ABSTRACT

Update of telavancin in vitro activity against a USA collection of Gram-positive clinical isolates (2014) causing skin and skin structure infections

RE Mendes¹, DJ Farrell¹, HS Sader¹, JI Smart², RK Flamm¹, RN Jones¹

¹ JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA, USA

Gram-positive isolates causing SSSI in USA hospitals.

Background: Telavancin is a potent bactericidal lipoglycopeptide approved in the USA and Canada for the treatment of complicated skin and skin structure infections (cSSSI). This

study provides a current in vitro activity analysis for telavancin and comparators against

Methods: 1,591 isolates causing documented SSSI in 28 sites located in nine USA Census

regions were included. Isolates were submitted to a central laboratory as part of the SENTRY

Program for 2014. Identification was confirmed by MALDI-TOF and susceptibility testing was

performed by CLSI (2015) methods. MIC interpretation used the USA FDA (telavancin), CLSI

Results: Telavancin (100.0% susceptible) showed MIC₅₀, MIC₉₀ and MIC₁₀₀ results of 0.03,

0.06 and 0.06 µg/ml, respectively, against all S. aureus, with equivalent results for methicillin-

susceptible (MSSA) and -resistant (MRSA) isolates. The telavancin MIC values were eight-fold lower than daptomycin (100.0% susceptible) and 16-fold lower than vancomycin (100.0%

susceptible) and linezolid (100.0% susceptible) against all *S. aureus*, with similar comparison

the USA FDA breakpoint for susceptibility (i.e. ≤0.25 µg/ml), except for one isolate displaying

a VanA-phenotype (telavancin MIC, 2 µg/ml). Ampicillin (100.0% susceptible), daptomycin

(100.0% susceptible), vancomycin (98.9% susceptible) and linezolid (100.0% susceptible)

than telavancin. All indicated streptococcal isolates were susceptible to telavancin, which

Conclusions: This study provides an updated in vitro activity analysis for telavancin and

were active against E. faecalis: however, these agents had MIC results eight- to 16-fold higher

results against the MSSA and MRSA subsets. All E. faecalis were inhibited by telavancin at

Liberty, Iowa, USA). Isolates were primarily identified by the participating laboratory and identification confirmed by the reference monitoring laboratory (JMI Laboratories) by standard algorithms and supported by MALDI-TOF-MS (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen,

Antimicrobial susceptibility test methods. Isolates were tested for susceptibility by broth microdilution following the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) M07-A10 document. Testing was performed using panels manufactured by Thermo Fisher Scientific (Cleveland, Ohio, USA). These validated panels provide MIC results. equivalent to the CLSI-approved broth microdilution method which includes 0.002% polysorbate-80 in the testing media. Bacterial inoculum density was monitored by colony counts to assure an adequate number of cells for each testing event. Quality of the MIC values was assured by concurrent testing of CLSI-recommended quality control (QC) reference strains (S. aureus ATCC 29213. Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212 and Streptococcus pneumoniae ATCC 49619). All QC results were within published

MIC interpretations for comparator agents were based on the CLSI M100-S25 (2015) and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST; 2015) criteria, as available. MIC interpretations for telavancin were based on breakpoints available in the product package insert, and are as follows: S. aureus at ≤0.12 µg/ml for susceptible (EUCAST breakpoint for MRSA only at ≤0.12 µg/ml for susceptible); E. faecalis (vancomycinsusceptible) at ≤0.25 µg/ml for susceptible; S. pyogenes and Streptococcus agalactiae at ≤0.12 µg/ml (used for β-hemolytic streptococci [BHS]) and Streptococcus anginosus group at ≤0.06 µg/ml for susceptible (applied for viridans group streptococci [VGS]).

comparator agents. Telavancin continues to demonstrate potent in vitro activity against Grampositive clinical isolates responsible for SSSI in USA hospitals.

showed MIC₉₀ values lower than tested comparator agents.

INTRODUCTION

- Cellulitis, abscesses, and infected wounds are diseases included as part of the acute bacterial skin and skin structure infection (ABSSSI) spectrum. These are among the most common infections in health care and ABSSSIs account for 6.3 million physician office visits and over 850,000 hospital admissions annually in the United States. In Furope, it is estimated that ABSSSIs account for 1.5% to 3% of all attendances and, were second only to respiratory tract infections as the most common cause for inpatient antibiotic therapy. In addition, Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus pyogenes are the predominant causative pathogens, and incidences of methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) causing community-acquired ABSSSIs increased significantly.
- Several Gram-positive antimicrobial agents for treatment of ABSSSIs have become available in the last decade. Among those telavancin is a once-daily parenteral semi-synthetic lipoglycopeptide agent approved in the United States and Canada for the treatment of adult patients with ABSSSIs caused by susceptible Gram-positive pathogens. This indication was based on randomized and controlled phase 3 clinical trials demonstrating non-inferiority of telavancin when compared with vancomycin. In this study, a current in vitro activity data analysis is provided for telavancin and comparator agents tested against Gram-positive isolates causing ABSSSIs in 28 USA medical sites during 2014.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strain collection. A total of 1.591 isolates responsible for SSSI, per local guidelines, were included in the study. Isolates were collected from 28 sites located in nine USA Census regions, as part of the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program for 2014. Selected isolates were submitted to the monitoring laboratory (JMI Laboratories; North

RESULTS

acceptable ranges (M100-S25).

- Telavancin (100.0% susceptible) showed MIC₅₀, MIC₉₀ and MIC₁₀₀ results of 0.03, 0.06 and 0.06 µg/ml, respectively, against all S. aureus, with equivalent results for methicillin-susceptible (MSSA) and MRSA isolates (Table 1).
- Overall, telavancin had MIC₅₀ and MIC₅₀ values of 0.03 and 0.06 µg/ml against the entire population of coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS), respectively (Tables 1
- Telavancin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.06 μg/ml) was eight-fold more potent than daptomycin $(MIC_{50/90}, 0.25/0.5 \,\mu g/ml)$, and 16- to 32-fold more active than vancomycin $(MIC_{50/90}, 0.25/0.5 \,\mu g/ml)$ 1/1 μg/ml) and linezolid (MIC_{soloo}, 1/1 μg/ml) against MRSA (**Table 2**).
- Among antimicrobial agents presented in **Table 2**, only telavancin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.06 μg/ml), vancomycin (MIC₅₀₀₀, 1/2 μg/ml), daptomycin (MIC₅₀₀₀, 0.25/0.5 μg/ml) and linezolid (MIC_{50,90}, 0.5/0.5 µg/ml) had optimum antimicrobial coverage (>90% susceptible) against CoNS.
- All E. faecalis were inhibited by telavancin at ≤0.12 µg/ml (USA FDA breakpoint for susceptibility, ≤0.25 µg/ml), except for one non-indicated isolate displaying a VanAphenotype (telavancin MIC, 2 µg/ml; Table 1).
- Ampicillin (MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 μg/ml), daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 μg/ml), vancomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 μg/ml) and linezolid (MIC_{50,90}, 1/1 μg/ml) were active against *E. faecalis*; however, these agents had MIC results eight- to 16-fold higher than telavancin (MIC_{50,000}, 0.12/0.12 µg/ml; Table 2).
- VGS and BHS exhibited very low MIC results for telavancin (MIC₅₀₉₀, ≤0.015-0.03/0.03 ug/ml), which inhibited all isolates at ≤0.06 µg/ml (**Table 1**).
- Telavancin (MIC_{50,000}, 0.03/0.03 µg/ml) and penicillin (MIC_{50,000}, \leq 0.06/0.25 µg/ml) were the most active compounds tested against VGS, followed by vancomycin (MIC_{50,000}, 0.5/0.5 μ g/ml), daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.25/1 μ g/ml), linezolid (MIC_{50/90}, 0.5/1 μ g/ml) and levofloxacin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.5/1 µg/ml; **Table 2**).
- Telavancin (MIC_{50/90}, ≤0.015/0.03 μg/ml), penicillin (MIC_{50/90}, ≤0.06/≤0.06 μg/ml) and daptomycin (MIC₅₀₀₀, ≤0.06/0.25 µg/ml) were the most active agents tested against BHS (**Table 2**; all 100.0% susceptible). Linezolid (MIC_{50/90}, 0.5/1 µg/ml) and levofloxacin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.5/1 µg/ml) also demonstrated antimicrobial activity.

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity and MIC distribution for telavancin when tested against contemporary (2014) clinical isolates from USA medical centers causing SSSIs.

Organism ^a (no. tested)	MIC (µg/ml)			Number (cumulative %) inhibited at telavancin MIC (µg/ml) ^b								
	50%	90%	≤0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	>1		
S. aureus (1,051)	0.03	0.06	59 (5.6)	792 (81.0)	200 (100.0)							
MSSA (527)	0.03	0.06	41 (7.8)	386 (81.0)	100 (100.0)							
MRSA (524)	0.03	0.06	18 (3.4)	406 (80.9)	100 (100.0)							
CoNS (88)	0.03	0.06	32 (36.4)	16 (54.5)	38 (97.7)	2 (100.0)						
E. faecalis (87)	0.12	0.12	0 (0.0)	4 (4.6)	35 (44.8)	47 (98.9)	0 (98.9)	0 (98.9)	0 (98.9)	1 (100.0)b		
VGS (63)	0.03	0.03	30 (47.6)	32 (98.4)	1 (100.0)							
S. anginosus group (39)	≤0.015	0.03	21 (53.8)	18 (100.0)								
BHS (302)	≤0.015	0.03	201 (66.6)	95 (98.0)	6 (100.0)							
S. pyogenes (156)	≤0.015	0.03	139 (89.1)	14 (98.1)	3 (100.0)							
S. agalactiae (104)	0.03	0.03	24 (23.1)	78 (98.1)	2 (100.0)							

a. MSSA = methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus*; MRSA = methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*; CoNS = coagulase-negative staphylococci; VGS = viridans group streptococci; BHS = 6-hemolytic streptococci.
b. All vancomycin-susceptible *E. faecalis* were susceptible to telavancin (i.e. all inhibited below breakpoint; i.e. ≤0.25 µg/ml). One *E. faecalis* displaying a VanA-phenotype (i.e. vancomycin and teicoplanin MIC values of >4 and >8 μg/ml, respectively) had a telavancin MIC result of 2 μg/ml.

CONCLUSIONS

- This study provides an updated in vitro activity analysis for telavancin and comparator agents evaluated against the primary species of staphylococci, enterococci and streptococci causing SSSIs in USA medical centers in 2014.
- Telavancin inhibited all indicated pathogens at or below the respective breakpoints for susceptibility established by the USA FDA. In addition, telavancin demonstrated in vitro potency greater than comparator antimicrobial agents with similar indications.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research and publication process was supported by Therayance Biopharma Antibiotics, Inc. Poster production support was coordinated by AlphaBioCom, funded by Theravance Biopharma Antibiotics, Inc.

REFERENCES

- iical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2015). MO7-A10. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard-tenth edition. Wayne, PA, USA. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2015). M100-S25. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 25th informational supplement. Wayne, PA, USA.
- Durkin MJ, Corey GR (2015). New developments in the management of severe skin and deep skin structure infections. Ther Clin Risk Manag 11: 857-862. EUCAST (2015). Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 5.0, January 2015. Available at: http://www.eucast.org/clinical_breakpoints/. Accessed January 2, 2015.
- Quirke M, Wakai A (2015). Treatment outcome measures for randomized controlled trials of antibiotic treatment for acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections in the emergency department setting. Int J Emerg
- VIRATIV Package Insert (2014). Available at http://www.vibativ.com. Accessed 12 April 2015.

Table 2. Antimicrobial activity of telavancin and comparator agents tested against contemporary (2014) clinical isolates from USA medical centers causing SSSIs

Contact Information: Rodrigo E. Mendes, PhD

JMI Laboratories

Fax: 319-665-3371

345 Beaver Kreek Ctr, Ste A North Liberty, Iowa, 52317, USA Phone: 319-665-3370

rodrigo-mendes@jmilabs.com

Organism	_	MIC (µg/ml)			% Susceptible/%Intermediate/%Resistant ^b					
(number tested) Antimicrobial agent ^a	Range	50% 90%			CLSI			EUCAST		
MRSA (524)										
Telavancin	≤0.015 — 0.06	0.03	0.06	100.0	_ c	-	100.0	-	0.0	
Vancomycin	0.5 — 2	1	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	-	0.0	
Daptomycin	0.12 - 2	0.25	0.5	99.8	-	-	99.8	-	0.2	
Linezolid	0.25 — 2	1	1	100.0	-	0.0	100.0	-	0.0	
Levofloxacin	≤0.12 — >4	4	>4	39.3	0.6	60.1	39.3	0.6	60.1	
Erythromycin	≤0.12 — >16	>16	>16	11.5	1.1	87.4	11.6	0.2	88.2	
Clindamycin	≤0.25 — >2	≤0.25	>2	80.9	0.2	18.9	80.9	0.0	19.1	
Gentamicin	≤1 —>8	≤1	≤1	97.3	0.4	2.3	96.8	-	3.2	
Tetracycline	≤0.5 — >8	≤0.5	2	92.3	8.0	6.9	89.5	1.3	9.2	
TMP-SMX	≤0.5 — >4	≤0.5	≤0.5	97.7	-	2.3	97.7	0.6	1.7	
CoNS (88)										
Telavancin	≤0.015 — 0.12	0.03	0.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Oxacillin	≤0.25 — >2	1	>2	55.7	-	44.3	55.7	-	44.3	
Vancomycin	0.5 — 2	1	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	-	0.0	
Daptomycin	≤0.06 — 1	0.25	0.5	100.0	-	-	100.0	-	0.0	
Linezolid	0.25 - 1	0.5	0.5	100.0	-	0.0	100.0	-	0.0	
Levofloxacin	≤0.12 — >4	0.25	>4	75.0	1.1	23.9	75.0	1.1	23.9	
Erythromycin	≤0.12 — >16	0.25	>16	56.8	1.1	42.0	56.8	0.0	43.2	
Clindamycin	≤0.25 — >2	≤0.25	>2	77.3	1.1	21.6	72.7	4.5	22.7	
Gentamicin	≤1>8	≤1	>8	87.5	1.1	11.4	86.4	-	13.6	
Tetracycline	≤0.5 — >8	≤0.5	>8	87.4	1.1	11.5	80.5	2.3	17.2	
TMP-SMX	≤0.5 — >4	≤0.5	4	89.8	-	10.2	89.8	8.0	2.3	
E. faecalis (87)										
Telavancin	0.03 — 2	0.12	0.12	98.9 ^d	-	-	-	-	-	
Ampicillin	0.5 — 2	1	2	100.0	-	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
Vancomycin	0.25 — >16	1	2	98.9	0.0	1.1	98.9	-	1.1	
Daptomycin	≤0.06 — 2	1	2	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	
Linezolid	≤0.12 — 1	1	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	-	-	-	
Levofloxacin	0.25 — >4	1	>4	70.1	0.0	29.9	-	-	-	
Tetracycline	≤0.5 — >8	>8	>8	26.4	1.1	72.4	-	-	-	
VGS (63)										
Telavancin	≤0.015 — 0.06	0.03	0.03	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	
Penicillin	≤0.06 — >8	≤0.06	0.25	88.9	7.9	3.2	93.7	3.2	3.2	
Vancomycin	≤0.12 — 1	0.5	0.5	100.0	-	-	100.0	-	0.0	
Daptomycin	≤0.06 — 1	0.25	1	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	
Linezolid	≤0.12 — 1	0.5	1	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	
Levofloxacin	≤0.12 — >4	0.5	1	98.4	0.0	1.6	-	-	-	
Erythromycin	≤0.12 — >16	0.25	>16	50.8	4.8	44.4	-	-	-	
Clindamycin	≤0.25 — >2	≤0.25	>2	79.4	0.0	20.6	79.4	-	20.6	
Tetracycline	≤0.5 — >8	4	>8	47.6	9.5	42.9	-	-	-	
BHS (302)										
Telavancin	≤0.015 — 0.06	≤0.015	0.03	100.0	-	_b	-	-	-	
Penicillin	≤0.06 — 0.12	≤0.06	≤0.06	100.0	-	-	100.0	-	0.0	
Vancomycin	0.25 - 0.5	0.25	0.5	100.0	-	-	100.0	-	0.0	
Daptomycin	≤0.06 — 0.5	≤0.06	0.25	100.0	-	-	100.0	-	0.0	
Linezolid	0.5 — 1	0.5	1	100.0	-	-	100.0	0.0	0.0	
Levofloxacin	0.25 — >4	0.5	1	99.3	0.0	0.7	98.3	1.0	0.7	
Erythromycin	≤0.12 >16	≤0.12	>16	70.8	1.3	27.9	70.8	1.3	27.9	
Clindamycin	≤0.25 — >2	≤0.25	>2	84.4	0.7	15.0	85.0	-	15.0	
Tetracycline	≤0.5 — >8	≤0.5	>8	56.6	2.3	41.1	56.0	0.7	43.4	
a MRSA – mothicillin-resista	ant C aurous, TMD SMY = tr	rimothonrim culfan	anthovazolo, CoNS	- coagulaco pogativo stanb	ulococci, VCS =	- viridane group et	rontococci, RHS – ß	homolytic stropto	encei	

a. MRSA = methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*; TMP-SMX = trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; CoNS = coagulase-negative staphylococci; VGS = viridans group streptococci; BHS = 8-hemolytic streptococci. b. Breakpoint criteria for telavancin according to the labeling supplement for the product VIBATIV[®], as available. *S. aureus* at 50.12 µg/ml for susceptible; EUCAST breakpoint for telavancin against MRSA only at ≤0.12 µg/ml for susceptible; Eucast is vancomycin-susceptible) at <0.25 µg/ml for susceptible; Eucast from S. anginosus group (≤0.06 µg/ml for susceptible) at <0.25 µg/ml for susceptible; Eucast from S. anginosus group (≤0.06 µg/ml for susceptible) while the interpretive criterion for *S. pyogenes* and *S. agalactiae* (≤0.12 µg/ml for susceptible) was applied for BHS. Breakpoint criteria for comparator agents were those from CLSI (M100-S25, 2015) and EUCAST (2015), as available.
c. Breakpoint not available.
d. 98.9% of all *E. faecalis* or 100.0% of vancomycin-susceptible *E. faecalis* were susceptible to telavancin. One *E. faecalis* displaying a VanA-phenotype (i.e. vancomycin and teicoplanin MIC values of >4 and >8 µg/ml, respectively) had a telavancin MIC result of 2 µg/ml.

² Theravance Biopharma US, Inc. South San Francisco, CA, USA