mendes, Cecilia G. Carvalhaes, S. J. Ryan Arends, Mariana Castanheira

JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA

Introduction

- Aztreonam is a monobactam stable to hydrolysis by metallo- β -lactamases (MBL) and avibactam is a non- β -lactam β-lactamase inhibitor that inhibits Ambler class A, C, and some class D enzymes (e.g., ESBL, KPC, and AmpC).
- Because Enterobacterales isolates that produce MBLs usually coproduce serine β -lactamases, aztreonam was combined with avibactam. This novel β -lactamase-inhibitor combination is under clinical development for the treatment of gram-negative infections.
- · We assessed the in vitro activity of aztreonam-avibactam against a large collection of contemporary (2019–2020) clinical isolates recovered from patients hospitalised with pneumonia in European medical centres.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial isolates

- A total of 11,253 isolates were consecutively collected from 38 medical centres: 25 from Western Europe (W-EU; n=8,511; 10 countries) and 13 from Eastern Europe (E-EU; n=2,742; 10 countries).
- These isolates were collected from patients with bloodstream infections (BSIs; 3,352 isolates; 29.8%), pneumonia (2,233; 19.8%), skin and soft tissue infections (SSTIs; 1,820; 16.2%), complicated urinary tract infections (cUTIs; 2,741; 24.4%), and other infection types (1,107; 9.8%).
- Only isolates determined to be significant by local criteria as the reported probable cause of infection were included in the program.

Resistant subsets

- · Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales (CRE) isolates were defined as displaying imipenem and/or meropenem MIC values at $\geq 4 \text{ mg/L}$ (CLSI, 2021).
- Imipenem was not applied to Proteus mirabilis and indolepositive Proteeae due to their intrinsically elevated MIC values
- MDR and extensively drug-resistant (XDR) Enterobacterales isolates were classified according to their recommended guidelines (Magiorakos et al., 2012).
- Classifications were based on the following recommended parameters:
- MDR = nonsusceptible (NS; CLSI breakpoints) to at least 3 antimicrobial classes.
- XDR = susceptible (S) to 2 or fewer antimicrobial classes.

Susceptibility testing

- The broth microdilution test method was conducted according to CLSI specifications.
- Aztreonam-avibactam was tested with avibactam at a fixed concentration of 4 mg/L.
- EUCAST susceptibility interpretive criteria were applied for comparator agents and a tentative susceptible breakpoint of $\leq 8 \text{ mg/L}$ was applied for ATM-AVI for comparison purposes.

Results

- Aztreonam-avibactam was active against 99.9-100.0% of W-EU isolates and 99.6-99.9% of E-EU isolates at $\leq 8 \text{ mg/L}$ (Table 1), with MIC_{50/90} values of $\leq 0.03/0.12$ mg/L in W-EU and 0.06/0.25 mg/L in E-EU.
- Aztreonam-avibactam retained potent activity against CRE, inhibiting 100.0% of W-EU isolates and 98.9-100.0% of E-EU isolates at $\leq 8 \text{ mg/L}$ (Figure 1).
- Susceptibility to comparator agents were consistently lower among isolates from E-EU compared to W-EU for all evaluated infection types (Table 1).
- MDR rates among Enterobacterales varied from 8.7% (UTI) to 12.8% (BSI) in W-EU and from 28.1% (UTI) to 39.0% (pneumonia) in E-EU (Figure 2).
- XDR rates among Enterobacterales varied from 0.6% (SSTI) to 1.4% (BSI) in W-EU and from 6.6% (UTI) to 17.0% (pneumonia) in E-EU.
- Carbapenem-resistance (CRE) rates varied from 0.7% (UTI and SSTI) to 1.7% (BSI) in W-EU and from 5.9% (UTI) to 17.0% (pneumonia) in E-EU (Figure 2).
- In W-EU, susceptibility to meropenem, levofloxacin, and gentamicin were lowest among isolates from BSI, and susceptibility to ceftriaxone, ceftolozane-tazobactam (C-T), and piperacillin-tazobactam (PIP-TAZ) were lowest among isolates from pneumonia (Table 1).
- In E-EU, susceptibility rates were generally lowest among isolates from pneumonia and highest among isolates from UTI (Table 1).
- The most active compounds against MDR Enterobacterales were aztreonam-avibactam (100.0% and 99.1%-99.5% inhibited at $\leq 8 \text{ mg/L}$ in W-EU and E-EU, respectively), meropenem (89.0-93.5%S in W-EU and 61.0-80.4%S in E-EU), amikacin (76.0-87.1%S in W-EU and 58.7-68.9%S in E-EU), and colistin (67.4-79.5%S in W-EU and 68.9-83.2%S in E-EU; Table 1).
- The most active compounds against XDR Enterobacterales were aztreonam-avibactam (100.0% and 98.9%-100.0% inhibited at $\leq 8 \text{ mg/L}$ in W-EU and E-EU, respectively), colistin (76.5-100.0%S in W-EU and 48.4-66.8%S in E-EU), and amikacin (41.2-85.7%S in W-EU and 16.4-29.4%S in E-EU; Table 1).



Figure 2. Frequency of occurrence of multidrug-resistant (MDR), extensively drug-resistant (XDR), and carbapenem-resistant (CRE) Enterobacterales isolates from Western Europe (A) and Eastern Europe (B) stratified by infection type



Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of aztreonam-avibactam (AZT-AVI) and comparator agents tested against Enterobacterales isolates from European hospitals stratified by geographic region and infection type

	% Susceptible per EUCAST criteria (no. tested)								
Organism/ Antimicrobial agent	Western Europe					Eastern Europe			
	BSI	Pneumonia	UTI	SSTI	BSI	Pneumonia	UTI	SSTI	
Enterobacterales	(2,635)	(1,687)	(1,963)	(1,272)	(717)	(546)	(778)	(548)	
AZT-AVI	[100.0] ^a	[100.0] ^a	[99.9] ^a	[100.0] ^a	[99.9] ^a	[99.6] ^a	[99.9] ^a	[99.8] ^a	
Meropenem	98.2	98.8	99.4	99.3	91.9	84.8	94.3	89.4	
Ceftriaxone	78.1	77.2	84.5	80.3	59.0	52.4	62.5	55.8	
Ceftolozane-tazobactam	94.3	92.0	96.2	93.9	81.9	70.6	85.2	77.0	
Piperacillin-tazobactam	85.2	80.0	90.5	84.8	70.3	59.3	74.3	64.8	
Levofloxacin	78.0	83.0	81.4	81.6	58.4	57.4	56.4	58.2	
Gentamicin	88.2	92.6	90.8	91.4	78.2	74.7	79.0	77.4	
Amikacin	96.5	98.5	98.2	98.3	88.3	83.7	90.7	86.7	
Colistin	88.4	76.5	85.7	73.5	84.9	77.0	84.1	81.8	
CRE	(44)	(26)	(13)	(9)	(66)	(93)	(46)	(64)	
AZT-AVI	[100.0] ^a	[100.0] ^a	[100.0] ^a	[100.0] ^a	[100.0] ^a	[98.9] ^a	[100.0] ^a	[100.0] ^a	
Levofloxacin	6.8	23.1	23.1	0.0	15.2	8.7	2.2	4.7	
Gentamicin	56.8	57.7	38.5	33.3	50.0	40.9	23.9	40.6	
Amikacin	65.9	65.4	53.8	88.9	37.9	37.6	34.8	40.6	
Colistin	93.2	88.5	92.3	100.0	75.8	67.7	73.9	82.8	
MDR	(327)	(194)	(170)	(138)	(219)	(213)	(219)	(187)	
AZT-AVI	[100.0] ^a	[100.0] ^a	[100.0] ^a	[100.0] ^a	[99.5] ^a	[99.1] ^a	[99.5] ^a	[99.5] ^a	
Meropenem	89.0	89.2	93.5	93.5	74.0	61.0	80.4	69.0	
Ceftriaxone	9.2	17.0	20.0	19.6	9.1	3.8	10.0	8.6	
Ceftolozane-tazobactam	72.7	66.0	71.8	72.5	47.0	31.1	53.9	42.8	
Piperacillin-tazobactam	37.7	25.8	38.2	36.2	20.1	12.2	28.8	15.0	
Levofloxacin	14.3	24.7	15.9	22.5	14.6	9.4	5.9	11.2	
Gentamicin	31.2	51.5	37.1	44.2	39.7	36.6	36.1	41.2	
Amikacin	76.0	87.1	81.2	85.5	64.4	58.7	68.9	61.0	
Colistin	79.5	68.0	77.5	67.4	74.8	68.9	70.6	83.2	
XDR	(36)	(17)	(13)	(7)	(55)	(93)	(51)	(54)	
AZT-AVI	[100.0] ^a	[100.0] ^a	[100.0] ^a	[100.0] ^a	[99.5] ^a	[98.9] ^a	[100.0] ^a	[100.0] ^a	
Meropenem	22.2	17.6	15.4	0.0	21.8	22.6	25.5	18.5	
Ceftriaxone	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	1.9	
Ceftolozane-tazobactam	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	6.5	3.9	11.1	
Piperacillin-tazobactam	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Levofloxacin	0.0	11.8	15.4	0.0	5.5	2.2	2.0	3.7	
Gentamicin	33.3	35.3	30.8	14.3	23.6	24.7	13.7	20.4	
Amikacin	52.8	41.2	46.2	85.7	16.4	28.0	29.4	25.9	
Colistin	83.3	76.5	84.6	100.0	58.2	48.4	56.9	66.8	
/alues in brackets indicate percentages inhibited at ≤8 mg/L. obreviations: CRE, carbapenem-resistant <i>Enterobacteral</i> es; MDR, n	nultidrug-resistant; XDR, extensiv	ely-drug resistant.							

Figure 1. Cumulative MIC distributions of aztreonam-avibactam tested against carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales (CRE) isolates from Western Europe (A) and Eastern Europe (B) stratified by infection type





		1	
3SI			
Pneum			
		<u> </u>	
	-		
E			

Conclusions

- Aztreonam-avibactam demonstrated potent and consistent activity against Enterobacterales from all infection types in W-EU and E-EU.
- Susceptibility rates for comparators were generally lower among isolates from patients with pneumonia and BSI compared to UTI and SSTI.
- Susceptibility rates for comparators were markedly lower among isolates from E-EU compared to W-EU.
- Aztreonam-avibactam represents a potential valuable option for empiric antimicrobial therapy in European hospitals with elevated rates of CRE, MDR, or XDR Enterobacterales.

Acknowledgements

This study at JMI Laboratories was supported by Pfizer Inc. (New York, NY). JMI Laboratories received compensation fees for services in relation to preparing the poster, which was funded by Pfizer Inc.

References

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2018). M07Ed11E. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard: eleventh edition. Wayne, PA: CLSI.

Cornely OA, Cisneros JM, Torre-Cisneros J, et al. (2020). Pharmacokinetics and safety of aztreonam/avibactam for the treatment of complicated intra-abdominal infections in hospitalized adults: results from the REJUVENATE study. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 75:618-627.

Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, et al. (2012). Multidrugresistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect 18: 268-281.

Sader HS, Carvalhaes CG, Arends SJR, Castanheira M, Mendes RE (2021). Aztreonam/avibactam activity against clinical isolates of Enterobacterales collected in Europe, Asia and Latin America in 2019. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 76:659-666.

EUCAST (2021). Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 11.0, January 2021. Available at: http://www .eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint _tables/v_11.0_Breakpoint_Tables.pdf

Contact

Helio S. Sader, MD, PhD JMI Laboratories 345 Beaver Kreek Centre, Suite A North Liberty, IA 52317 Phone: (319) 665-3370 Fax: (319) 665-3371 Email: helio-sader@jmilabs.com



To obtain a PDF of this poster: Scan the QR code or visit http:// www.jmilabs.com/data/posters /ECCMID2018-ceftaroline -potency-spectrum.pdf

Charges may apply. No personal information is stored.