Antimicrobial Activity of Telavancin and Comparator Agents Tested Against Recently Isolated (2007) European *Staphylococcus aureus* and Coagulase-negative Staphylococci

TR Fritsche, DJ Biedenbach, HS Sader, RN Jones

JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA

ABSTRACT

Objectives. Telavancin is an investigational, bactericidal lipoglycopeptide that is broadly active against Gram-positive pathogens and has completed clinical trials in complicated skin and soft tissue infections. Given concerns over the rapid emergence of resistance among staphylococci, including communityacquired strains, we compared the potency of telavancin versus other antimicrobials against contemporary oxacillin-susceptible (OX-S) and OX-resistant (OX-R) *Staphylococcus aureus* (SA) and coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) collected as part of a European antimicrobial resistance surveillance programme.

Methods. Consecutive, non-duplicate patient isolates (n=2834) were submitted from 26 medical centres in Europe (10 countries), Turkey and Israel during 2007 (2202 SA [OX-R, 29.3%], 632 CoNS [OX-R, 76.1%]) and susceptibility tested using Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (M7-A7) broth microdilution methods.

Results. Compared with OX-S SA, telavancin MIC_{oo} values varied by one dilution in OX-R SA (0.12 versus 0.25 mg/L, respectively; see **Table**), but was unchanged for OX-R CoNS (0.25 mg/L); all isolates were inhibited by $\leq 0.5 \text{ mg/L}$. Telavancin was 2-, 4- and 8-fold more potent (MIC₀₀) than daptomycin, vancomycin and linezolid, respectively, when testing SA, and 2-, 8- and 4-fold more potent, respectively, when testing CoNS. Among CoNS, telavancin was most active against *S. lugdunensis* (MIC₅₀, 0.06 mg/L) and least active against *S. warnerii* (MIC₅₀, 0.25 mg/L; 10 isolates each); MIC₅₀ values for other species (*S. capitis* [20 isolates], *S. epidermidis* [316 isolates], S. haemolyticus [34 isolates] and S. hominis [59 isolates]) were all 0.12 mg/L. High levels of resistance to other agents were observed among OX-R SA and CoNS with respective resistance rates (%) as follows: erythromycin (69.8/68.0), clindamycin (30.0/29.7), gentamicin (19.7/37.9), levofloxacin (90.7/65.7), tetracycline (11.6/18.3) and trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole (1.9/45.3)

Conclusions. Telavancin displayed higher potency than the other agents tested against SA and CoNS (MIC_{50} and MIC_{90} values for both, 0.12 and 0.25 mg/L), and inhibited all isolates at ≤ 0.5 mg/L. Telavancin exhibited similar potency for OX-S and -R strains. The continued and rapid emergence of resistant staphylococci, including community-acquired OX-R SA, necessitates the timely introduction of new therapeutic agents and longitudinal surveillance to assist in control efforts.

Table.

	MIC (mg/L)							
	Telavancin		Vancomycin		Levofloxacin		Linezolid	
Organism (n)	50%	90%	50%	90%	50%	90%	50%	90%
OX-S SA (1556)	0.12	0.12	1	1	≤0.5	≤0.5	1	2
OX-R SA (646)	0.12	0.25	1	1	>4	>4	1	2
OX-S CoNS (151)	0.12	0.25	1	2	≤0.5	≤0.5	0.5	1
OX-R CoNS (481)	0.12	0.25	2	2	4	>4	1	1

CoNS, coagulase-negative staphylococci; OX-S, oxacillin-susceptible; OX-R, oxacillin-resistant; SA, Staphylococcus aureus

INTRODUCTION

- Emergence of bacterial resistance is a significant global problem that complicates nosocomial infections, with increasing morbidity, mortality and costs of hospitalisation due to increased length of stay.
- Occurrence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) infections is especially problematic in intensive care units. Furthermore, the dramatic spread of community-associated MRSA infections (e.g., USA-300 clone), including into the hospital environment, has created a public health emergency that is challenging traditional infection control practices.

- Increased use of vancomycin in treating staphylococcal infections has driven vancomycin resistance among enterococci, especially E. faecium.
- Penicillin nonsusceptibility among strains of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* is increasing and currently exceeds 36% in the United States.
- The timely development and introduction of new agents active against these commonly occurring Gram-positive pathogens is sorely needed.
- Telavancin is an investigational, parenteral, semi-synthetic lipoglycopeptide that is broadly active against both aerobic and anaerobic Gram-positive bacteria, including MRSA and some vancomycin-resistant enterococci.^{1–7}
- Bactericidal activity of telavancin is mediated both by interference with cell wall synthesis (similar to the glycopeptides) and by disruption of membrane function.⁸
- Efficacy and safety of telavancin have been demonstrated in Phase 2 and 3 complicated skin and skin structure clinical trials.⁹⁻¹¹ Phase 3 trials for nosocomial pneumonia have been recently completed.
- This poster summarises results of a European 2007 surveillance testing programme comparing the activity of telavancin and currently marketed glycopeptides with other antimicrobial agents against *S. aureus* and coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) clinical isolates. The analysis includes evaluation of oxacillin (methicillin)-resistant (OX-R) and oxacillin-susceptible (OX-S) subsets for each of these groups.
- 2834 bacterial strains were tested by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) reference broth microdilution methods with susceptibilities to comparator agents interpreted by CLSI breakpoint criteria (M100-S18 [2008]¹²).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strain collection

- 2834 non-duplicate, consecutive staphylococcal clinical isolates were submitted from 26 medical centres located in Europe as part of the international telavancin surveillance programme for 2007
- Isolates originated from patients with documented bloodstream (49.7%), respiratory tract (32.0%) or skin and soft tissue infections (18.3%).
- Isolates included 2202 S. aureus strains (646 [29.3%] OX-R) and 632 CoNS (481 [76.1%] OX-R).
- Identifications were confirmed by the central monitor (JMI Laboratories. Iowa, USA).

Susceptibility test methods

- All strains were tested against a variety of antimicrobial agents representing the most common classes and examples of drugs used in the empiric or directed treatment of the indicated pathogen.
- Testing was by the CLSI broth microdilution method (M7-A7 [2006]¹³) using commercially prepared and validated panels (TREK Diagnostics, Ohio, USA) in cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth.
- Interpretation of MIC results was in accordance with published CLSI (M100-S18 [2008]¹²) criteria.
- Quality control strains utilised included *S. aureus* ATCC 29213.

RESULTS

- Telavancin was highly active against European *S. aureus* and CoNS, inhibiting all isolates by ≤ 0.5 mg/L (MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀ results, 0.12 and 0.25 mg/L, respectively; Tables 1 and 2).
- Compared with OX-S S. aureus, telavancin MIC_{oo} values for OX-R S. aureus increased by one dilution (0.12 versus 0.25 mg/L. respectively); no difference was detected between OX-S and OX-R CoNS $(MIC_{qq} values, 0.25 mg/L).$

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of telavancin against staphylococcal species/groups and resistant subsets submitted as part of a 2007 European surveillance programme

Group/organism (n test

S. aureus (2202) Oxacillin-suscep

Oxacillin-resista Coagulase-negative (632)

- Oxacillin-suscep Oxacillin-resistar
- S. capitis (20)
- S. epidermidis (S. haemolyticus
- S. hominis (59)
- S. lugdunensis
- S. saprophyticu S. warnerii (10)
- CoNS (Table 2).

CONCLUSIONS

- against S. lugdunensis.

REFERENCES

- Higgins DL et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005;49:1127–1134. Stryjewski ME et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006;50:862–867.
- 10. Stryjewski ME et al. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; In press.
- 11. Stryjewski ME et al. Clin Infect Dis 2005;40:1601–1607.

18th European Congress of **Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases** Barcelona, Spain 19–22 April 2008

JMI Laboratories North Liberty Iowa 52317–9258 USA

	% inhibit 0.03 <1 <1 <1 0	ed at eac 0.06 14 13 17	h telavan 0.12 89 91	cin MIC (n 0.25 >99 >99	0.5 100
0 0	<1 <1	14 13	89	>99	100
0	<1	13			
0			91	>99	100
0	0	17			100
		Τ/	84	>99	100
<1	1	21	88	>99	100
0	2	26	88	>99	100
<1	<1	20	88	>99	100
5	5	25	100	_	_
0	0	20	90	>99	100
0	6	18	65	97	100
0	0	37	97	100	_
0	0	69	100	_	_
0	0	8	54	100	_
0	0	0	30	90	100
	0 <1 5 0 0 0 0 0	<1 1 0 2 <1 <1 5 5 0 0 0 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	<1 1 21 0 2 26 <1 <1 20 5 5 25 0 0 20 0 6 18 0 0 37 0 0 69 0 0 8	0 0 17 84 <1	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Telavancin was 2-, 4- and 8-fold more potent (MIC_{oo} results) than daptomycin, vancomycin and linezolid, respectively, when testing S. aureus, and 2-, 8- and 4-fold more potent, respectively, when testing

Among CoNS isolates, telavancin was most active against *S. lugdunensis* (MIC₅₀, 0.06 mg/L) and least active against *S. warnerii* (MIC₅₀, 0.25 mg/L; **Table 2**). MIC₅₀ values for other species (S. capitis, S. epidermidis, S. haemolyticus and S. hominis) were all 0.12 mg/L.

High levels of resistance to other agents were observed among OX-R S. aureus and OX-R CoNS with respective resistance rates (%) as follows: erythromycin (69.8/68.0), clindamycin (30.0/29.7), gentamicin (19.7/37.9), levofloxacin (90.7/65.7), tetracycline (11.6/18.3) and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (1.9/45.3).

• Telavancin displayed higher activity than other tested agents against European S. aureus and CoNS (MIC₅₀ and MIC₆₀ values for both, 0.12 and 0.25 mg/L) isolates and inhibited all strains tested at \leq 0.5 mg/L. Potency (MIC₅₀ results) of telavancin against OX-S and OX-R strains was identical for both S. aureus and CoNS.

Among CoNS isolates, telavancin was slightly less active (MIC_{50} , 0.25 mg/L) against *S. warnerii* and more active (MIC₅₀, 0.06 mg/L)

The continued and rapid emergence of resistant staphylococci, including community-acquired MRSA, necessitates the timely introduction of new therapeutic agents and longitudinal surveillance to assist in control efforts.

King A et al. J Antimicrob Chemother 2004;53:797–803.

- Goldstein EJ et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004;48:2149–2152. Hegde SS et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004;48:3043–3050.
- Barcia-Macay M et al. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006;58:1177–1184.
- Saravolatz LD et al. J Antimicrob Chemother 2007:60:406–409. Jansen WT et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007;51:3420–3424.
- Laohavaleeson S et al. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 2007;16:347–357.
- 12. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. CLSI document M100-S18. Wayne, PA, USA, 2008. 13. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. CLSI document M7-A7. Wayne, PA, USA, 2006.

Table 2. Antimicrobial activity of telavancin and comparator antimicrobial agents against **C** auraus and apagulasa pagativa staphylapapai

against <i>S. aureus</i> and coagulase-negative staphylococci						
Organism (n tested)/		MIC (mg/L)	% Susceptible/resistan			
antimicrobial agent	50%	90%	Range	by category*		
S. aureus (2202)						
Telavancin	0.12 1	0.25 1	0.03–0.5 0.25–2	_ / _ 100.0 / 0.0		
Vancomycin Teicoplanin	⊥ ≤2	⊥ ≤2	0.25–2 ≤2–4	100.0 / 0.0		
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5	≤0.06−1	100.0 / -		
Linezolid	1	2	0.5–8	>99.9 / -		
Quinupristin-dalfopristin	≤ 0.25	0.5	≤0.25->2	99.8/0.1		
Levofloxacin Erythromycin	≤0.5 ≤0.25	>4 >2	≤0.5–>4 ≤0.25–>2	69.0 / 30.7 69.1 / 30.4		
Clindamycin	≤0.25 ≤0.25	>2	≤0.25->2 ≤0.25->2	89.3 / 10.7		
Tetracycline	≤2	≤2	≤2–>8	93.0 / 6.9		
Oxacillin	0.5	>2	≤0.25–>2	70.7 / 29.3		
Oxacillin-susceptible (1556		0.10	0.02.05	1		
Telavancin Vancomycin	0.12 1	0.12 1	0.03–0.5 0.25–2	_ / _ 100.0 / 0.0		
Teicoplanin	≤2	≤2	≤2–4	100.0 / 0.0		
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5	≤0.06–1	100.0 / -		
Linezolid	1	2	0.5-2	100.0 / -		
Quinupristin-dalfopristin Levofloxacin	≤0.25 ≤0.5	0.5 ≤0.5	≤0.25–1 ≤0.5–>4	100.0 / 0.0 94.1 / 5.8		
Erythromycin	<u>≤</u> 0.25	>2	≤0.25–>4 ≤0.25–>2	85.7 / 14.1		
Clindamycin	≤0.25	≤0.25	≤0.25->2	97.3 / 2.7		
Tetracycline	≤2	≤2	≤2–>8	95.1 / 4.9		
Oxacillin-resistant (646)	0.10	0.05		1		
Telavancin Vancomycin	0.12 1	0.25 1	0.06–0.5 0.25–2	_ / _ 100.0 / 0.0		
Teicoplanin	≤2	≤2	≤2–4	100.0 / 0.0		
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5	≤0.06–1	100.0 / -		
Linezolid	1	2	0.5–8	99.8 / -		
Quinupristin-dalfopristin Levofloxacin	0.5 >4	0.5 >4	≤0.25–>2 ≤0.5–>4	99.4 / 0.5 8.5 / 90.7		
Erythromycin	>4 >2	>4	≤0.3->4 ≤0.25->2	29.1 / 69.8		
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25->2	70.0 / 30.0		
Tetracycline	≤2	>8	≤2–>8	87.9 / 11.6		
Coagulase-negative staphyloc				1		
Telavancin Vancomycin	0.12 1	0.25 2	≤0.015–0.5 0.25–4	_ / _ 100.0 / 0.0		
Teicoplanin		4	≤2–>16	97.9 / 0.3		
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5	≤0.06–2	99.8 / -		
Linezolid	1	1	0.25–2	100.0 / -		
Quinupristin-dalfopristin Levofloxacin	≤0.25 4	0.5 >4	≤0.25–>2 ≤0.5–>4	99.1 / 0.8 44.6 / 51.6		
Erythromycin	-4	>2	≤0.3->4 ≤0.25->2	40.0 / 59.7		
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25->2	76.3 / 23.4		
Tetracycline	≤2	>8	≤2–>8	82.4 / 17.1		
Oxacillin	>2	>2	≤0.25–>2	23.9 / 76.1		
Oxacillin-susceptible (151) Telavancin	0.12	0.25	0.03–0.5	_ / _		
Vancomycin	1	2	0.25–2	100.0 / 0.0		
Teicoplanin	≤2	4	≤2–16	99.3 / 0.0		
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5	≤0.06–1	100.0 / -		
Linezolid Quinupristin-dalfopristin	0.5 ≤0.25	1 ≤0.25	0.25–1 ≤0.25–1	100.0 / 100.0 / 0.0		
Levofloxacin	≤0.25 ≤0.5	≤0.25 ≤0.5	≤0.25–1 ≤0.5–>4	92.1 / 6.6		
Erythromycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25->2	66.9 / 33.1		
Clindamycin	≤0.25	≤0.25	≤0.25–>2	96.0 / 3.3		
Tetracycline	≤2	>8	≤2–>8	86.8 / 13.2		
Oxacillin-resistant (481) Telavancin	0.12	0.25	≤0.015–0.5	_ / _		
Vancomycin	2	2	0.25-4	, 100.0 / 0.0		
Teicoplanin	≤2	4	≤2–>16	97.5 / 0.4		
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5	≤0.06–2	99.8 / -		
Linezolid Quinupristin-dalfopristin	1 ≤0.25	1 0.5	0.25–2 ≤0.25–>2	100.0 / 98.8 / 1.0		
Levofloxacin	≤0.25 4	>4	≤0.25->2 ≤0.5->4	29.7 / 65.7		
Erythromycin	>2	>2	≤0.25->2	31.6 / 68.0		
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25–>2	70.1 / 29.7		
Tetracycline	≤2	>8	≤2–>8	81.1 / 18.3		
<i>S. capitis</i> (20) Telavancin	0.12	0.12	≤0.015–0.12	_ / _		
Vancomycin	0.12 1	0.12	≤0.015–0.12 0.25–2	100.0 / 0.0		
Teicoplanin	≤2	≤2	0.23 Z ≤2	100.0 / 0.0		
Daptomycin	0.5	1	≤0.06–1	100.0 / -		
Linezolid	1	1	0.25-1	100.0 / -		
Quinupristin-dalfopristin Levofloxacin	≤0.25 ≤0.5	1 >4	≤0.25–1 ≤0.5–>4	100.0 / 0.0 80.0 / 20.0		
Erythromycin	≤0.5 ≤0.25	>4 >2	≤0.3= <i>></i> 4 ≤0.25->2	70.0 / 30.0		
,						

agents against <i>S. aureus</i> an	u coaguia			
Organism (n tested)/ antimicrobial agent	50%	MIC (mg/L) 90%	Range %	Susceptible/resistant, by category*
<i>S. capitis</i> (20) – cont.	JU /0	JU /0	Kalige	by category
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25–>2	85.0 / 15.0
Tetracycline	<u>_</u> 0.20 ≤2	<i>≤</i> 2	<u>≤</u> 2–>8	90.0 / 10.0
Oxacillin	<u>≤</u> 0.25	>2	≤0.25–>2	60.0 / 40.0
S. epidermidis (316)				
Telavancin	0.12	0.25	0.06-0.5	_/_
Vancomycin	2	2	0.5-4	100.0 / 0.0
Teicoplanin Daptomycin	≤2 0.25	4 0.5	≤2–16 ≤0.06–2	98.4 / 0.0 99.7 / –
Linezolid	0.25	1	<u>≤</u> 0.00–2 0.25–2	100.0 / -
Quinupristin-dalfopristin	≤0.25	≤0.25	≤0.25->2	98.1 / 1.6
Levofloxacin	4	>4	≤0.5–>4	36.7 / 58.9
Erythromycin	>2	>2	≤0.25–>2	35.8 / 64.2
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25->2	72.2 / 27.5
Tetracycline Oxacillin	≤2 >2	>8 >2	≤2–>8 ≤0.25–>2	80.1 / 19.0 18.4 / 81.6
S. haemolyticus (34)	>2	>2	≤0.25->2	18.4 / 81.0
Telavancin	0.12	0.25	0.03–0.5	_ / _
Vancomycin	1	2	0.25–4	100.0 / 0.0
Teicoplanin	≤2	16	≤2–>16	85.3 / 2.9
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5	≤0.06–1	100.0 / -
Linezolid	0.5	1	0.5-1	100.0 / -
Quinupristin-dalfopristin Levofloxacin	≤0.25 >4	0.5 >4	≤0.25–0.5 ≤0.5–>4	100.0 / 0.0 29.4 / 70.6
Erythromycin	>4 >2	>2	≤0.3->4 ≤0.25->2	23.5 / 76.5
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25->2	82.4 / 17.6
Tetracycline	≤2	>8	≤2–>8	88.2 / 11.8
Oxacillin	>2	>2	≤0.25–>2	20.6 / 79.4
S. hominis (59)	0.10	0.10		1
Telavancin	0.12 1	0.12 2	0.06–0.25 0.5–2	_ / _ 100.0 / 0.0
Vancomycin Teicoplanin	⊥ ≤2	2	0.5–2 ≤2–16	98.3 / 0.0
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5	≤0.06–1	100.0 / -
Linezolid	1	1	0.5–1	100.0 / -
Quinupristin-dalfopristin	≤0.25	0.5	≤0.25–0.5	100.0 / 0.0
Levofloxacin	2	>4	≤0.5->4	44.1 / 47.5
Erythromycin	>2 ≤0.25	>2 >2	≤0.25->2	28.8 / 71.2
Clindamycin Tetracycline	≤0.25 ≤2	>2 >8	≤0.25–>2 ≤2–>8	78.0 / 20.3 66.1 / 33.9
Oxacillin	>2	>2	≤0.25–>2	16.9 / 83.1
S. lugdunensis (13)				
Telavancin	0.06	0.12	0.06-0.12	_ / _
Vancomycin	1	1	0.5–1	100.0 / 0.0
Teicoplanin	≤2 0.25	≤2 0.25	≤2 0.10.0.05	100.0 / 0.0
Daptomycin Linezolid	0.25 0.5	0.25 1	0.12–0.25 0.25–1	100.0 / 100.0 /
Quinupristin-dalfopristin	≤0.25	≤0.25	≤0.25	100.0 / 0.0
Levofloxacin	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5–>4	92.3 / 7.7
Erythromycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25–>2	76.9 / 23.1
Clindamycin	≤0.25	≤0.25	≤0.25	100.0 / 0.0
Tetracycline	≤2 0.5	≤2 1	≤2 <0.05_1	100.0 / 0.0
Oxacillin <i>S. saprophyticus</i> (13)	0.5	1	≤0.25–1	100.0 / 0.0
Telavancin	0.12	0.25	0.06–0.25	_ / _
Vancomycin	1	2	0.5–2	100.0 / 0.0
Teicoplanin	≤2	≤2	≤2	100.0 / 0.0
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5	0.12-1	100.0 / -
Linezolid	1 0.5	1 0.5	0.5–2 ≤0.25–1	100.0 / -
Quinupristin-dalfopristin Levofloxacin	0.5 ≤0.5	0.5 >4	≤0.25–1 ≤0.5–>4	100.0 / 0.0 69.2 / 30.8
Erythromycin	<u> </u>	>2	<u>≤</u> 0.3 >+ ≤0.25–>2	46.2 / 53.8
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25–>2	84.6 / 15.4
Tetracycline	≤2	≤2	≤2–>8	92.3 / 7.7
Oxacillin	1	>2	≤0.25–>2	7.7 / 92.3
S. warnerii (10)	0.05			1
Telavancin Vancomycin	0.25 1	0.25 2	0.12–0.5 1–2	_ / _ 100.0 / 0.0
Teicoplanin	⊥ ≤2	2 4	1-∠ ≤2-8	100.0 / 0.0
Daptomycin	0.5	1	0.25–1	100.0 / -
Linezolid	1	1	0.5–1	100.0 / -
Quinupristin-dalfopristin		0.5	≤0.25–1	100.0 / 0.0
Levofloxacin	≤0.5	>4	≤0.5–>4	80.0 / 20.0
Erythromycin Clindamycin	≤0.25 <0.25	>2	≤0.25->2 <0.25 >2	70.0 / 30.0
Tetracycline	≤0.25 ≤2	>2 ≤2	≤0.25–>2 ≤2	80.0 / 20.0 100.0 / 0.0
Oxacillin	 ≤0.25	>2	≤0.25–>2	50.0 / 50.0
*Criteria as published by the CL	SI (2008)			

Thomas R Fritsche, MD, PhD 345 Beaver Kreek Centre, Suite A

Phone: +1 319-665-3370 e-mail: thomas-fritsche@jmilabs.co