

Activity of Oritavancin Tested against Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci **Recovered from Bacteremic Patients in USA and European Hospitals (2008 – 2009)**

Abstract

Background: The activity of oritavancin and comparators (nine) was evaluated against recent vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) causing bloodstream infections (BSI).

Methods: 2,407 enterococci (1,432 E. faecalis: 939 E. faecium, 26 *E. gallinarum* and 10 *E. casseliflavus*) were collected from 29 sites in the USA and 29 sites among 14 European countries, including Turkey and Israel. Identification was performed by Vitek 2. Isolates were tested for susceptibility by CLSI methods (M07-A8 and M100-S20-U). Oritavancin activity was evaluated against VanA- and VanB-resistance type and *vanC*-harboring enterococci

Results: Oritavancin showed equivalent activity against vancomycin-susceptible and VanB-type *E. faecalis*. Oritavancin MIC values against VanA-type *E. faecalis* were higher (16-fold) compared to susceptible strains, yet inhibiting all E. faecalis at \leq 0.5 µg/mL, except for one isolate (MIC, 1 µg/mL). Ampicillin (MIC_{50/90}, ≤1/2 μg/mL; 100% susceptible), daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 μg/mL; 100% susceptible) and linezolid (MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 μg/mL; 100% susceptible) showed coverage against vancomycinresistant *E. faecalis*. Oritavancin was ≥2-fold more active against vancomycin-susceptible *E. faecium* (MIC₅₀, $\leq 0.008 \mu g/mL$) than vancomycin-susceptible *E. faecalis* (MIC₅₀, 0.015 µg/mL). Similar oritavancin MIC results were noted against vancomycinsusceptible and VanB-type E. faecium, while oritavancin was 4fold less active against VanA-type *E. faecium*. Daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 2/2 μ g/mL; ≥99.8% susceptible) and linezolid (MIC_{50/90}, $1/2 \mu g/mL$; $\geq 97.6\%$ susceptible) were active against VanA- and VanB-type *E. faecium*. VanC strains were very susceptible to oritavancin (MIC_{50/90}, ≤0.008/0.015 μg/mL), ampicillin (94.4% susceptible), daptomycin (100% susceptible) and linezolid (100% susceptible).

Conclusions: Oritavancin demonstrated activity greater than comparators when tested against VRE causing BSI. Oritavancin activity was reduced against VanA-type strains compared to susceptible and VanB-type strains but inhibited all VRE at ≤1 μg/mL.

Introduction

Enterococcus spp. are part of the normal human microbial flora and the lack of a virulence factor armamentarium makes these organisms less successful pathogens when compared to other Gram-positive cocci such as Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus pyogenes. The majority of invasive enterococcal infections are caused by *Enterococcus faecalis*, and the remainders are mostly caused by *E. faecium*. During the past decades, *Enterococcus* species have emerged as important nosocomial pathogens, with a greater concern directed towards *E. faecium*, which is often resistant to commonly used antimicrobial agents, such as penicillins, aminoglycosides and glycopeptides.

Introduction-continued

E. faecalis and E. faecium may acquire various types of glycopeptides resistance determinants via van-associated genetic elements (vanA/B/D/E/G/L), of which vanA and vanB are the most prevalent in clinically relevant species. Although vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) are seldom encountered in serious clinical infections, they occasionally cause invasive infections, notably in immunocompromised hosts. Some of these infections, particularly those caused by *E. faecium*, are often difficult to treat since only few antimicrobial treatment options are available.

Oritavancin is a semisynthetic bactericidal lipoglycopeptide in late stage of clinical development for treatment of severe infections caused by numerous Gram-positive organisms, including multidrug-resistant (MDR) enterococci, staphylococci and streptococci. This study describes the activity of oritavancin and comparator agents tested against enterococcal clinical isolates causing bloodstream infections in United States (USA) and European hospitals.

Methods

Bacterial strain collection. A total of 2,407 enterococci (1,432 E. faecalis; 939 E. faecium, 26 E. gallinarum and 10 E. casseliflavus) were collected from 29 medical institutions in the USA and 29 centers among 14 European countries, including Turkey and Israel. Isolates were submitted to a central monitoring laboratory (JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA) following established protocols as part of the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program. Bacterial species identification was performed by using an automated system (Vitek[®]2; bioMérieux, Hazelwood, Missouri, USA) or conventional biochemical algorithms, as required.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Isolates were tested for susceptibility by the reference broth microdilution method according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI; M07-A8, 2009) recommendations. Susceptibility testing was performed by using commercially prepared and validated panels (TREK Diagnostic Systems, Cleveland, Ohio, USA) in cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth. Validation of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values was performed by concurrent testing of CLSI-recommended (M100-S20-U, 2010) quality control (QC) strains: E. faecalis ATCC 29212 and S. aureus ATCC 29213. Interpretation of comparator (nine) MIC results was in accordance with published CLSI (M100-S20-U) and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST, 2010) criteria. Enterococcal isolates were clustered according to glycopeptide resistance. The VanA phenotype was characterized by non-susceptibility to vancomycin and teicoplanin, while isolates with a VanB phenotype were those non-susceptible to vancomycin, but susceptible to teicoplanin, according to CLSI criteria. In addition, strains harboring the intrinsic vanC gene (E. gallinarum and E. casseliflavus) were grouped based on species identification.

R.E. MENDES, R.N. JONES, H.S. SADER JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA

Results-1

- Oritavancin tested against vancomycin-susceptible *E*. *faecium* (MIC₅₀, ≤0.008 μg/mL) was ≥two-fold more active than against vancomycin-susceptible *E. faecalis* (MIC₅₀, 0.015 μ g/mL; Table 1). In addition, the oritavancin MIC_{50/90} values when tested against VRE of the VanB-type were comparable to those obtained against their susceptible counterpart strains.
- Although vancomycin-susceptible *E. faecalis* displayed oritavancin MIC_{50/90} results 16-fold lower than VanA-type strains, all these VRE were inhibited by an oritavancin MIC of $\leq 0.5 \,\mu$ g/mL, except for one strain (MIC, 1 μ g/mL; Table 1). Similarly, VanA-type *E. faecium* also exhibited higher oritavancin MIC results when compared to vancomycinsusceptible isolates, but oritavancin still demonstrated low MIC_{50/90} results (0.03/0.12 μg/mL).
- Glycopeptides (≥99.5% susceptible), daptomycin (≥99.3% susceptible) and linezolid (≥99.8% susceptible) were active against vancomycin-susceptible E. faecalis and E. faecium. In addition, ampicillin (100.0% susceptible by CLSI) showed complete coverage against vancomycin-susceptible E. faecalis as well (Table 2).
- The MIC₉₀ result obtained for oritavancin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.25/0.5 µg/mL) when tested against VanA-type E. faecalis was fourfold lower than those of ampicillin (MIC_{50/90}, \leq 1/2 µg/mL; 100.0% susceptible), daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 μ g/mL; 100.0% susceptible) and linezolid (MIC_{50/90}, $1/2 \mu g/mL$; 100.0% susceptible; Table 2).
- Only daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 2/2 μg/mL; 99.8% susceptible), linezolid (MIC_{50/90}, $1/2 \mu g/mL$; 97.6% susceptible by CLSI) and quinupristin/dalfopristin (MIC_{50/90}, 1/1 μ g/mL; 96.0% susceptible) had acceptable coverage (≥90.0% susceptible) against VanA-type *E. faecium*. Furthermore, oritavancin $(MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.12 \,\mu g/mL)$ was 32- to 64-fold more active than the comparator drugs described above (Table 2).
- Oritavancin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.015/0.03 μg/mL) was generally 64fold more active than ampicillin (MIC_{50/90}, \leq 1/2 µg/mL; 100.0% susceptible), daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 μg/mL; 100.0% susceptible) and linezolid (MIC_{50/90}, $1/2 \mu g/mL$; 100.0% susceptible) tested against VanB-type *E. faecalis* (Table 2). Moreover, oritavancin (MIC_{50/90}, ≤0.008/≤0.008 μ g/mL) was at least 128-fold more active than daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 2/2 μ g/mL; 100.0% susceptible) and linezolid (MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 μg/mL; 100.0% susceptible) against VanBtype *E. faecium*.
- The *vanC*-carrying enterococcal isolates were very susceptible to oritavancin (MIC_{50/90}, $\leq 0.008/0.015 \mu g/mL$) and comparator agents tested, such as ampicillin (MIC_{50/90}, \leq 1/4 µg/mL), teicoplanin (MIC_{50/90}, \leq 2/ \leq 2 µg/mL), daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 μ g/mL) and linezolid (MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 μg/mL; Table 2).

Table 1. MIC frequency distribution for oritavancin tested against enterococcal clinical isolates from USA and European hospitals (2008 – 2009).

- Resistance pattern ^a (no. tested)	MIC (µg/mL)		Number (cumulative %) inhibited at MIC (µg/mL)								
	50%	90%	≤0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5		
E. faecalis VAN-S ^a (1,378)	0.015	0.03	446(32.4)	608(76.5) ^b	272(96.2)	48(99.7)	3(99.9)	1(100.0)	_		
<i>E. faecalis</i> VanA (37)	0.25	0.5	1(2.7)	2(8.1)	1(10.8)	2(16.2)	2(21.6)	18(70.3)	10(97.3)		
<i>E. faecalis</i> VanB (17)	0.015	0.03	6(35.3)	9(88.2)	1(94.1)	1(100.0)	_	_	_		
<i>E. faecium</i> VAN-S ^a (412)	≤0.008	≤0.008	403(97.8)	7(99.5)	2(100.0)	_	_	_	_		
<i>E. faecium</i> VanA (505)	0.03	0.12	58(11.5)	54(22.2)	172(56.2)	167(89.3)	48(98.8)	4(99.6)	2(100.0)		
<i>E. faecium</i> VanB (22)	≤0.008	≤0.008	21(95.5)	1(100.0)	_	_	_	_	_		
VanC (36)°	≤0.008	0.015	31(86.1)	4(97.2)	1(100.0)	_	_	_	_		

Includes E. casseliflavus (10 isolates) and E. gallinarum (26 isolates)

Table 2. Antimicrobial activity of oritavancin and comparator antimicrobial agents tested against enterococcal clinical isolates from USA and European hospitals (2008 – 2009).

		Susceptibility category ^a								Susceptibil	ity category ^a
Resistance (phenotype/genotype)	MIC (µg/mL)			% Susceptible / % Resistant		Resistance (phenotype/genotype)	MIC (µg/mL)			% Susceptible / % Resistant	
Organism (no. tested)/ Antimicrobial agent						Organism (no. tested)/					
	50%	90%	Range	CLSI	EUCAST	Antimicrobial agent	50%	90%	Range	CLSI	EUCAST
Vancomycin-susceptible						Vancomycin-resistant (VanB)					
<i>E. faecalis</i> (1,378)						E. faecalis (17)					
Oritavancin	0.015	0.03	≤0.008 – 0.25	_b / _	_/_	Oritavancin	0.015	0.03	≤0.008 – 0.06	_ / _	_ / _
Ampicillin	≤1	2	≤1 – 8	100.0 / 0.0	99.8 / 0.0	Ampicillin	≤1	2	≤1 – 4	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0
Vancomycin	1	2	0.25 – 4	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0	Vancomycin	>16	>16	8->16	0.0 / 88.2	0.0 / 100.0
Teicoplanin	≤2	≤2	≤2 – 4	100.0 / 0.0	99.9 / 0.1	Teicoplanin	≤2	≤2	≤2	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0
Erythromycin	>2	>2	≤0.25 ->2	7.2/61.3	_ / _	Erythromycin	>2	>2	0.5->2	5.9/88.2	_ / _
Tetracycline	>8	>8	≤2 – >8	24.7 / 75.0	_/_	Tetracycline	>8	>8	≤2 – >8	29.4 / 70.6	_ / _
Levofloxacin	1	>4	≤0.5−>4	67.6 / 31.9	_/_	Levofloxacin	>4	>4	>4	0.0 / 100.0	_ / _
Daptomycin	1	2	0.12 – 4	100.0 / -	_/_	Daptomycin	1	2	≤0.06 – 2	100.0 / -	_ / _
Linezolid	1	2	0.25 – 2	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0	Linezolid	1	2	0.5 – 2	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0
Quinupristin/dalfopristin	>2	>2	≤0.25 – >2	0.9 / 95.6	0.9 / 95.6	Quinupristin/dalfopristin	>2	>2	>2	0.0 / 100.0	0.0 / 100.0
E. faecium (412)						E. faecium (11)					
Oritavancin	≤0.008	≤0.008	≤0.008 – 0.03	_/_	_ / _	Oritavancin	≤0.008	≤0.008	≤0.008	_/_	_/_
Ampicillin	>16	>16	≤1−>16	14.1 / 85.9	13.8 / 85.9	Ampicillin	>16	>16	>16	0.0 / 100.0	0.0 / 100.0
Vancomycin	1	1	0.25 – 4	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0	Vancomycin	>16	>16	8->16	0.0 / 72.7	0.0 / 100.0
Teicoplanin	≤2	≤2	≤2 – 4	100.0 / 0.0	99.5 / 0.5	Teicoplanin	≤2	4	≤2 – 8	100.0 / 0.0	81.8 / 18.2
Erythromycin	>2	>2	≤0.25 – >2	7.3 / 85.2	_/_	Erythromycin	>2	>2	2->2	0.0 / 90.9	_/_
Tetracycline	≤2	>8	≤2 – >8	60.7 / 38.8	_/_	Tetracycline	≤2	>8	≤2 – >8	54.5 / 45.5	_/_
Levofloxacin	>4	>4	≤0.5 – >4	16.3 / 77.7	_/_	Levofloxacin	>4	>4	>4	0.0 / 100.0	_/_
Daptomycin	2	4	0.12 ->8	99.3 /	-/-	Daptomycin	2	2	0.5 – 4	100.0 /	_/_
Linezolid	1	2	1 – >8	99.8 / 0.2	99.8 / 0.2	Linezolid	1	2	1 – 2	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0
Quinupristin/dalfopristin	1	>2	≤0.25 ->2	71.1 / 19.2	71.1 / 19.2	Quinupristin/dalfopristin	0.5	>2	0.5 – >2	81.8 / 18.2	81.8 / 18.2
Vancomycin-resistant (VanA)						Vancomycin-resistant (VanC) ^c					
E. faecalis (37)						Oritavancin	≤0.008	0.015	≤0.008 – 0.03	_/_	_/_
Oritavancin	0.25	0.5	≤0.008 – 1	_/_	_/_	Ampicillin	≤1	4	≤1 – >16	94.4 / 5.6	94.4 / 5.6
Ampicillin	≤1	2	≤1 – 2	100.0 / 0.0	100.0 / 0.0	Vancomycin	4	8	0.5 -> 16	77.8 / 2.8	77.8 / 22.2
Vancomycin	>16	>16	>16	0.0 / 100.0	0.0 / 100.0	Teicoplanin	≤2	≤2	≤2 – >16	97.2 / 2.8	97.2 / 2.8
Teicoplanin	>16	>16	16 -> 16	0.0/97.3	0.0 / 100.0	Erythromycin	1	>2	≤0.25 – >2	44.4 / 30.6	_/_
Erythromycin	>2	>2	>2	0.0 / 100.0	_/_	Tetracycline	≤2	>8	≤2 - >8	63.9 / 36.1	_/_
Tetracycline	>8	>8	≤2 – >8	8.1 / 91.9	, _/_	Levofloxacin	2	>4	≤0.5 — >4	80.6 / 11.1	, _/_
Levofloxacin	>4	>4	1->4	5.4 / 94.6	, _/_	Daptomycin	1	2	≤0.06 – 4	100.0 / -	, _/_
Daptomycin	1	2	≤0.06 – 2	100.0 / -	, _/_	Linezolid	1	2	1-2	100.0 / 0.0	, 100.0 / 0.0
Linezolid	1	2	0.5 – 2	100.0 / 0.0	, 100.0 / 0.0	Quinupristin/dalfopristin	2	>2	0.5 ->2	2.8 / 50.0	2.8 / 50.0
Quinupristin/dalfopristin	>2	>2	2->2	0.0 / 97.3	0.0 / 97.3	MIC, minimum inhibitory concentra	tion	72	0.0 72	2.07 30.0	2.07 30.0
<i>E. faecium</i> (505)	~2	22		0.07 01.0	0.07 07.0	a. Criteria for susceptibility as publi		CLSI (M10	0-S20-U; Update June	2010) and EUCAST	(2010).
Oritavancin	0.03	0.12	≤0.008 – 0.5	_ / _	_/_	b. –, no breakpoint available.				,	
Ampicillin	>16	>16	>16	, 0.0 / 100.0	, 0.0 / 100.0	c. Includes <i>E. casseliflavus</i> (10 isol	lates) and E	. gallinarum	(26 isolates).		
Vancomycin	>16	>10 >16	>16	0.0 / 100.0	0.0 / 100.0						
Teicoplanin	>16	>10 >16	16 - >16	0.0 / 95.4	0.0 / 100.0						
Erythromycin	>2	>2	≤0.25 - >2	2.4 / 95.6	- / -						
			≤0.25 - >2 ≤2 - >8	2.4 / 95.6 51.3 / 47.7	_/_ _/_						
Tetracycline	≤2 ► 4	>8									
Levofloxacin	>4	>4	2 ->4	0.2/99.6	- / - /						
Daptomycin	2	2	≤0.06 – 8	99.8 / -	-/-						
Linezolid	1 	2	0.5 - >8	97.6 / 2.2	97.8/2.2						
Quinupristin/dalfopristin	1	1	≤0.25 – >2	96.0 / 1.8	96.0 / 1.8						

Results-2

 Although the MIC values for oritavancin were higher when tested against VanA-type enterococci compared to susceptible isolates, this agent inhibited all VRE at achievable clinical concentrations ($\leq 1 \mu g/mL$).

These in vitro data observed from the analysis of oritavancin activity tested against contemporary enterococcal clinical isolates may reflect important therapeutic advantages, where options are relatively limited

PA, USA.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2010). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: Twentieth Information Supplement M100-S20-U (June 2010 update). Wayne, PA, USA.

European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (2010). Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 1.1, April 2010. Available at: http://www.eucast.org/clinical_breakpoints.

McBride SJ, Upton A, Roberts SA (2010). Clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients with vancomycin-susceptible Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium bacteraemia-a five-year retrospective review. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 29: 107-114.

Sava IG, Heikens E, Huebner J (2010). Pathogenesis and immunity in enterococcal infections. Clin Microbiol Infect 16: 533-540.

Contact info: Rodrigo Mendes, Ph.D. **JMI Laboratories** 345 Beaver Kreek Centre, Suite A North Liberty, IA 52317 USA Tel: 319-665-3370 ext 218 Email: rodrigo-mendes@jmilabs.com

Conclusions

 Oritavancin demonstrated pronounced activity when tested against this collection of E. faecalis and E. faecium clinical isolates, demonstrating greater potency (four- to 128-fold) than the active comparator antimicrobial agents, particularly when tested against VRF

References

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2009). Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically–Eighth Edition: Approved Standard M07-A8. Wayne,

Hegstad K, Mikalsen T, Coque TM, Werner G, Sundsfjord A (2010). Mobile genetic elements and their contribution to the emergence of antimicrobial resistant *Enterococcus faecalis* and Enterococus faecium. Clin Microbiol Infect 16: 541-554.