

Spectrum of Activity of Ceftaroline/NXL104 and β -Lactam Comparator Agents Tested Against Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Carrying Different SCCmec Types and Gram-Negative Bacilli With Well-Characterized Resistance Mechanisms

1236

ECCMID 2010
JMI Laboratories
North Liberty, IA, USA
www.jmilabs.com
ph. 319.665.3370, fax 319.665.3371
david-farrell@jmilabs.com

D.J. FARRELL,¹ R.E. MENDES,¹ G. WILLIAMS,² I. CRITCHLEY,² R.N. JONES,¹ H.S. SADER¹

¹JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA; ²Cerexa, Inc., Oakland, California (a wholly owned subsidiary of Forest Laboratories, Inc., New York, New York, USA)

Amended Abstract

Objective: To determine the spectrum of activity and potency of ceftaroline combined with a fixed 4 mg/L concentration of NXL104 (CPT104) and comparator antimicrobial agents tested against selected molecularly characterized MRSA carrying different SCCmec types and well-characterized Gram-negative strains harbouring different beta-lactamase (BL)-encoding genes. Ceftaroline is a novel parenteral broad-spectrum cephalosporin with activity against Gram-positive (including MRSA and MDRSP) and -negative organisms. Ceftaroline has limited activity against extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)- and AmpC-producing strains. NXL104 is a novel non-beta-lactam BL inhibitor that inhibits Ambler class A, C, and D enzymes (eg, ESBL, KPC, and AmpC).

Methods: Susceptibility testing for all antimicrobials was performed by CLSI broth microdilution method (M07-A8, 2009) on a total of 250 strains categorized as follows: MRSA (100 MRSA subcategorized by SCCmec type [types I-IV and type IV subtypes]); E-ESBL (50 Enterobacteriaceae [ENT] with ESBLs); E-AMPC (49 ENT with AmpC enzymes [28 chromosomal, 21 plasmidic]); E-CARB (31 ENT with carbapenemases [25 KPC, 5 OXA-48, 1 SME]); ACB (10 *Acinetobacter baumannii* [3 wild-type, 7 OXA-23, -24, and -58]); and PSA (10 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [2 wild-type, others include AmpC, MexX, OMP, and metallo-BL producers]).

Results: All MRSA strains, except one, were inhibited at $\leq 2/4$ mg/L of CPT104. The ceftaroline MIC was not affected by addition of NXL104. CPT104 was also very active against a wide variety of ENT with ESBL, chromosomal/plasmidic AmpC, and carbapenemases (highest MIC, 4 mg/L). Ceftaroline was not active against the vast majority of these selected ENT. Although CPT104 demonstrated activity against wild-type ACB and PSA, activity was low against BL-producing strains. Ceftazidime and piperacillin/tazobactam (P/T) were inactive against all six organism categories. Imipenem was active against E-ESBL and E-AMPC but had very limited or no activity against other categories.

Abstract Table

| Antimicrobial | MIC ₅₀ /MIC ₉₀ (mg/L) | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|-----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| | MRSA | E-ESBL | E-AMPC | E-CARB | ACB | PSA |
| Ceftaroline | 1/2 | >64/>64 | 32/>64 | >64/>64 | >64/>64 | >64/>64 |
| CPT104 ^a | 1/2 | 0.06/0.25 | 0.12/0.5 | 0.5/2 | 16/>32 | 16/>32 |
| Ceftazidime | >16/>16 | >16/>16 | >16/>16 | >16/>16 | 16/>16 | >16/>16 |
| P/T | 64/>64 | 32/>64 | 32/>64 | >64/>64 | >64/>64 | 64/>64 |
| Imipenem | >8/>8 | 0.12/0.5 | 0.5/1 | 8/>8 | >8/>8 | 8/>8 |

a. Concentrations reported in the table for CPT104 refer to the concentration of ceftaroline

Conclusions: CPT104 was very active against MRSA regardless of SCCmec type or subtype and all ENT regardless of BL type, but had limited intrinsic activity against ACB and PSA expressing a variety of BLs. CPT104 had a wider spectrum of activity against these resistant Gram-positive and -negative categories than the four comparators. CPT104 represents a potential therapeutic option for empiric therapy in settings where MRSA and BL-positive ENT predominate.

Introduction

Ceftaroline is a novel parenteral broad-spectrum cephalosporin with potent activity against Gram-positive organisms, including methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Ceftaroline is also active against most Enterobacteriaceae species but, like all cephalosporins, has limited activity against extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL)- and AmpC-hyperproducing strains.

NXL104 is a novel non- β -lactam β -lactamase inhibitor that inhibits Ambler classes A (eg, ESBL, KPC), C (AmpC), and D (OXA-like) enzymes. In the present study, we evaluated the spectrum of activity and potency of ceftaroline combined with NXL104 (CPT104) and comparator antimicrobial agents tested against well-characterized Gram-negative strains harboring various β -lactamase-encoding genes. A selected group of molecularly characterized MRSA carrying different SCCmec types was also evaluated.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial Isolates. A total of 250 organisms were tested:

- Enterobacteriaceae with selected β -lactamase types (130)
 - ESBLs (50)
 - Chromosomal and plasmidic AmpC enzyme types (49)
 - Serine carbapenemases (31)
- Acinetobacter* spp. (10)
 - Wild-type (3)
 - Carbapenemase producers (7)
- Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (10)
 - Wild-type (2)
 - AmpC hyperproducers +/- overexpression of efflux pump (3)
 - Metallo- β -lactamase (M β L) producers (4)
 - GES (1)
- S. aureus* strains carrying different SCCmec types from various geographic regions each representing predominant national or regional clones (100 total)
 - SCCmec type I (19 strains)
 - SCCmec type II (20 strains)
 - SCCmec type III (20 strains)
 - SCCmec type IV (41 strains)

Susceptibility Testing. All isolates were tested for antimicrobial susceptibility using the reference broth microdilution method as described by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (M07-A8, 2009). Cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth was used in validated panels. Ceftaroline was combined with NXL104 at a fixed concentration of 4 mg/L (CPT104). Categorical interpretations for all antimicrobials were those found in M100-S20 (2010), and quality control (QC) was performed using *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *S. aureus* ATCC 29213, and *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 27853. All QC results were within specified ranges as published in CLSI documents.

Results

- Among ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae, CPT104 MIC results ranged from $\leq 0.015/4$ to 1/4 mg/L. The majority (13 of 21; 62%) of CTX-M-producing strains exhibited a CPT104 MIC of 0.06/4 mg/L (MIC ranged from 0.03/4 to 1/4 mg/L). One *Serratia marcescens* (CTX-M-2) and one *Enterobacter* spp. (CTX-M-like) had CPT104 MICs of 1/4 mg/L, while all other CTX-M-producing strains were inhibited at $\leq 0.25/4$ mg/L (Table 1)
- SHV-producing Enterobacteriaceae exhibited a broad range of CPT104 MIC values ($\leq 0.015/4$ to 1/4 mg/L), and the highest MIC values (0.5/4 to 1/4 mg/L) were observed among *Enterobacter* spp. All *Klebsiella* spp. strains were inhibited at $\leq 0.25/4$ mg/L, and the only SHV-12-positive *E. coli* tested had a CPT104 MIC of 0.03/4 mg/L (Table 1)
- CPT104 MIC values were generally low ($\leq 0.25/4$ mg/L) among TEM-producing strains and the vast majority of isolates in this group produced an ESBL in addition to the TEM enzyme (Table 1)
- The chromosomally stably derepressed AmpC producer group contained *Citrobacter* spp., *Enterobacter* spp., and *Serratia* spp., and showed CPT104 MIC₅₀ of 0.12/4 and MIC₉₀ of 0.5/4 mg/L, similar to those of plasmidic AmpC producers (MIC₅₀, 0.12/4 mg/L and MIC₉₀, 0.25/4 mg/L). The plasmidic AmpC group consisted mostly of *E. coli* isolates (Table 1)

Table 1. Frequency of Occurrence of CPT104 MIC Values Among the Organism Groups Evaluated

| Organism (no. tested) | No. of strains (cumulative %) inhibited at CPT104 MIC ^a (mg/L) of: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--------|---------|----------------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| | ≤ 0.015 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | >32 |
| Enterobacteriaceae (All; 130) | 3 (2) | 5 (6) | 32 (31) | 38 (60) ^b | 21 (76) | 15 (88) | 10 (95) | 3 (98) | 3 (100) | - | - | - | - |
| ESBL-producing (50) | 3 (6) | 3 (12) | 21 (54) | 13 (80) | 6 (92) | 1 (94) | 3 (100) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CTX-M (21) | - | 1 (5) | 13 (67) | 2 (76) | 3 (90) | 0 (90) | 2 (100) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| OXY (7) | - | - | 4 (57) | 3 (100) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SHV (12) | 2 (17) | 1 (25) | 2 (42) | 3 (67) | 2 (83) | 1 (92) | 1 (100) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TEM (10) | 1 (10) | 1 (20) | 2 (40) | 5 (90) | 1 (100) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| AmpC-producing (49) | - | 2 (4) | 10 (24) | 21 (67) | 11 (90) | 4 (98) | 1 (100) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Chromosomal (28) | - | - | 3 (11) | 13 (57) | 7 (82) | 4 (96) | 1 (100) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Plasmid CMY (21) | - | 2 (10) | 7 (43) | 8 (81) | 4 (100) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Carbapenemase-producing (31) | - | - | 1 (3) | 4 (16) | 4 (29) | 10 (61) | 6 (81) | 3 (90) | 3 (100) | - | - | - | - |
| KPC (25) | - | - | - | 3 (12) | 4 (28) | 9 (64) | 5 (84) | 2 (92) | 2 (100) | - | - | - | - |
| OXA-48 (5) | - | - | 1 (20) | 1 (40) | 0 (40) | 0 (40) | 1 (60) | 1 (80) | 1 (100) | - | - | - | - |
| SME (1) | - | - | - | - | - | 1 (100) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| <i>Acinetobacter</i> spp. (10) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 (20) | 1 (30) | 0 (30) | 0 (30) | 2 (50) | 0 (50) | 5 (100) |
| Wild-type (3) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 (67) | 1 (100) | - | - | - | - | - |
| OXA-23 (3) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 (100) | - |
| OXA-24 (2) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 (50) | 0 (50) | 1 (50) | - |
| OXA-58 (2) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 (50) | 0 (50) | 1 (50) | - |
| <i>P. aeruginosa</i> (10) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 (10) | 0 (10) | 5 (60) | 0 (60) | 4 (100) |
| Wild-type (2) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 (50) | 0 (50) | 1 (100) | - | - |
| AmpC/MexX/OMP (3) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 (100) | - | - |
| MBL IMP/VIM/SPM (4) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 (100) |
| GES (1) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 (100) | - | - |
| <i>S. aureus</i> (100) | - | - | - | - | 28 (28) | 55 (83) | 29 (99) | 1 (100) | - | - | - | - | - |
| SCCmec I (19) | - | - | - | - | - | 1 (5) | 17 (95) | 1 (100) | - | - | - | - | - |
| SCCmec II (20) | - | - | - | - | 2 (10) | 15 (85) | 3 (100) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SCCmec III (20) | - | - | - | - | 2 (10) | 12 (70) | 6 (100) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SCCmec IV (41) | - | - | - | - | 24 (59) | 17 (100) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

a. Ceftaroline combined with NXL104 at a fixed concentration of 4 mg/L. MIC value indicates ceftaroline concentration

b. Underlined value indicates the mode

- Wild-type strains of *Acinetobacter* spp. exhibited CPT104 values of 1/4 or 2/4 mg/L. All OXA-producing strains showed CPT104 MIC values of $\geq 16/4$ mg/L. The addition of the NXL104 component to ceftaroline resulted in a modest reduction of the ceftaroline MIC to 16/4 mg/L in 2 strains (an OXA-24- and an OXA-58-producing strain)
- Among *P. aeruginosa*, CPT104 MIC results varied from 4/4 to >32/4 mg/L. Wild-type strains showed the lowest CPT104 MIC values (4/4 and 16/4 mg/L), and the highest CPT104 MIC results were observed among the M β L-producing strains (>32/4 mg/L; Table 1)
- Ceftazidime and piperacillin/tazobactam showed very limited activity against all six organism/enzyme categories. Imipenem was active against ESBL- and AmpC-producing Enterobacteriaceae, but had very limited or no activity against other resistance mechanism categories (Table 2)
- Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae strains were also susceptible to CPT104 (MIC₅₀, 0.5/4 mg/L), but exhibited MIC values slightly higher than those of ESBL- or AmpC-producing strains (MIC₅₀, 0.12/4 mg/L)
- All MRSA strains except one, were inhibited at $\leq 2/4$ mg/L of CPT104 (MIC₅₀, 1/4 mg/L). Furthermore, ceftaroline MIC was not affected by addition of NXL104, and MIC values for ceftaroline and CPT104 were essentially identical or only varied +/- 1 doubling dilution step (Tables 1 and 2). The lowest CPT104 MIC values were observed among strains with SCCmec type IV (MIC mode, 0.5/4 mg/L), while the highest MIC values were found among MRSA with SCCmec type I (MIC mode, 2/4 mg/L; Table 1)

Table 2. Comparison of In vitro Activity of CPT104 and Selected Antimicrobial Agents Tested Against a Well-characterized Collection of β -lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae, Nonfermentative Gram-negative Bacilli, and Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*

| Antimicrobial agent | MIC ₅₀ | MIC ₉₀ | Range | % susceptible/resistant ^a |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Enterobacteriaceae | | | | |
| ESBL-producing ^b (50) | | | | |
| CPT104 | 0.06 | 0.25 | $\leq 0.015 - 1$ | - / - |
| Ceftaroline | >64 | >64 | 0.06 - >64 | - / - |
| Ceftazidime | >16 | >16 | 0.25 - >16 | 40.0 / 54.0 |
| Cefepime | 4 | >16 | $\leq 0.12 - >16$ | 56.0 / 38.0 |
| Ampicillin/sulbactam | >16 | >16 | 4 - >16 | 4.0 / 86.0 |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam | 32 | >64 | $\leq 0.5 - >64$ | 44.0 / 46.0 |
| Imipenem | 0.12 | 0.5 | $\leq 0.06 - 8$ | 98.0 / 0.0 |
| Amikacin | 4 | 16 | 1 - >32 | 90.0 / 8.0 |
| AmpC-producing ^b (49) | | | | |
| CPT104 | 0.12 | 0.5 | 0.03 - 1 | - / - |
| Ceftaroline | 32 | >64 | 0.25 - >64 | - / - |
| Ceftazidime | >16 | >16 | 2 - >16 | 4.1 / 95.9 |
| Cefepime | 1 | 2 | $\leq 0.12 - 4$ | 100.0 / 0.0 |
| Ampicillin/sulbactam | >16 | >16 | >16 | 0.0 / 100.0 |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam | 32 | >64 | 1 - >64 | 42.9 / 30.6 |
| Imipenem | 0.5 | 1 | 0.12 - 4 | 100.0 / 0.0 |
| Amikacin | 2 | 8 | 1 - >32 | 95.9 / 2.0 |
| Carbapenemase-producing ^b (31) | | | | |
| CPT104 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.06 - 4 | - / - |
| Ceftaroline | >64 | >64 | 1 - >64 | - / - |
| Ceftazidime | >16 | >16 | $\leq 0.12 - >16$ | 9.6 / 83.9 |
| Cefepime | >16 | >16 | 0.25 - >16 | 25.8 / 61.3 |
| Ampicillin/sulbactam | >16 | >16 | >16 | 0.0 / 100.0 |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam | >64 | >64 | 1 - >64 | 3.2 / 90.3 |
| Imipenem | 8 | >8 | 0.25 - >8 | 38.7 / 32.3 |
| Amikacin | 16 | >32 | 1 - >32 | 58.1 / 32.3 |
| <i>Acinetobacter</i> spp. (10) | | | | |
| CPT104 | 16 | >32 | 1 - >32 | - / - |
| Ceftaroline | >64 | >64 | 1 - >64 | - / - |
| Ceftazidime | 16 | >16 | 2 - >16 | 30.0 / 50.0 |
| Cefepime | >16 | >16 | 0.5 - >16 | 30.0 / 70.0 |
| Ampicillin/sulbactam | >16 | >16 | 1 - >16 | 30.0 / 60.0 |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam | >64 | >64 | $\leq 0.5 - >64$ | 30.0 / 70.0 |
| Imipenem | >8 | >8 | 0.25 - >8 | 30.0 / 70.0 |
| Amikacin | 4 | >32 | 0.5 - >32 | 50.0 / 30.0 |
| <i>P. aeruginosa</i> (10) | | | | |
| CPT104 | 16 | >32 | 4 - >32 | - / - |
| Ceftaroline | >64 | >64 | 8 - >64 | - / - |
| Ceftazidime | >16 | >16 | 2 - >16 | 20.0 / 60.0 |
| Cefepime | >16 | >16 | 2 - >16 | 10.0 / 60.0 |
| Ampicillin/sulbactam | >16 | >16 | >16 | - / - |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam | 64 | >64 | 4 - >64 | 60.0 / 40.0 |
| Imipenem | 8 | >8 | 1 - >8 | 20.0 / 50.0 |
| Amikacin | >32 | >32 | 4 - >32 | 30.0 / 60.0 |
| MRSA (100) | | | | |
| CPT104 | 1 | 2 | 0.5 - 4 | - / - |
| Ceftaroline | 1 | 2 | 0.5 - 4 | - / - |
| Cefepime | >16 | >16 | 8 - >16 | 4.0 / 96.0 |
| Ceftazidime | >16 | >16 | >16 | 0.0 / 100.0 |
| Imipenem | >8 | >8 | $\leq 0.12 - >8$ | 42.0 / 52.0 |
| Vancomycin | 1 | 1 | 0.5 - 2 | 100.0 / 0.0 |

- a. Criteria as published by the CLSI [2010]. β -lactam susceptibility should be directed by the oxacillin test results
- b. Includes: *Enterobacter aerogenes* (1 strain), *Enterobacter cloacae* (4 strains), *Escherichia coli* (18 strains), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (7 strains), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (16 strains), *Proteus mirabilis* (2 strains), *Salmonella typhimurium* (1 strain), and *Serratia marcescens* (1 strain)
- c. = breakpoint criteria not available
- d. Includes: *Citrobacter freundii* (14 strains), *Enterobacter aerogenes* (4 strains), *Enterobacter cloacae* (7 strains), *Escherichia coli* (14 strains), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (2 strains), *Proteus mirabilis* (2 strains), *Salmonella newport* (1 strain), *Serratia marcescens* (3 strains), Group C *Salmonella* (1 strain), and Group D *Salmonella* (1 strain)
- e. Includes: *Citrobacter freundii* (2 strains), *Enterobacter cloacae* (2 strains), *Escherichia coli* (4 strains), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (3 strains), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (19 strains), and *Serratia marcescens* (1 strain)

Conclusions

- CPT104 was very active against ESBL-producing strains independent of the type of enzyme. CPT104 MIC values for ESBL-producing strains were similar to the ceftaroline MIC values exhibited by wild-type strains of the same species
- CPT104 was also very active against both chromosomal- and plasmid-mediated AmpC-producing strains (MIC₅₀, 0.12/4 mg/L)
- Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae strains were also susceptible to CPT104 (MIC₅₀, 0.5/4 mg/L), but exhibited MIC values slightly higher than those of ESBL- or AmpC-producing strains (MIC₅₀, 0.12/4 mg/L)
- CPT104 showed good in vitro activity against a small number of wild-type *Acinetobacter* spp. strains tested (MIC, 1/4 - 2/4 mg/L), but limited activity against OXA-producing MDR *Acinetobacter* spp. and the collection *P. aeruginosa* strains
- CPT104 was very active against MRSA, with the lowest MIC values being observed among the more common community-associated USA300 isolates possessing SCCmec type IV cassette (USA300-like)
- CPT104 represents a potential therapeutic option for empiric therapy in settings where β -lactamase-producing Enter