Activity of Telavancin Against *Staphylococcus* spp. Recovered From European Hospitals (2007–2008)

RE Mendes, HS Sader, RN Jones JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA, USA

ABSTRACT

Background. Telavancin is an investigational Gram-positive antibiotic with dual mechanisms of action. It is under regulatory review in the United States (US) for the treatment of complicated skin and skin-structure infection (cSSSI) and nosocomial pneumonia (NP). elavancin activity was evaluated against *S. aureus* and coagulase-negative Staphylococcus spp. (CoNS) collected from European sites in 2007-2008 as part of a global surveillance study.

Methods. A total of 3797 S. aureus and 950 CoNS were consecutively collected from 28 hospitals in 13 countries (2007–2008) and sent to a central monitor. Isolates were tested for susceptibility by CLSI methods. Identification was performed by standard algorithms and confirmed using a Vitek 2 automated system.

Results. Isolates were mainly from bacteremias (42.9%), skin and skin-structure infection (SSSI) (34.3%), and NP (10.7%). Telavancin showed the lowest MIC₉₀ (0.25 µg/mL; Table) when tested against S. aureus and was 2-, 4-, and 8-fold more active than daptomycin or quinupristin/dalfopristin (MIC_{oo}, 0.5 µg/mL), vancomycin (1 µg/mL), and linezolid (2 µg/mL), respectively. Against CoNS, telavancin was, respectively, 2-, 4-, and 8-fold more potent than daptomycin (MIC₉₀, 0.5 µg/mL), linezolid (1 µg/mL), and vancomycin (2 µg/mL). Telavancin inhibited all *S. aureus* (26.8% methicillin-resistant [MR]) and CoNS (78.9% MR) tested at ≤0.5 µg/mL and the methicillin-resistance phenotype had no adverse affect on telavancin activity. Telavancin potency did not vary against bacteremic, SSSI, or NP isolates/strains recovered from different years.

Conclusions. Telavancin was the most potent (MIC_{on}) agent tested against these staphylococcal isolates and maintained activity during the study period. These current data warrant continued longitudinal surveillance to monitor telavancin activity against staphylococci.

Drganism MIC (µg/mL)			Cumulative % inhibited at MIC (µg/mL)					
(no. tested)	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	≤0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	
S. aureus (3797)	0.12	0.25	0.3	6.1	70.6	99.4	100.0	
MSSA (2780)	0.12	0.25	0.3	5.3	71.0	99.5	100.0	
MRSA (1017)	0.12	0.25	0.1	8.1	69.5	99.3	100.0	
CoNS (950)	0.12	0.25	1.5	10.4	63.7	96.9	100.0	
MSCoNS (199)	0.12	0.25	3.5	15.6	68.3	98.5	100.0	
MRCoNS (751)	0.12	0.25	0.9	9.0	62.4	96.5	100.0	

MSSA, methicillin-susceptible S. aureus; MRSA, methicillin-resistant S. aureus; CoNS, coagulase-negative Staphylococcus spp.; MSCoNS, methicillin-susceptible CoNS; MRCoNS, methicillin-resistant CoNS; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentratior

INTRODUCTION

- Staphylococcus spp. isolates, mainly S. aureus, are frequently responsible for nosocomial and community-acquired infections, causing significant morbidity and mortality despite advances in medical care.1,2
- S. aureus and coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) are leading causes of bacteremia and skin and skin-structure infections (SSSI) in the United States and are among the top 3 pathogens responsible for SSSI in European hospitals.²⁻⁴ - Deeper and more complex infections can occur, requiring hospitalization and parenteral therapy
- Methicillin resistance among nosocomial S. aureus and CoNS isolates currently exceeds 50% and 75%, respectively, in many institutions worldwide.^{1,4}
- Given the tendency for clonal dissemination and the spread of antimicrobial resistance, further options for the treatment of infections caused by these organisms are needed.

- Telavancin is an investigational lipoglycopeptide agent with concentration-dependent bactericidal activity against Gram-positive bacteria.6
- The dual mode of action of telavancin includes inhibition of cell wall synthesis and disruption of membrane barrier function.^{7,8}
- We report the results of an international resistance surveillance program comparing the activity of telavancin and selected antimicrobial agents tested against S. aureus and CoNS clinical isolates collected in European medical centers from January 2007 through December 2008. In addition, telavancin activity was evaluated against methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) displaying distinct multidrug-resistance (MDR) antibiogram patterns

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strain collection

- A total of 4747 consecutive and nonduplicate *Staphylococcus* spp. clinical isolates (3797 S. aureus and 950 CoNS) were collected from 28 medical centers located in 13 countries in Europe as part of the international telavancin surveillance program (2007-2008).
- The isolates were recovered from blood (42.9%), skin and skin structures (34.3%), respiratory tract (10.7%), urinary tract (2.9%), catheter (1.2%), bone/joint (0.6%), and other less prevalent or undetermined clinical specimens (7.2%).
- Bacterial identification was confirmed by the central monitoring site (JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA) using standard algorithms and an automated system, when needed (Vitek[®] 2: bioMérieux, Nazelwood, Missouri, USA).

Antimicrobial susceptibility test methods

- The isolates were tested for susceptibility by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI; formerly National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards [NCCLS]) broth microdilution method⁹ using commercially prepared and validated panels (TREK Diagnostic Systems, Cleveland, Ohio, USA) in cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth
- Antimicrobial agents representing the most common therapeutic classes and examples of drugs used for empiric or directed treatment of staphylococcal infections were tested
- Interpretation of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) results was in accordance with published CLSI (M100-S19)¹⁰ and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) criteria.11
- Quality control (QC) strains utilized were: S. aureus ATCC 29213, Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212, and Streptococcus pneumoniae ATCC 49619; all MIC results were within CLSI listed QC ranges.

RESULTS

- Telavancin was highly active against staphylococci, inhibiting all S. aureus (26.8% MRSA) and CoNS (78.9% methicillin-resistant) with MIC values at ≤0.5 µg/mL (Table 1)
- Against S. aureus, telavancin (MIC_{an}, 0.25 µg/mL; Table 1) was 2-, 4-, and 8-fold more active than daptomycin or quinupristin/dalfopristin (MIC₉₀, 0.5 µg/mL), vancomycin (MIC_{an},1 µg/mL), and linezolid (MIC_{an}, 2 µg/mL), respectively, although these comparators exhibited high susceptibility rates (≥99.6% by CLSI and FUCAST criteria).^{10,11}
- The methicillin-resistance phenotype did not adversely affect the telavancin MIC values, a finding also noted for vancomycin, teicoplanin, daptomycin, linezolid, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (TMP/SMX) when comparing the MRSA MIC_{oo} directly to methicillin-susceptible S. aureus (MSSA) MIC₉₀ results (Table 1).
- Among the remaining comparators, only gentamic and tetracycline (MIC_{qn} $\leq 1 \mu g/mL$; ≥92.1% susceptible), and TMP/SMX (MIC_{at} ≤0.5 µg/mL; 99.4% susceptible) demonstrated significant coverage against S. aureus.
- Levofloxacin (93.9% susceptible), clindamycin (≥97.1% susceptible), gentamicin (≥98.4% susceptible), and tetracycline (≥94.6% susceptible) were significantly active when tested against MSSA (Table 1).

Table 1. Activity of telavancin and comparator antimicrobial agents tested against Staphylococcus aureus and coagulase-negative staphylococci (2007–2008)

Organism group (no. tested)/	MIC	(uø/ml)		% by category ^a susceptible / resistant			
Antimicrobial agents	MIC (µg/mL) MIC ₅₀ MIC ₉₀		CLSI	EUCAST			
S. aureus (3797)	111050	milogy	0101	LUUNUI			
Telavancin	0.12	0.25	- / -b	-/-			
Oxacillin	0.5	>2	73.2 / 26.8	73.2 / 26.8			
Vancomycin	1	1	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0			
Teicoplanin	≤2	≤2	100.0 / 0.0	99.5° / 0.5			
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5	100.0 / -	100.0 / 0.0			
Linezolid	1	2 0.5	100.0 / -	100.0 / 0.0			
Quinupristin/dalfopristin	0.5	0.5	99.6 / 0.2	99.6 / 0.2			
Levofloxacin	≤0.5	>4	71.9 / 27.7	71.9 / 27.7			
Erythromycin	≤0.25	>4	70.1 / 28.9	70.8 / 28.9			
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	89.4 / 10.5	88.9 / 10.6			
Gentamicin	≤1	≤1	94.3 / 4.7	93.8/6.2			
Tetracycline	≤1	≤1	92.3 / 7.4	92.1 / 7.9			
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	≤0.5	≤0.5	99.4 / 0.6	99.4 / 0.6			
ASSA (2780)	0.10	0.05	,	,			
Telavancin	0.12	0.25	100 0 / 0 0	1000/00			
Vancomycin	1 ≤2	1 ≤2	100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0 99.9 / 0.1			
Teicoplanin	0.25	<u>≤</u> ∠ 0.5	100.0 / -	100.0 / 0.0			
Daptomycin Linezolid	0.25	2	100.0 / -	100.0 / 0.0			
Quinupristin/dalfopristin	≤0.25	0.5	99.9 / 0.0	99.9 / 0.1			
Levofloxacin	≤0.25 ≤0.5	 ≤0.5	93.570.0	93.9 / 6.8			
Erythromycin	≤0.5 ≤0.25	≤0.5 >4	93.9 / 5.8 84.5 / 14.6	85.1 / 14.6			
Clindamycin	≤0.25	≤0.25	97.5 / 2.4	97.1 / 2.5			
Gentamicin	≤1	≤1	98.5 / 1.3	98.4 / 1.6			
Tetracycline	<u>≤</u> 1	<u>≤1</u>	94.8 / 5.0	94.6 / 5.4			
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole		≤0.5	99.6 / 0.4	99.6 / 0.4			
MRSA (1017)	20.0	2010	55167 511	00.07 0.1			
Telavancin	0.12	0.25	-/-	-/-			
Vancomycin	1	1	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0			
Teicoplanin	≤2	≤2	100.0 / 0.0	98.4 / 1.6			
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5	100.0 / -	100.0 / 0.0			
Linezolid	1	2 1	100.0 /	100.0 / 0.0			
Quinupristin/dalfopristin	0.5	1	98.9 / 0.7	98.9 / 0.7			
Levofloxacin	>4	>4	11.7 / 87.6	11.7 / 87.6			
Erythromycin	>4	>4	30.5 / 68.1	31.7 / 68.1			
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	67.2 / 32.5 82.2 / 14.3	66.3 / 32.8			
Gentamicin	≤1	>8	82.2 / 14.3	80.8 / 19.2			
Tetracycline	≤1_	>8_	85.3 / 14.1	85.2 / 14.8			
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	≤0.5	≤0.5	98.9 / 1.1	98.9 / 1.1			
MSCoNS (199)	0.10	0.05	,	,			
Telavancin	0.12	0.25	-/-	-/-			
Vancomycin	1 ≤2	2 4	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0			
Teicoplanin	0.25	4 0.5	100.0 / 0.0	96.5/3.5			
Daptomycin		0.5	100.0 / - 100.0 / -	100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0			
Linezolid Quinupristin/dalfopristin	1 ≤0.25	≤0.25	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0			
Levofloxacin	≤0.25 ≤0.5	≤0.25 ≤0.5	920/80	917/20			
Erythromycin	≤0.5 ≤0.25	>4	65 3 / 34 7	65 3 / 24 7			
Clindamycin	≤0.25 ≤0.25	≥4 ≤0.25	92.0 / 8.0 65.3 / 34.7 94.0 / 4.5	91.7 / 8.0 65.3 / 34.7 94.0 / 6.0			
Gentamicin	<u>≤0.25</u> ≤1	≤1	95.0 / 3.5	95.0 / 5.0			
Tetracycline	≤1 ≤1	4	90.5 / 9.5	89.9 / 10.1			
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	≤0.5	2	90.8 / 9.2	90.8/9.2			
ARCoNS (751)		-	50107 DIL	55.5, J.L			
Telavancin	0.12	0.25	-/-	-/-			
Vancomycin	2	2	100.Ó / 0.0	98.8 / 1.2			
Teicoplanin	2 ≤2	8	97.2 / 0.5	89.6 / 10.4			
Daptomycin	0.25	0.5	99.9 / -	99.9/0.1			
Linezolid	1	1	99.6 / -	99.6 / 0.4			
Quinupristin/dalfopristin	≤0.25	0.5	97.5 / 1.6	97.5/1.6			
Levofloxacin	4	>4	29.2 / 66.7	29.2 / 66.7 27.8 / 72.0			
Erythromycin	>4	>4	27.6 / 72.0	27.8 / 72.0			
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	61.4 / 37.2	57.1/38.6			
Gentamicin	8	>8	45.2 / 45.8 82.7 / 15.6	40.1 / 59.9 79.0 / 21.0			
Tetracycline	≤1	>8	82.7 / 15.6	79.0 / 21.0			
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	2	>2	51.5 / 48.5	51.5 / 49.5			

MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; CLSI, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; EUCAST; European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; MSSA, methicillin-susceptible S. aureus; MRSA, methicillinesistant S. aureus; MSCoNS, methicillin-susceptible coagulase-negative Staphylococcus spp.; MRCoNS, methicillin esistant coagulase-negative Staphylococcus spp.

^a MIC interpretive criteria as published by CLSI M100-S19 and ELICAST ^{10,11}

^b No breakpoints available.

Teicoplanin breakpoints utilized for S. aureus were those recently revised (published on May 25, 2009) by EUCAST 2 µg/mL for susceptibility and >2 µg/mL for resistant).

Contact information: Ronald N. Jones, MD JMI Laboratories North Liberty, IA 52317 Phone: 319-665-3370 Fax: 319-665-3371 E-mail: ronald-jones@jmilabs.com

RESULTS (cont.)

- Against methicillin-resistant CoNS, telavancin (MIC_{α0}, 0.25 µg/mL) was 2-, 4-, 8-, and 16-fold more potent than daptomycin or quinupristin/dalfopristin (0.5 µg/mL). linezolid (1 μg/mL), vancomycin (2 μg/mL), and teicoplanin (8 μg/mL), respectively.
- A total of 41 antimicrobial resistance patterns were recognized among MRSA (Table 2). Three profiles predominated (19.0–28.5%) accounting for 68.7% of tested isolates. Macrolide, lincosamide (clindamycin), and fluoroquinolone resistance patterns prevailed.
- Table 3 describes the MIC distribution for telavancin against MRSA isolates exhibiting different antimicrobial susceptibility profiles. Telavancin showed stable MIC_{ao} values (0.25 µg/mL), regardless of MDR pattern, while the MIC₅₀ varied slightly (0.12-0.25 µg/mL).
- Telavancin potency did not vary against bacteremic, SSSI, or nosocomial pneumonia isolates recovered from different years (data not shown).

Table 2. Occu	rence of antimicrobial resistance patterns (41)	among MRSA recovered from
European hos	uitals	

	Occu	rrences
Antimicrobial resistance patterns ^a	No.	(%)
1 Antimicrobial		
OX (only)	43	4.2
2 Antimicrobials		
OX + LE	193	19.0
OX + TC	37	3.6
OX + ER	14	1.4
OX + GT	3	0.3
OX + CL	3 2 1	0.2
OX + TE	1	0.1
Antimicrobials		
OX + ER + LE	290	28.5
OX + LE + GT	16	1.6
OX + ER + CL	7	0.7
OX + LE + TC	6	0.6
OX + CL + LE	4	0.4
OX + ER + TC	4	0.4
OX + ER + GT	4 4 3 2 1 1	0.3
OX + LE + TE	2	0.2
OX + ER + TE	1	0.1
OX + GT + TE	1	0.1
OX + CL + TC	1	0.1
Antimicrobials	010	01.0
OX + ER + CL + LE	216	21.2
OX + ER + LE + GT	26	2.6
OX + LE + GT + TC	10	1.0
OX + ER + LE + TC	4	0.4
OX + ER + LE + TE	1 1	0.1
OX + ER + LE + Q/D OX + ER + CL + TC	1	0.1 0.1
	1	0.1
Antimicrobials	33	3.2
OX + ER + CL + LE + GT OX + ER + LE + GT + TC	33 11	3.2 1.1
OX + ER + LE + GI + IC OX + ER + CL + LE + TC		1.1 0.8
OX + ER + CL + LE + TC OX + ER + CL + LE + Q/D	0	0.8
OX + ER + CL + LE + Q/D OX + LE + GT + TC + TE	4 2	0.4
OX + LE + GI + IC + IE OX + ER + LE + T/S + TC	8 4 2 1	0.2
OX + ER + LE + 17S + 1C OX + CL + LE + GT + TC	1	0.1
OX + CL + CL + CL + LE + TE	1	0.1
Antimicrobials	Ŧ	0.1
OX + ER + CL + LE + GT + TC	49	4.8
OX + ER + CL + LE + GT + Q/D	5	0.5
OX + ER + LE + GT + TC + TE	a a	0.3
OX + ER + CL + GT + TC + TE	49 5 3 1 1	0.1
OX + ER + LE + GT + T/S + TC	1	0.1
OX + ER + CL + LE + TC + Q/D	1	0.1
'Antimicrobials	Ŧ	0.1
OX + ER + CL + LE + GT + T/S + TC	6	0.6
OX + ER + CL + LE + GT + TC + TE	6 3	0.3
otal	1017	100.0

MRSA, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: OX, oxacillin: LE, levofloxacin: TC, tetracvcline: R, erythromycin; GT, gentamicin; CL, clindamycin; TE, teicoplanin; Q/D, quinupristin/dalfopristin; /S_trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole

^a Antibiogram using 9 agents tested against MRSA isolates with intermediate and resistant results grouped as resistant. Criteria for susceptibility were from the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST).¹¹ In addition, teicoplanin breakpoints (<2 µg/mL for susceptibility and >2 µg/mL for resistant) were recently revised (published on May 25, 2009) by EUCAST. Nonsusceptibility results for vancomycin, daptomycin r linezolid were not observed

Table 3. Activity of telavancin against Staphylococcus aureus and CoNS, resistant subsets
and the 12 most frequently occurring resistance patterns among MRSA isolates submitted as
part of the 2007–2008 international surveillance program

Organism/Resistance pattern ^a		µg/mL)	Cumulative % inhibited at each telavancin MIC (µg/mL)					
(no. tested / %)	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	≤0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5
Staphylococcus aureus (3797)	0.12	0.25	-	0.3	6.0	70.6	99.4	100.0
Methicillin-susceptible (2780)	0.12	0.25	-	0.1	8.0	69.5	99.3	100.0
Methicillin-resistant (1017)	0.12	0.25	-	0.3	5.3	71.0	99.5	100.0
OX, ER, LE (290 / 28.5)	0.12	0.25	-	-	12.1	81.0	99.7	100.0
OX, ER, LE, CL (216 / 21.2)	0.12	0.25	-	-	6.9	71.8	99.1	100.0
OX, LE (193 / 19.0)	0.12	0.25	-	-	9.8	66.8	100.0	-
OX, ER, LE, CL, GT, TC (49 / 4.8)	0.12	0.25	-	-	-	57.1	100.0	-
OX (43 / 4.2)	0.12	0.25	-	-	11.6	67.4	100.0	-
OX, TC (37 / 3.6)	0.12	0.25	-	-	-	83.8	97.3	100.0
OX, ER, LE, CL, GT (33 / 3.2)	0.25	0.25	-	-	-	24.2	97.0	100.0
OX, ER, LE, GT (26 / 2.6)	0.12	0.25	-	-	11.5	69.2	100.0	-
OX, LE, GT (16 / 1.6)	0.12	0.25	-	-	6.3	75.0	100.0	-
OX, ER (14 / 1.4)	0.12	0.25	-	-	14.3	85.7	100.0	-
OX, ER, LE, GT, TC (11 / 1.1)	0.25	0.25	-	-	-	45.5	90.9	100.0
OX, LE, GT, TC (10 / 1.0)	0.25	0.25	-	-	-	20.0	100.0	-
CoNS (950)	0.12	0.25	0.6	1.5	10.4	63.7	96.9	100.0
Methicillin-susceptible (199)	0.12	0.25	1.0	3.5	15.6	68.3	98.5	100.0
Methicillin-resistant (751)	0.12	0.25	0.5	0.9	9.0	62.4	96.5	100.0

I.F. levofloxacin: CL. clindamycin: GT. gentamicin: TC. tetracycline

Antibiogram using 9 agents tested against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates with intermediate and resistant results grouped as resistant. Criteria for susceptibility were those published by the European Committee or Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST).11 Nonsusceptibility results for vancomycin, daptomycin, or linezolid were not observed.

CONCLUSIONS

- Telavancin was the most potent drug against the tested *S. aureus* and CoNS clinical isolates and inhibited all isolates at ≤0.5 µg/mL.
- Methicillin resistance among the tested staphylococci had no effect on telavancin MIC₅₀₉₀ results. Among MRSA displaying distinct antimicrobial resistance patterns, telavancin demonstrated stable potencies (MIC_{so}, 0.12 µg/mL).
- The in vitro data presented here suggest a potential role for telavancin for treating infections due to Staphylococcus spp., especially MRSA.
- These current data warrant continued longitudinal surveillance to monitor telavancin activity against staphylococci.

REFERENCES

- . Boucher HW, Corey GR. Clin Infect Dis 2008;46(Suppl 5):S344-S349.
- 2. Corey GR. Clin Infect Dis 2009;48(Suppl 4):S254-S259.
- 3. Strviewski ME et al: Assessment of Telavancin in Complicated Skin and Skin-Structure Infections Study. Clin Infect Dis 2008:46:1683-1693
- 4. Jones ME et al. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2003;22:406–419.
- . Stevens DL et al; Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2005;41:1373-1406.
- . Leuthner KD et al. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006:58:338-343.
- Higgins DL et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005;49:1127-1134.
- . Lunde CS et al. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2009;53:3375–3383.
- . Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically; Approved Standard M7-A7. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2006.
- 10. Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, 19th Informational Supplement, Wayne, PA: CLSI: 2009.
- 1. European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST). Clinical MIC breakpoints. 2009.

1.5 / 49.5