Activity of Ceftaroline-Avibactam Tested Against Multidrug-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae and Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus Collected from USA Hospitals in 2011

ECCMID 2012 P1443 HS SADER, RK FLAMM, M CASTANHEIRA, RN JONES JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA Helio S. Sader, M.D., Ph.D. JMI Laboratories www.jmilabs.com ph. 319.665.3370, fax 319.665.3371 helio-sader@jmilabs.com

## Amended Abstract

**Objective:** To evaluate the activity of ceftaroline (CPT) combined with avibactam (formerly NXL-104) tested against resistant subsets of Enterobacteriaceae (ENT) and MRSA strains. CPT is a broad-spectrum cephalosporin with activity against Gram-negative and -positive (including MRSA and multidrug-resistant [R] *S. pneumoniae*) organisms. Avibactam is a novel non-beta-lactam  $\beta$ -lactamase (BL) inhibitor that inhibits Ambler class A, C, and D enzymes (eg, ESBL, KPC, and AmpC).

**Methods**: CPT-avibactam (CPA; avibactam at fixed 4 mg/L) and various comparators were tested for susceptibility (S) by CLSI broth microdilution methods against 1,800 ENT, including ESBL-phenotype *E. coli* (43) and *Klebsiella* spp. (KSP; 67), AmpC derepressed *Enterobacter* spp. (ESP; 60), carbapenem (CB)-non-S (most were KPC-producing), KSP (13) and ESP (2), ciprofloxacin-R ENT (224) and gentamicin-R ENT (120), among other R phenotypes. 1496 *S. aureus*, including 738 MRSA strains were also tested. The strains were consecutively collected in 2011 from 52 medical centres located in the 9 USA Census Regions.

#### Results

- Among Enterobacteriaceae, 98.4% of strains would be categorized as susceptible to ceftaroline-avibactam when the susceptible breakpoint established by the USA-FDA for ceftaroline (≤0.5 mg/L) was applied. Among the 28 non-susceptible strains, 23 had a ceftaroline-avibactam MIC of 1 mg/L (intermediate category by USA-FDA breakpoint criteria for ceftaroline) and 15 (55.6%) were *S. marcescens* (Table 1)
- Ceftaroline-avibactam was active against non-ESBL strains (MIC<sub>50/90</sub> of 0.06/0.12 mg/L; 100.0% inhibited at ≤0.5 mg/L) as well as ESBL-phenotype *Klebsiella* spp. (MIC<sub>50/90</sub> of 0.12/0.5 mg/L; 95.5 and 98.5% inhibited at ≤0.5 and ≤1 mg/L, respectively). Resistance rates to "third-generation" cephalosporins were high among ESBL-phenotype *Klebsiella* spp. (80.6 and 59.7% resistance to ceftriaxone and ceftazidime, respectively, according to CLSI breakpoints; Table 2)
- All *E. coli* isolates were inhibited at ceftaroline-avibactam MIC of  $\leq 0.25$  mg/L

Table 2. Antimicrobial activity of ceftaroline-avibactam and comparatoragents tested against Enterobacteriaceae isolates from USA medicalcentres (2011)

ntimicrobial agent	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC (r MIC <sub>90</sub>	ng/L) Range	CLSI <sup>a</sup> %S / %R	EUCAS <sup>-</sup> %S / %I
(lebsiella spp. (539)					
Ceftaroline-avibactam Ceftazidime	0.06 0.12	0.12 2	≤0.015 – 2 0.03 – >32	_ <sup>a</sup> / _ 91.8 / 7.4	- / - 89.8 / 8.
Ceftriaxone	≤0.06	4	≤0.06 ->8	88.9 / 10.0	88.9 / 10
Meropenem	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06 ->8	97.6 / 2.2	97.8 / 1.
Piperacillin/tazobactam	2	16	≤0.5 - >64	90.7 / 7.8	85.5/9.
Gentamicin Levofloxacin	≤1 ≤0.12	≤1 1	≤1 – >8 ≤0.12 – >4	95.0 / 3.7 92.0 / 6.7	94.4 / 5. 91.3 / 8.
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	0.25	1	<u> </u>	92.070.7	94.8 / 1.
SBL-phenotype <sup>c</sup> (67)				<i>,</i>	,
Ceftaroline-avibactam Ceftazidime	0.12 32	0.5 >32	≤0.015 – 2 0.12 – >32	- / - 34.3 / 59.7	- / - 17.9 / 65
Ceftriaxone		>32 >8	0.12 -> 32	10.4 / 80.6	10.4 / 80
Meropenem	≤0.06	>8	≤0.06 ->8	80.6 / 17.9	82.1 / 13
Piperacillin/tazobactam	>64	>64	1 ->64	32.8 / 58.2	23.9 / 67
Gentamicin Levofloxacin	2	>8	≤1 – >8 ≤0.12 – >4	65.7 / 25.4 44.8 / 50.7	61.2 / 34 43.3 / 55
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	>4 0.5	>4 2	$\leq 0.12 - >4$ 0.06 - 4	44.8 / 50.7 95.5 / 0.0	43.3 / 50 85.1 / 4
leropenem-non-susceptible	e <sup>d</sup> (13)				
Ceftaroline-avibactam	0.25	1	0.06 – 2	-/- 77/022	-/-
Ceftazidime Ceftriaxone	>32 >8	>32 >8	2 – >32 >8	7.7 / 92.3 0.0 / 100.0	0.0 / 92
Piperacillin/tazobactam	>64	>64	>64	0.0 / 100.0	0.0 / 100
Gentamicin	2	>8	≤1 – >8	69.2 / 23.1	69.2 / 30
Levofloxacin	>4	>4	0.25 ->4	7.7/92.3	7.7/92
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup> scherichia coli (435)	0.5	1	0.25 – 2	100.0 / 0.0	92.3 / 0
<i>Scherichia coli</i> (435) Ceftaroline-avibactam	0.03	0.06	≤0.015 – 0.25	- / -	- / -
Ceftazidime	0.03	1	≤0.015 – 0.25 ≤0.015 – >32	94.0 / 5.3	91.5/6
Ceftriaxone	≤0.06	0.25	≤0.06 ->8	91.3 / 8.5	91.3/8
Meropenem	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06 – 0.12	100.0 / 0.0	100.0/0
Piperacillin/tazobactam	2 ≤1	8	≤0.5 – >64 ≤1 – >8	94.3/3.9 86.0/13.3	92.2/5
Gentamicin Levofloxacin	≤1 ≤0.12	>8 >4	≤1 — >8 ≤0.12 — >4	86.0 / 13.3 69.9 / 29.7	85.1 / 14 69.7 / 30
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	0.12	0.25	≤0.03 – 0.5	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0
SBL-phenotype <sup>c</sup> (43)					
Ceftaroline-avibactam	0.03	0.12	≤0.015 – 0.25 1 – >32	- / - 39.5 / 53.5	- / - 14.0 / 60
Ceftazidime Ceftriaxone	16 >8	>32 >8	1 – >32 0.25 – >8	39.5 / 53.5	14.0 / 60
Meropenem	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06 – 0.12	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0
Piperacillin/tazobactam	8	>64	1 ->64	79.1 / 14.0	62.8 / 20
Gentamicin	≤1	>8	≤1 – >8	65.1 / 34.9	62.8/34
Levofloxacin	>4	>4	≤0.12 - >4	16.3 / 83.7	16.3/83
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup> nterobacter (337)	0.12	0.25	0.06 – 0.25	100.0 / 0.0	100.0/0
Ceftaroline-avibactam	0.06	0.25	≤0.015 – 2	- / -	- / -
Ceftazidime	0.25	>32	0.03 ->32	82.2 / 16.6	78.9/17
Ceftriaxone Meropenem	0.25 <0.06	>8 <0.06	≤0.06 – >8 <0.06 – 8	78.9/19.6	78.9/19
Meropenem Piperacillin/tazobactam	≤0.06 2	≤0.06 64	≤0.06 – 8 ≤0.5 – >64	99.4 / 0.6 84.6 / 6.5	99.4 / 0 82.5 / 15
Gentamicin	∠ ≤1	54 ≤1	_0.0 >04 ≤1 – >8	96.4 / 3.6	95.8/3
Levofloxacin	≤0.12	0.5	≤0.12−>4	96.1 / 3.3	96.1 / 3
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	0.25	0.5	0.12 – 4	98.5 / 0.0	95.3 / 1
Ceftazidime-non-susceptible	e° (60) 0.25	1	0.03 – 2	- / -	-/-
Ceftazidime	>32	>32	8 -> 32	, 0.0 / 93.3	, 0.0 / 100
Ceftriaxone	>8	>8	2->8	0.0 / 96.7	0.0 / 96
Meropenem	≤0.06	0.25	≤0.06 – 8	96.7/3.3	96.7/0
Piperacillin/tazobactam Gentamicin	64 ≤1	>64 >8	1 – >64 ≤1 – >8	16.7 / 36.7 83.3 / 16.7	11.7 / 83 81.7 / 16
Levofloxacin	≤0.12	>8 >4	≤1 – >8 ≤0.12 – >4	83.3 / 16.7	83.3 / 16
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	0.25	2	0.12 - 4	95.0 / 0.0	85.0 / 5
roteus mirabilis (150)		<b>0</b> 1 -		,	
Ceftaroline-avibactam	0.06	0.12	0.03 - 0.25	-/- 1000/00	-/-
Ceftazidime Ceftriaxone	0.06 ≤0.06	0.06 ≤0.06	0.03 – 4 ≤0.06 – >8	100.0 / 0.0 97.3 / 2.0	96.7 / 0 97.3 / 2
Meropenem	≤0.06	≤0.00 ≤0.06	≤0.00 - >0 ≤0.06 - 0.5	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0
Piperacillin/tazobactam	≤0.5	1	≤0.5−>64	98.7 / 0.7	98.7 / 1
Gentamicin	≤1 <0.12	4	≤1 – >8	91.3/5.3	89.3/8
Levofloxacin Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	≤0.12 2	>4 4	≤0.12 – >4 0.25 – >4	77.3 / 18.7 81.2 / 1.3	76.0/22
erratia marcescens (148)	۷	4	0.20 - 24	01.271.0	ו / ט.דד
Ceftaroline-avibactam	0.5	1	0.06 - 4	- / -	- / -
Ceftazidime	0.25	0.5	0.03 - 32	98.0 / 1.4	97.3/2
Ceftriaxone Meropenem	0.25 <0.06	1 <0.06	≤0.06 – >8 <0.06 – 2	93.9/5.4	93.9/5
Meropenem Piperacillin/tazobactam	≤0.06 2	≤0.06 4	≤0.06 – 2 ≤0.5 – >64	99.3 / 0.0 99.3 / 0.7	100.0/0 98.6/0
Gentamicin	∠ ≤1	4 ≤1	≤0.3 = >04 ≤1 - >8	99.0 / 2.0	98.0/0
Levofloxacin	≤0.12	0.5	≤0.12 ->4	96.6 / 0.7	95.3/3
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	0.5	1	0.25 – >4	98.6 / 1.4	95.9 / 1
<i>itrobacter</i> spp. (100) Ceftaroline-avibactam	0.06	0.12	≤0.015 – 1	- / -	- / -
Ceftazidime	0.00	16	≤0.013 – 1 0.06 – >32	89.0 / 11.0	- / - 89.0 / 11
Ceftriaxone	0.12	1	≤0.06 ->8	90.0 / 10.0	90.0 / 10
Meropenem	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06	100.0/0.0	100.0/0
Piperacillin/tazobactam	2	16	≤0.5 — >64	94.0 / 2.0	87.0/6
Gentamicin Levofloxacin	≤1 ≤0.12	≤1 0.25	≤1 – >8 ≤0.12 – >4	99.0 / 1.0 99.0 / 1.0	99.0 / 1 96.0 / 1
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	≤0.12 0.12	0.25 0.25	≤0.12 - >4 0.06 - 1	99.071.0	90.071 100.070
dole-positive Proteae (91)					
Ceftaroline-avibactam	0.03	0.06	≤0.015 – 0.25	-/-	-/-
Ceftazidime	0.12	16	0.03 ->32	84.6 / 11.0	80.2 / 15
Ceftriaxone Meropenem	≤0.06 ≤0.06	2 0.12	≤0.06 – >8 ≤0.06 – 0.25	84.6 / 9.9 100.0 / 0.0	84.6 / 9 100.0 / 0
Piperacillin/tazobactam	≤0.08 ≤0.5	2	≤0.00 – 0.25 ≤0.5 – >64	95.6 / 3.3	95.6 / 4
Gentamicin	_010 ≤1	>8	≤1 – >8	84.6 / 12.1	80.2 / 15
Levofloxacin	≤0.12	>4	≤0.12 ->4	82.4 / 13.2	79.1 / 17
Tigecycline <sup>b</sup>	0.5	2	0.12 ->4	93.4 / 1.1	87.9/6.

**Results**: 99.7% of ENT and 99.1% of MRSA strains were inhibited at CPA MIC of  $\leq 1 \text{ mg/L}$  (see Table 1). Highest CPA MIC was only 4 mg/L (2 *S. marcescens* strains; 0.1% of ENT). The most active compounds tested against the ESBL-phenotype and CB-non-S KSP were CPA (95.5% and 76.9% inhibited at  $\leq 0.5$ mg/L [USA-FDA S breakpoint for CPT], respectively), tigecycline (95.5/85.1% and 100.0/92.3% S by CLSI/EUCAST criteria, respectively) and gentamicin (65.7/61.2% and 69.2/69.2% S by CLSI/EUCAST criteria, respectively). All MRSA strains were inhibited at  $\leq 2 \text{ mg/L}$  of CPA, and CPT MIC results were not affected by the addition of avibactam. Against methicillin-S *S. aureus*, CPA inhibited all at MIC  $\leq 0.5 \text{ mg/L}$  and was 16fold more active than ceftriaxone.

**Conclusions**: Avibactam can effectively lower CPT MIC values for ENT strains producing the most clinically significant BLs found in USA hospitals. CPA was highly active against ENTproducing KPC, various ESBL types, and AmpC (chromosomally derepressed or plasmid mediated), and MRSA. CPA represents a promising therapeutic option for treatment of infections caused by multidrug-R ENT and MRSA.

# Introduction

Ceftaroline fosamil is the parenteral prodrug form of ceftaroline, a new cephalosporin with activity against Gram-positive organisms, including methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and multidrug-resistant *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Ceftaroline fosamil has been approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (USA-FDA) for acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections (ABSSSI) and community-acquired bacterial pneumonia (CABP). (MIC<sub>50/90,</sub> 0.03/0.06 mg/L). Among non-ESBL-phenotype strains (MIC<sub>50/90,</sub> 0.03/0.06 mg/L), the highest ceftaroline-avibactam MIC was only 0.12 mg/L, and ESBL-phenotype strains also were very susceptible to ceftaroline-avibactam (MIC<sub>50/90,</sub> 0.03/0.12 mg/L; highest MIC, 0.25 mg/L; Tables 1 and 2)

Among 337 Enterobacter spp. strains, 97.3% were inhibited by ceftaroline-avibactam at ≤0.5 mg/L. Meropenem (MIC<sub>90</sub>, ≤0.06 mg/L; 99.4% susceptible) and tigecycline (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.25/0.5 mg/L; 98.5/95.3% susceptible by CLSI/EUCAST breakpoint criteria) were also very active against Enterobacter spp. (Table 2). Among ceftazidime-non-susceptible (MIC, ≥8 mg/L) Enterobacter spp. strains, 85.0 and 96.7% of strains were inhibited at ceftaroline-avibactam MIC of ≤0.5 and ≤1 mg/L, respectively (Tables 1 and 2)

- Ceftaroline-avibactam inhibited all 150 *P. mirabilis* strains at ≤0.25 mg/L (MIC<sub>50/90,</sub> 0.06/0.12 mg/L). Ceftaroline-avibactam was also active against Serratia marcescens (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.5/1 mg/L), with 89.9 and 98.7% of strains being inhibited at MIC of ≤0.5 and ≤1 mg/L, respectively (Tables 1 and 2)
- Applying the ceftaroline susceptible breakpoint established by the USA-FDA for some Enterobacteriaceae species (≤0.5 mg/L; E. coli, K. pneumoniae and K. oxytoca), 99.0% of Citrobacter spp. strains were considered susceptible to ceftaroline-avibactam (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.06/0.12 mg/L). Ceftaroline-avibactam was also very active against indole-positive Proteae (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.03/0.06 mg/L), with the highest MIC value being only 0.25 mg/L (Tables 1 and 2)
- S. aureus was very susceptible to ceftaroline and ceftaroline-avibactam (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.25/1 mg/L for both compounds; Tables 1 and 3). All isolates were inhibited at a ceftaroline-avibactam MIC of ≤2 mg/L and 99.5% were inhibited at a ceftaroline-avibactam MIC of ≤1 mg/L, which is the susceptible breakpoint established by the USA-FDA for ceftaroline
- Ceftaroline and ceftaroline-avibactam exhibited very similar activities against MRSA strains, and 99.1% of strains were inhibited at a ceftaroline-avibactam MIC of ≤1 mg/L. Furthermore, ceftaroline-avibactam (MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, 0.5/1 mg/L) was slightly more potent than linezolid (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, 1 mg/L) and vancomycin (MIC<sub>50</sub> and MIC<sub>90</sub>, 1 mg/L) when tested against MRSA (Table 3)
- The overall MRSA rate was 49.3% and these strains exhibited high rates of resistance to erythromycin (88.6/89.7% [CLSI/EUCAST]), clindamycin (26.8%) and levofloxacin (64.5%; Table 3).

Ceftaroline is also active against most Enterobacteriaceae species but, like other cephalosporins, has limited activity against isolates producing extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase (ESBL), cephalosporinases and carbapenemases. However, the spectrum of activity of ceftaroline is expanded when combined with avibactam (formerly NXL-104), a potent non- $\beta$ -lactam  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitor against Ambler classes A (eg, ESBL, KPC), C (AmpC), and D (OXA-like) enzymes.

In the present study, we evaluated the activity of ceftaroline combined with avibactam tested against Enterobacteriaceae and *S. aureus* strains isolated in United States hospitals in 2011.

# Methods

Bacterial isolates. 1,800 Enterobacteriaceae and 1,496 *S. aureus* were collected from 52 medical centres located in the nine USA Census Regions. The isolates were collected between January and September of 2011 and only one isolate per patient from documented infections were included in this prevalence design study. Species identification was confirmed by standard biochemical tests, the Vitek System (bioMerieux, Hazelwood, Missouri, USA) or 16S rRNA sequencing, when necessary.

<u>Antimicrobial susceptibility testing</u>. All isolates were tested for antimicrobial susceptibility using the broth microdilution method (BMD) as described by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI; M07-A9, 2012). Cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth was used in validated BMD panels. Ceftaroline-avibactam

# Conclusions

- Avibactam can effectively lower ceftaroline MIC values for Enterobacteriaceae strains producing the most clinically significant β-lactamases found in USA hospitals
- Ceftaroline-avibactam demonstrated excellent activity against Enterobacteriaceae-producing KPC, various ESBL types, and AmpC (chromosomally derepressed or plasmid mediated). Using the USA-FDA breakpoint for ceftaroline susceptibility (≤0.5 mg/L), ceftaroline-avibactam was among the most active agents tested
- Ceftaroline-avibactam and ceftaroline were highly active and the most potent β-lactam agents tested against *S. aureus*. Ceftaroline-avibactam exhibited potent activity against MRSA (99.1% of strains inhibited at ≤1 mg/L and highest MIC of only 2 mg/L)
- Ceftaroline-avibactam represents a promising therapeutic option for treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae and MRSA.

## References

- 1. Biek D, Critchley IA, Riccobene TA, Thye DA (2010). Ceftaroline fosamil: A novel broad-spectrum cephalosporin with expanded anti-Gram-positive activity. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 65 Suppl 4: iv9-iv16.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2012). M07-A9. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility
- tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard: ninth edition. Wayne, PA: CLSI.
- 3. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2012). *M100-S22. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 22nd informational supplement.* Wayne, PA: CLSI.
- Endimiani A, Choudhary Y, Bonomo RA (2009). In vitro activity of NXL104 in combination with beta-lactams against *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates producing KPC carbapenemases. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 53: 3599-3601.
- Jones RN, Mendes RE, Sader HS (2010). Ceftaroline activity against pathogens associated with complicated skin and skin structure infections: Results from an international surveillance study. J Antimicrob Chemother 65 Suppl 4: iv17-iv31.
- 6. Laudano JB (2011). Ceftaroline fosamil: A new broad-spectrum cephalosporin. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 66 Suppl 3: iii11-iii18.
- 7. Livermore DM, Mushtaq S, Warner M, Miossec C, Woodford N (2008). NXL104 combinations versus Enterobacteriaceae with CTX-M extended-spectrum beta-lactamases and carbapenemases. *J Antimicrob*

was tested in a fixed 4 mg/L concentration of avibactam. Categorical interpretations were those found in CLSI document M100-S22. In the absence of CLSI breakpoints, USA-FDA breakpoints were used when available. Quality control (QC) was performed using *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922, *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853. All QC results were within specified ranges as published in CLSI documents. *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. isolates for which ceftriaxone or ceftazidime were  $\geq 2$  mg/L were considered to be phenotype-positive for ESBL production (CLSI, 2012).

- Chemother 62: 1053-1056.
- Louie A, Castanheira M, Liu W, Grasso C, Jones RN, Williams G, Critchley I, Thye D, Brown D, Vanscoy B, Kulawy R, Drusano GL (2012). Pharmacodynamics of beta-lactamase inhibition by NXL104 in combination with ceftaroline, examining organisms with multiple types of beta-lactamases. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 56: 258-270.
- Teflaro® Package Insert (2010). Available at <a href="http://www.frx.com/pi/Teflaro\_pi.pdf">http://www.frx.com/pi/Teflaro\_pi.pdf</a>. Accessed January 1, 2012.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was supported by Forest Laboratories, Inc. Forest Laboratories, Inc., was involved in the study design and in the decision to present these results. Forest Laboratories, Inc., had no involvement in the analysis, collection, and interpretation of data.

Scientific Therapeutics Information, Inc., provided editorial coordination, which was funded by Forest Research Institute, Inc.

Organism (no. tested)	No. of isolates (cumulative %) inhibited at ceftaroline-avibactam MIC (mg/L) of:								
	≤0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	
Enterobacteriaceae									
Klebsiella spp. (539)	218 (40.5)	209 (79.2)	72 (92.6)	29 (98.0)	8 (99.4)	2 (99.8)	1 (100.0)	-	
Non-ESBL-phenotype (472)	206 (43.6)	194 (84.8)	53 (96.0)	16 (99.4)	3 (100.0)	-	-	-	
ESBL-phenotype (67)	12 (17.9)	15 (40.3)	19 (68.7)	13 (88.1)	5 (95.5)	2 (98.5)	1 (100.0)	-	
Meropenem-non-S (13)	-	1 (7.7)	2 (23.1)	6 (69.2)	1 (76.9)	2 (92.3)	1 (100.0)	-	
<i>E. coli</i> (435)	356 (81.8)	65 (96.8)	11 (99.3)	3 (100.0)	-	-	-	-	
Non-ESBL-phenotype (392)	331 (84.4)	57 (99.0)	4 (100.0)	-	-	-	-	-	
ESBL-phenotype (43)	25 (58.1)	8 (76.7)	7 (96.0)	3 (100.0)	-	-	-	-	
Enterobacter spp. (337)	56 (16.6)	117 (51.3)	91 (78.3)	49 (92.9)	15 (97.3)	7 (99.4)	2 (100.0)	-	
Ceftazidime-S (277)	55 (19.9)	106 (58.1)	83 (88.1)	28 (98.2)	5 (100.0)	-	-	-	
Ceftazidime-R (60)	1 (1.7)	11 (20.0)	8 (33.3)	21 (68.3)	10 (85.0)	7 (96.7)	2 (100.0)	-	
Meropenem-non-S (2)	-	-	1 (50.0)	0 (50.0)	0 (50.0)	0 (50.0)	1 (100.0)	-	
P. mirabilis (150)	33 (22.0)	89 (81.3)	25 (98.0)	3 (100.0)	-	-	-	-	
S. marcescens (148)	-	1 (0.7)	14 (10.1)	57 (48.7)	61 (89.9)	13 (98.7)	0 (98.7)	2 (100.0)	
Citrobacter spp. (100)	29 (29.0)	46 (75.0)	20 (95.0)	3 (98.0)	1 (99.0)	1 (100.0)	-	-	
Ceftazidime-S (89)	29 (32.6)	43 (80.9)	13 (95.5)	3 (98.9)	0 (98.9)	1 (100.0)	-	-	
Ceftazidime-R (11)	-	3 (27.3)	7 (90.9)	1 (100.0)	-	-	-	-	
ndole-positive <i>Proteae</i> (91)	58 (63.7)	24 (90.1)	8 (98.9)	1 (100.0)	-	-	-	-	
taphylococcus aureus									
All strains (1496)	1 (0.1)	6 (0.5)	182 (12.6)	601 (52.8)	551 (89.6)	148 (99.5)	7 (100.0)	-	
MRSA (738)	1 (0.1)	0 (0.1)	2 (0.4)	40 (5.4)	543 (79.0)	148 (99.1)	7 (100.0)	-	

Abbreviations: ESBL = extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase; S = susceptible; R = resistant; MRSA = methicillin- (oxacillin)-resistant Staphylococcus aureus.

- b. USA-FDA breakpoints were applied [Tygacil® Product Insert, 2010].
  - c. ESBL phenotype defined as an MIC  $\geq 2 \text{ mg/L}$  for ceftazidime or ceftriaxone [CLSI, 2012].
- d. Meropenem non-susceptible at ≥2 mg/L, as established by the CLSI for Enterobacteriaceae [CLSI, 2012].
  e. Ceftazidime non-susceptible at ≥8 mg/L, as established by the CLSI for Enterobacteriaceae [CLSI, 2012].
- Abbreviation: ESBL = extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase.

#### Table 3. Antimicrobial activity of ceftaroline-avibactam and comparatoragents tested against S. aureus from USA medical centres (2011)

Antimicrobial agent	MIC (mg/L)			CLSI <sup>a</sup>	EUCAST <sup>a</sup>			
(no. tested)	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	Range	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	%S / %R			
Staphylococcus aureus (1,496)								
Ceftaroline-avibactam	0.25	1	0.03 - 2	_a / _	- / -			
Ceftaroline <sup>b</sup>	0.25	1	0.06 – 2	99.4 / -	- / -			
Ceftriaxone <sup>c</sup>	8	>8	1 – >8	49.7 / 49.3	50.7 / 49.3			
Oxacillin	2	>2	≤0.25 – >2	50.7 / 49.3	50.7 / 49.3			
Erythromycin	>16	>16	≤0.12−>16	37.7 / 60.4	37.9/61.7			
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25 – >2	84.4 / 15.6	84.2 / 15.6			
Levofloxacin	0.25	>4	≤0.12−>4	61.1 / 37.0	61.1 / 37.0			
Linezolid	1	2	0.25 – 2	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0			
Tigecycline <sup>d</sup>	0.06	0.12	≤0.03 – 0.5	100.0 / -	100.0 / 0.0			
Vancomycin	1	1	0.25 – 2	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0			
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5	≤0.06 – 1	100.0 / -	100.0 / 0.0			
MRSA (738)								
Ceftaroline-avibactam	0.5	1	0.03 – 2	- / -	- / -			
Ceftaroline <sup>b</sup>	0.5	1	0.12 – 2	98.8 / -	- / -			
Ceftriaxone <sup>c</sup>	>8	>8	4->8	0.0 / 100.0	0.0 / 100.0			
Erythromycin	>16	>16	≤0.12−>16	10.0 / 88.6	10.3 / 89.7			
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25 – >2	73.2 / 26.8	73.2 / 26.8			
Levofloxacin	4	>4	≤0.12−>4	32.5 / 64.5	32.5 / 64.5			
Linezolid	1	1	0.5 - 2	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0			
Tigecycline <sup>d</sup>	0.06	0.12	≤0.03 – 0.5	100.0 / -	100.0 / 0.0			
Vancomycin	1	1	0.5 - 2	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0			
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5	0.12 – 1	100.0 / -	100.0 / 0.0			

a. Criteria as published by the CLSI [2012] and EUCAST [2012]. "-" indicates that no breakpoint has been established.

b. USA-FDA breakpoints were applied [Teflaro® Package Insert, 2010].

c. USA-FDA breakpoints were applied [Rocephin® Package Insert, 2010].

d. USA-FDA breakpoints were applied [Tygacil® Product Insert, 2010].

Abbreviation: MRSA = methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*.