

# A Multi-Site Study Comparing an 18-24h Sensititre® Susceptibility System to the CLSI Broth Microdilution Method for Iclaprim Using Fastidious and Non-Fastidious Gram-Positive Organisms

\*C. M. Bastulli<sup>1</sup>, N. M. Holliday<sup>1</sup>, C. C. Knapp<sup>1</sup>, S. B. Killian<sup>1</sup>, M. Jones<sup>2</sup>, J. M. Streit<sup>3</sup>, R. N. Jones<sup>3</sup>, P. R. Rhomberg<sup>3</sup>, L. Sykes<sup>4</sup>  
<sup>1</sup>TREK Diagnostic Systems, Cleveland, OH; <sup>2</sup>Arpida Ltd., Reinach, Switzerland; <sup>3</sup>JMI Lab., North Liberty, IA; <sup>4</sup>Kaiser Permanente, Cleveland, OH

Cora Bastulli  
 TREK Diagnostic Systems  
 Lab Services  
 982 Keynote Circle, Suite 6  
 Cleveland, Ohio 44131  
 Phone: 1-800-571-5809  
 Email: cbastulli@trekds.com

## Abstract

**Background:** Iclaprim (ICL) (Arpida Ltd., Reinach, Switzerland) is a new selective dihydrofolate inhibitor for the treatment of severe hospital infections caused by gram positive pathogens including methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). An evaluation was performed to determine the accuracy and reproducibility of ICL susceptibility testing using the Sensititre® 18 – 24 hour dried susceptibility system (TREK Diagnostic Systems, Cleveland, OH) compared with the CLSI M07 reference broth microdilution method (BMD). Both automated and manual reading methodologies were performed.

**Materials and Methods:** ICL (0.002-32µg/mL) was tested against 860 fresh clinical isolates, 150 challenge isolates and 50 reproducibility isolates. These isolates consisted of: 117 coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* spp., 172 *Staphylococcus aureus*, 120 *Enterococcus* spp., 329 *Streptococcus* spp. (Beta-hemolytic group), 18 *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, and 135 *Streptococcus* spp. (Viridans group). Dried plates were inoculated per manufacturers' instructions. BMD was performed per CLSI M07 guidelines. Recommended CLSI quality control (QC) organisms were tested daily and all results were within the CLSI published QC ranges.

**Results:** Comparisons of MIC results on the Sensititre® system to the CLSI M07 BMD for both automated and manual reads resulted in 98.0% and 99.2% essential agreement for ICL (-/+ one log<sub>2</sub> dilution), respectively. Overall agreement for the reproducibility (-/+ one log<sub>2</sub> dilution of the modal MIC) using automated and manual reads were 98.2% and 98.5%, respectively.

**Conclusions:** The results for ICL indicates that the Sensititre® 18 – 24 hour susceptibility system for all clinical and challenge isolates gave reliable results using either the automated or manual read methods when compared to the CLSI reference BMD.

## Materials & Methods

- Indications for use: The Sensititre® 18 – 24 hour MIC or breakpoint susceptibility system is an *in vitro* diagnostic product for clinical susceptibility testing of both fastidious and non-fastidious organisms.
- Each isolate was tested using a Sensititre® 18 – 24 hour susceptibility plate containing Iclaprim (0.002-32µg/mL) (Arpida Ltd., Reinach, Switzerland). The dried plates were set-up and tested according to the manufacturers' instructions.
- The CLSI reference broth microdilution plate was prepared and tested on each isolate according to the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI M07).
- Testing consisted of 860 fresh clinical isolates (combined 3 sites); approximately 309 gram positive isolates, and 551 fastidious isolates from each site. 150 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) challenge isolates consisted of: 75 gram positive and 75 fastidious supplied to a single testing site (Tables 1 and 2).
- Reproducibility testing consisted of 25 gram positive and 25 *Streptococcus* spp. isolates tested at all 3 sites on the Sensititre® 18 – 24 hour susceptibility plate (Table 1). The test plate results were compared with those of the CLSI reference broth microdilution plate.
- Quality control (QC) was assured by testing 20 replicates of each ATCC strain including *S. aureus* 29213, *E. faecalis* 29212, and *S. pneumoniae* 49619, at each site (Tables 1 and 3).
- Colony counts were performed on the inoculum of the QC strains on each day of testing.

Table 1. Organisms Tested

Organisms Tested	Number Tested
Clinical isolates (combined 3 sites)	
309 gram-positive, 551 <i>Streptococcus</i> spp.	860
CDC Challenge Isolates (1 site)	
(75 gram-positive, 75 <i>Streptococcus</i> spp.)	150
Reproducibility Isolates (combined 3 sites)	
(25 gram-positive, 25 <i>Streptococcus</i> spp.)	50
CLSI Quality Control Strains	
(20 replicates of each strain at 3 sites)	3 x 20

Table 2. Clinical and Challenge Isolates Tested

Gram-positive Organisms	Number Tested
Coagulase Negative <i>Staphylococcus</i>	112
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	163
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	114
Beta <i>Streptococcus</i> (MHB)	87
<b>Total</b>	<b>476</b>
<i>Streptococcus</i> spp.	Number Tested
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	177
Viridans Group <i>Streptococcus</i>	130
Beta <i>Streptococcus</i> (MHB with LHB)	227
<b>Total</b>	<b>534</b>

Table 3. Quality Control Strains

Quality Control Strains	CLSI MIC Ranges (µg/ml)
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> ATCC 29213	0.06-0.25
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> ATCC 29212	0.004-0.03
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> ATCC 49619	0.03-0.12

Table 4. Summary Data and % Essential Agreement of Gram-positive Clinical and Challenge Isolates Using the Manual Read Method

Clinical Isolates	Number of Isolates		Essential Agreement		% Essential Agreement	
	All	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate
Organism Group	All	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate
Coagulase Negative <i>Staphylococcus</i>	93	78	93	78	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	136	132	136	132	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	97	56	97	56	100.0%	100.0%
Beta <i>Streptococcus</i> (MHB)	75	68	73	67	97.3%	98.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>401</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>399</b>	<b>333</b>	<b>99.5%</b>	<b>99.7%</b>

Table 5. Summary Data and % Essential Agreement of Gram-positive Clinical and Challenge Isolates Using the Auto Read Method

Clinical Isolates	Number of Isolates		Essential Agreement		% Essential Agreement	
	All	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate
Organism Group	All <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td>	Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td>	Total <td>Evaluate</td>	Evaluate
Coagulase Negative <i>Staphylococcus</i>	19	18	19	18	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	27	25	27	25	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	17	11	17	11	100.0%	100.0%
Beta <i>Streptococcus</i> (MHB)	12	12	10	10	83.3%	83.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>97.3%</b>	<b>97.8%</b>

Table 6. Summary Data and % Essential Agreement of Gram-positive Clinical and Challenge Isolates Using the Auto Read Method

Clinical Isolates	Number of Isolates		Essential Agreement		% Essential Agreement	
	All	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate
Organism Group	All <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td>	Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td>	Total <td>Evaluate</td>	Evaluate
Coagulase Negative <i>Staphylococcus</i>	112	88	112	88	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	163	157	163	157	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	114	67	114	67	100.0%	100.0%
Beta <i>Streptococcus</i> (MHB)	87	80	83	77	93.0%	90.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>476</b>	<b>400</b>	<b>472</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>99.2%</b>	<b>99.3%</b>

Table 7. Summary Data and % Essential Agreement of Gram-positive Clinical and Challenge Isolates Using the Auto Read Method

Clinical Isolates	Number of Isolates		Essential Agreement		% Essential Agreement	
	All	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate
Organism Group	All <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td>	Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td>	Total <td>Evaluate</td>	Evaluate
Coagulase Negative <i>Staphylococcus</i>	92	78	90	77	97.8%	98.7%
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	136	132	136	131	99.3%	99.2%
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	91	56	91	56	100.0%	100.0%
Beta <i>Streptococcus</i> (MHB)	75	69	72	68	96.0%	97.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>336</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>98.5%</b>	<b>98.8%</b>

Table 8. Clinical and Challenge Isolates Tested

Challenge Isolates	Number of Isolates		Essential Agreement		% Essential Agreement	
	All	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate
Organism Group	All <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td>	Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td>	Total <td>Evaluate</td>	Evaluate
Coagulase Negative <i>Staphylococcus</i>	19	18	19	18	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	27	25	27	25	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	17	12	17	12	100.0%	100.0%
Beta <i>Streptococcus</i> (MHB)	12	12	11	11	91.7%	91.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>98.7%</b>	<b>98.8%</b>

Table 9. Summary Data and % Essential Agreement of Gram-positive Clinical and Challenge Isolates Using the Auto Read Method

Clinical Isolates	Number of Isolates		Essential Agreement		% Essential Agreement	
	All	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate
Organism Group	All <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td>	Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td>	Total <td>Evaluate</td>	Evaluate
Coagulase Negative <i>Staphylococcus</i>	111	96	109	95	98.9%	99.4%
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	163	157	162	156	99.7%	99.6%
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	108	68	108	68	100.0%	100.0%
Beta <i>Streptococcus</i> (MHB)	87	82	83	79	93.9%	94.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>462</b>	<b>398</b>	<b>98.5%</b>	<b>98.8%</b>

Table 10. Summary Data and % Essential Agreement of *Streptococcus* spp. Clinical and Challenge Isolates Using the Manual Read Method

Clinical Isolates	Number of Isolates		Essential Agreement		% Essential Agreement	
	All	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate
Organism Group	All <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td>	Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td>	Total <td>Evaluate</td>	Evaluate
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	152	135	149	133	98.0%	98.5%
Viridans Group <i>Streptococcus</i>	105	86	105	86	100.0%	100.0%
Beta <i>Streptococcus</i> (MHB with LHB)	202	152	200	151	99.0%	99.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>459</b>	<b>373</b>	<b>454</b>	<b>370</b>	<b>98.9%</b>	<b>99.2%</b>

Table 11. Summary Data and % Essential Agreement of *Streptococcus* spp. Clinical and Challenge Isolates Using the Auto Read Method

Challenge Isolates	Number of Isolates		Essential Agreement		% Essential Agreement	
	All	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate
Organism Group	All <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td>	Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td>	Total <td>Evaluate</td>	Evaluate
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	25	25	25	25	100.0%	100.0%
Viridans Group <i>Streptococcus</i>	25	19	25	19	100.0%	100.0%
Beta <i>Streptococcus</i> (MHB with LHB)	25	18	25	18	100.0%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Table 12. Summary Data and % Essential Agreement of *Streptococcus* spp. Clinical and Challenge Isolates Using the Auto Read Method

Clinical Isolates	Number of Isolates		Essential Agreement		% Essential Agreement	
	All	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate
Organism Group	All <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td>	Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td>	Total <td>Evaluate</td>	Evaluate
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	177	160	174	158	99.0%	99.3%
Viridans Group <i>Streptococcus</i>	130	105	130	105	100.0%	100.0%
Beta <i>Streptococcus</i> (MHB with LHB)	227	170	225	169	99.5%	99.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>534</b>	<b>435</b>	<b>529</b>	<b>432</b>	<b>99.1%</b>	<b>99.3%</b>

Table 13. Summary Data and % Essential Agreement of *Streptococcus* spp. Clinical and Challenge Isolates Using the Auto Read Method

Clinical Isolates	Number of Isolates		Essential Agreement		% Essential Agreement	
	All	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate
Organism Group	All <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td>	Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td>	Total <td>Evaluate</td>	Evaluate
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	150	129	144	126	96.0%	97.7%
Viridans Group <i>Streptococcus</i>	105	86	104	86	99.0%	100.0%
Beta <i>Streptococcus</i> (MHB with LHB)	202	152	198	149	96.0%	98.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>457</b>	<b>367</b>	<b>446</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>97.8%</b>	<b>98.4%</b>

Table 14. Summary Data and % Essential Agreement of *Streptococcus* spp. Clinical and Challenge Isolates Using the Auto Read Method

Challenge Isolates	Number of Isolates		Essential Agreement		% Essential Agreement	
	All	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate
Organism Group	All <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td>	Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td>	Total <td>Evaluate</td>	Evaluate
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	25	25	25	25	100.0%	100.0%
Viridans Group <i>Streptococcus</i>	25	19	25	19	100.0%	100.0%
Beta <i>Streptococcus</i> (MHB with LHB)	25	18	23	18	92.0%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>97.3%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Table 15. Summary Data and % Essential Agreement of *Streptococcus* spp. Clinical and Challenge Isolates Using the Auto Read Method

Clinical Isolates	Number of Isolates		Essential Agreement		% Essential Agreement	
	All	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate	Total	Evaluate
Organism Group	All <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td></td>	Total <td>Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td></td>	Evaluate <td>Total <td>Evaluate</td> </td>	Total <td>Evaluate</td>	Evaluate
<i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>	175	154	169	151	96.0%	98.9%
Viridans Group <i>Streptococcus</i>	130	105	129	105	99.5%	100.0%
Beta <i>Streptococcus</i> (MHB with LHB)	227	170	221	167	95.0%	99.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>532</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>519</b>	<b>423</b>	<b>97.8%</b>	<b>98.6%</b>

Essential agreement for Iclaprim on the Sensititre® susceptibility plate compared to the CLSI reference microdilution plate was calculated for each method (automated and manual read) using the +/- one log<sub>2</sub> dilution standard. Essential agreement rates are shown for gram positive in tables 4 and 5, and for *Streptococcus* spp. isolates, in tables 6 and 7.

### Clinical Isolates and CDC Challenge Organisms

- Gram positive Isolates: The overall essential agreement for Iclaprim, within +/- one log<sub>2</sub> dilution, was 99.2% for the manual method and 98.5% for the automated method (Tables 4 and 5).
- Streptococcus spp. Isolates: The overall essential agreement for Iclaprim, within +/- one log<sub>2</sub> dilution for the manual method and 100% for the automated method (Table 8).

Table 16. Interlaboratory Reproducibility % Essential Agreements +/- one log<sub>2</sub> Dilution of the Modal MIC for Iclaprim

	Auto gram-positive	Manual gram-positive	Auto Streptococcus spp.	Manual Streptococcus spp.
Between-site total isolates tested	75	75	75	75
Between-site isolates within +/- 1 well from mode	73	73	75	75
Between-site reproducibility ratio	73/75	73/75	75/75	75/75
Between-site reproducibility %	97%	97%	100%	100%
Total essential agreement	73	74	75	75
Essential agreement %	97%	99%	100%	100%

## Conclusions

This study validates that the Sensititre® 18 – 24 hour susceptibility system (both automated and manual read) demonstrated an equivalent level of performance compared to the CLSI M07 reference broth microdilution plate when testing Iclaprim against gram positive and *Streptococcus* spp. clinical and challenge isolates. The high level of essential agreement obtained by the Sensititre® 18 – 24 hour susceptibility method and the CLSI reference method suggests that this is an acceptable method for susceptibility testing of Iclaprim.

## References

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2009. *Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard-eighth edition*. Approved document M07-A8. Wayne, PA: CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2009. *Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 19th informational supplement M100-S19*. Wayne, PA: CLSI.

### Acknowledgement

The research and publication process was supported by Arpida Ltd., Reinach, Switzerland.