

Oritavancin In Vitro Activity Against a Collection of Molecularly-characterized **Staphylococci and Enterococci Displaying Elevated Linezolid MIC Values** <u>RE Mendes</u>, DJ Farrell, HS Sader, RK Flamm, RN Jones JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, IA, USA

Abstract

Background: Oritavancin was approved by the US-FDA (2014) for the treatment of acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections caused by gram-positive organisms.

Methods: 105 staphylococci (linezolid MIC, 4 - >128 μg/mL) and 45 enterococci (linezolid MIC, 4 – 64 μg/mL) were included. Susceptibility testing was performed by CLSI methods (M07-A10); interpretation of MICs used FDA (oritavancin) CLSI (2015) and/or EUCAST (2015) criteria. Mutations in 23S rRNA, L3 and L4, and the presence of cfr were investigated

Results: 16.0% (4/25), 12.0% (3/25) and 48.0% (12/25) of S. aureus had 23S rRNA mutations, L3/L4 alterations and cfr, respectively. Other S. aureus showed a combination of linezolid resistance mechanisms. Oritavancin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.06 μ g/mL) had MIC₅₀ results 8- and 32-fold lower than daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.25/0.5 μ g/mL) and vancomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 1/1-2 µg/mL), respectively, against all S. aureus or those carrying cfr only. The majority of enterococci showed the G2576T alteration and three isolates (6.7%) had a concomitant presence of cfr. Oritavancin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.015/0.12 µg/mL) showed potent activity against *E. faecalis* (linezolid MIC_{50/90}, 8/16 μg/mL) and *E. faecium* (linezolid MIC_{50/90}, 8/32 μg/mL). Ampicillin (MIC_{50/90}, ≤1/2 µg/mL) and daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 µg/mL) were active against *E. faecalis*, and daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 2/2 µg/mL) was also active against E. faecium. 75.0% (24/32) of the E. faecium were vancomycin-resistant (VanA-phenotype) and oritavancin had a MIC₁₀₀ of 0.12 μ g/mL against this subset. Coagulase-negative staphylococci (linezolid MIC_{50/90}, 32/128 µg/mL) showed a diverse array of linezolid resistance mechanisms and highest linezolid MICs. Oritavancin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.12 µg/mL) had MICs 4- to 16-fold lower than daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.5/0.5 µg/mL) and 16- to 128-fold lower than vancomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 2/2 μg/mL) or teicoplanin (MIC_{50/90}, 4/8 μg/mL) against coagulase-negative staphylococci

Conclusions: Oritavancin had potent *in vitro* activity against this collection of gram-positive isolates exhibiting elevated linezolid MICs. These results confirm the absence of cross-resistance between linezolid and oritavancin.

Background

Staphylococcus aureus, particularly methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA), coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS), Enterococcus faecium and multidrug-resistant (MDR) streptococci are frequently isolated pathogens responsible for a variety of clinical infections. MRSA and E. faecium are among the so-called ESKAPE organisms (E. faecium, S. aureus, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Enterobacter spp.), which cause the majority of USA nosocomial infections and are refractory to most clinically available agents.

Linezolid was the first oxazolidinone approved for complicated skin and skin structure infections (cSSSIs) and one of the few drugs approved for the treatment of vancomycin-resistant *E. faecium* (VRE) infections. Its oral formulation makes linezolid an attractive alternative. However, although rare, resistance occurs, especially after prolonged use, and new resistance genes encoding for methyltransferases (i.e. *cfr* and *cfr*(B)) and ABC transporters (i.e. *optrA*) have been described. This study was conducted to evaluate the *in vitro* activity of oritavancin against a molecularly-characterized set of isolates displaying elevated linezolid MIC results.

Methods

Bacterial strain collection. A total of 105 staphylococci (linezolid MIC range, 4 - >128 µg/mL) and 45 enterococci (linezolid MIC range, $4 - 64 \mu g/mL$) were included (Table 1). Isolates were recovered from a network of medical centers as part of the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program and submitted to a central monitoring laboratory (JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, USA). Bacterial identification was confirmed by the central laboratory using Vitek Identification Systems (bioMerieux, Hazelwood, Missouri, USA), matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization – time of flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, Massachusetts, USA), and/or 16S rRNA sequencing.

Detection of linezolid resistance mechanisms. Isolates received as part of the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program that showed elevated MIC results for linezolid (i.e. MIC, $\ge 4 \mu g/mL$) were selected for further molecular characterization at the central laboratory. Presence of *cfr*, and mutations in the 23S rRNA and ribosomal proteins (L3 and L4) were investigated by PCR and sequencing of amplicons on both strands. 23S rRNA and ribosomal protein sequences obtained were compared to those from the respective wildtype species using the Lasergene® software package (DNASTAR: Madison, Wisconsin).

Antimicrobial susceptibility test methods. Isolates were tested for susceptibility by broth microdilution following the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) M07-A10 document. Testing was centrally performed using panels manufactured by Thermo Fisher Scientific (Cleveland, Ohio, USA). These panels provide oritavancin results equivalent to the CLSI-approved broth microdilution method supplemented with 0.002% polysorbate-80. Bacterial inoculum density was monitored by colony counts to assure an adequate number of cells for each testing event.

Validation of the MIC values was performed by concurrent testing of CLSI-recommended quality control (QC) reference strains (S. aureus ATCC 29213 and Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212). All QC results were within published acceptable ranges (M100-S25). MIC interpretations for oritavancin were based on the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST; 2015) breakpoint criteria. Interpretive criteria from CLSI and EUCAST were applied for comparator agents, as available.

Results

- Table 1 describes the challenge set of organisms utilized in this study. A total of 16.0% (4/25), 12.0% (3/25) and 48.0% (12/25) of S. aureus had 23S rRNA mutations. L3/L4 alterations and cfr. respectively. Other S. aureus showed a combination of linezolid resistance mechanisms.
- Oritavancin had modal MIC, MIC₅₀ and MIC_{qq} results of 0.03, 0.03 and 0.06 μ g/mL, respectively, when tested against all S. aureus displaying elevated MIC values for linezolid (Table 2). Similar results were observed for oritavancin against Cfr-producing S. aureus.
- Oritavancin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.06 μg/mL) had an MIC_{50} result that was 8- and 32fold lower than those of daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.25/0.5 μg/mL) and vancomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 μ g/mL), respectively, against all S. aureus, including those carrying *cfr* (Table 3).
- Coagulase-negative staphylococci (linezolid MIC_{50/90}, 32/128 μg/mL) showed a diverse array of linezolid resistance mechanisms and highest linezolid MIC results among pathogens included in the study (Tables 1 and 2).
- Oritavancin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.12 μg/mL) had MIC values 4- to 16-fold lower than daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.5/0.5 μ g/mL) and 16- to 128-fold lower than vancomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 2/2 µg/mL) or teicoplanin (MIC_{50/90}, 4/8 μg/mL) against coagulasenegative staphylococci (Table 3).
- The majority of enterococci showed the G2576T alteration in 23S rRNA and three isolates (6.7%) had a concomitant presence of *cfr.* Two *E. faecium* and seven E. faecalis isolates had the newly described cfr(B) variant and optrA ABC transporter (Table 1).
- A total of 15.4% and 75.0% of linezolidnon-susceptible *E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* were vancomycin-resistant (all VanAphenotype), respectively (Table 3) Oritavancin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.015/0.12 μg/mL) inhibited all isolates at $\leq 0.12 \,\mu$ g/mL, except for one isolate (MIC, 1 μg/mL; Tables 2 and 3).
- Oritavancin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.015/0.12 μg/mL), ampicillin (MIC_{50/90}, \leq 1/2 µg/mL) and daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 μg/mL) were active against *E. faecalis*, and oritavancin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.015/0.12 μg/mL) and daptomycin (MIC_{50/90}, 2/2 µg/mL) were active against E. faecium (Table 3).

Table 1. Summary of gram-positive isolates exhibiting elevated linezolid MIC results with characterized resistance mechanisms utilized in this study

Organism/	MIC (μg/mL)		Linezolid resistance mechanism ^a					
No. tested	Linezolid	Oritavancin	23S rRNA	L3	L4	cfr/optr/		
S. aureus								
12	4 - 16	0.015 - 0.12	WT	WT	WT	cfr		
4	8 - 16	0.03 - 0.06	G2576T	WT	WT	-		
1	8	0.03	G2576T	WT	WT	cfr		
2	4 - 8	0.03	WT	∆S145	WT	-		
1	4	0.03	WT	WT	A118V	cfr		
1	8	0.03	WT	∆S145, G155D	WT	-		
1	32	0.03	G2576T	∆S145	WT	-		
1	16	0.06	G2576T	∆H146, P151L	WT	cfr		
1	16	0.03	G2576T	G152D, D159E	WT	cfr		
1	32	0.03	G2576T	D159E, G152D	WT	cfr		
E. faecalis	02	0.00	020101	01002, 01020		011		
5	4 - 16	0.008 - 1	G2576T	WT	WT	_		
1	16	0.015	WT	WT	WT	cfr		
7	4 - 8	0.008 - 0.03	WT	WT	WT	optrA		
E. faecium	4-0	0.000 - 0.03		VV 1	VVI	υριιΑ		
	4 0	0.045 0.40	OOF70T	\A/ T		-fri		
3	4 - 8	0.015 - 0.12	G2576T	WT	WT	cfr		
29	4 - 64	0.008 - 0.12	G2576T	WT	WT	-		
S. epidermidis	100							
1	>128	0.12	C2534T, T2504A	G152D, D159Y	WT	-		
2	32 - 128	0.008 - 0.03	G2576T	G137S, H146P, F147Y, M156T	71G72 insertion	-		
3	64 - 128	0.03 - 0.06	G2576T	G137S, H146P, M156T	71G72 insertion	-		
1	>128	0.06	G2576T	G137S, H146P, F147Y, M156T	71G72 insertion	cfr		
1	64	0.06	G2576T	G137S, H146P, M156T	WT	-		
2	16 - 32	0.06 - 0.12	G2576T	M156	WT	-		
1	16	0.03	G2576T	F147L	WT	-		
1	64	0.03	WT	F147L	WT	cfr		
1	32	0.03	G2576T	H146P, M156T	WT	-		
1	64	0.12	G2576T	H146R, M156T	71G72 insertion	_		
1	32	0.03	G2576T	H146R, V154L, M156T	71G72 insertion	_		
I					G69R, 71G72	-		
1	128	0.03	G2576T	G137S, H146P, M156R	insertion	-		
1	128	0.12	G2576T	H146R, M156R	71G72 insertion	-		
1	32	0.03	G2576T	H146P, M156T	WT	_		
1	64	0.03	G2576T	H146R, M156T	71G72 insertion	_		
1	32	0.015	G2576T	V154L, M156T	WT			
1	128	0.015				-		
1			G2576T	H146R, V154L, M156T	71G72 insertion	-		
1	64	0.03	G2576T	H146R, V154L, M156T	WT	-		
2	64 - 128	0.03 - 0.06	G2576T	G137D, H146R, V154L, M156T	71G72 insertion	-		
15	8 - 128	0.03 - 0.12	G2576T	WT	WT	-		
2	64 - >128	0.015 - 0.03	G2576T	WT	WT	cfr		
1	128	0.06	T2504A	G152D, D159E, A160P	WT	-		
6	4 -32	0.015 - 0.06	WT	WT	WT	cfr		
1	>128	0.06	T2504A	G152D, D159E, A160P	WT	-		
1	>128	0.06	T2504A, C2534T	G152D, D159Y		-		
1	4	0.06	WT	A157R	WT	-		
1	- 16	0.03	WT	A157R	WT	cfr		
1	16	0.03	WT	V154L, A157R	WT	UII		
1						-		
	128	0.12	WT	G137S, H146Q, V154L, A157R	71G72 insertion	cfr		
2	16	0.03 - 0.06	WT	H146Q	WT	-		
1	4	0.12	WT	S158Y, D159Y	WT	-		
1	16	0.06	WT	V154L, A157R	71G72 insertion	-		
1	128	0.06	WT	V154L, A157R	WT	cfr		
1	8	0.03	WT	V154L, A157R	P171S	-		
5	16	0.03 - 0.06	WT	H146Q, V154L, A157R	71G72 insertion	-		
4	128 - >128	0.008 - 0.06	WT	H146Q, V154L, A157R	71G72 insertion	cfr		
S. capitis								
- 1	16	0.008	G2576T	T83A	WT	-		
1	8 - 32	0.008	WT	WT	WT	cfr		
S. conii		0.000	VV I	V V I	** 1	011		
1	32	0.008	WT	WT	WT	cfr		
l S haamalituaura		0.000	VV I	VV I	VV I	CII		
S. haemolitycus		0.045 0.00	005-07	\A/ T	\ A / 			
3	8 - 16	0.015 - 0.03	G2576T	WT	WT	-		
1	32	0.03	G2576T	M156T	WT	-		
1	4	0.06	WT	M156T	WT	cfr		
S. hominis								
1	32	0.008	G2576T	M156T	WT	-		
1	32	0.008	G2576T	M156T, F147I	S77Y	-		
1	4	0.015	WT	M169L	WT	cfr		
-	•	····		···· • • • •		0.1		

based on the Escherichia coli nucleotide numbering, while L3 and L4 mutations are described in terms of amino acid alteration and position. b. Cfr and OtrpA are plasmid-encoded methyltransferase and ABC transporter, respectively. Cfr-producing E. faecium includes two isolates carrying the newly described cfr(B)

Table 2. Antimicrobial activity and MIC distribution for oritavancin when tested against a challenge set of molecularlycharacterized gram-positive clinical isolates displaying elevated linezolid MIC results.

Isolates ^a	MIC (µ	Number (cumulative %) inhibited at oritavancin MIC (μg/mL) of:					
(No. tested)	50%	90%	≤0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12
S. aureus (25)	0.03	0.06	0 (0.0)	3 (12.0)	15 (72.0)	6 (96.0)	1 (100.0)
cfr-carrying only (13)	0.03	0.06	0 (0.0)	3 (23.1)	6 (69.2)	3 (92.3)	1 (100.0)
CoNS (80)	0.03	0.12	7 (8.8)	6 (16.2)	33 (57.5)	25 (88.8)	9 (100.0)
E. faecalis (13)	0.015	0.12	3 (23.1)	7 (76.9)	1 (84.6)	0 (84.6)	1 (92.3)
E. faecium (32)	0.015	0.12	14 (43.8)	4 (56.2)	6 (75.0)	2 (81.2)	6 (100.0)
VanA-phenotype (24)	0.03	0.12	6 (25.0)	4 (41.7)	6 (66.7)	2 (75.0)	6 (100.0)
a. All S. aureus were methicillin-res respectively. CoNS = coagulase	-negative staphylo	cocci and inclu		, one Ś. <i>cohnii</i> , 6			

S. hominis. One vancomycin-resistant E. faecalis showed an oritavancin MIC value of 1 µg/mL.

Table 3. Antimicrobial activity of oritavancin and comparator agents tested against a challenge set of molecularlycharacterized gram-positive clinical isolates displaying elevated linezolid MIC results.

Organism ^a (No. tested)	MIC (μg/mL)			% Susceptible/%Intermediate/%Resista				
Antimicrobial agent	Range	50%	90%		CLSI		E	UCAST
S. aureus (25)								
Oritavancin	0.015 — 0.12	0.03	0.06	100.0	-	_b	100.0	-
Clindamycin	≤0.25 — >2	>2	>2	16.0	4.0	80.0	8.0	8.0
Daptomycin	0.25 — 0.5	0.25	0.5	100.0	-	-	100.0	-
Erythromycin	≤0.25 — >2	>2	>2	4.8	19.0	76.2	8.0	4.0
Levofloxacin	0.25 — >4	>4	>4	12.0	4.0	84.0	12.0	4.0
Linezolid	4 — 32	8	16	36.0	-	64.0	36.0	-
Tetracycline	≤2 — >8	≤2	>8	84.0	0.0	16.0	66.7	14.3
TMP-SMX	≤0.5 — 4	≤0.5	≤0.5	96.0	-	4.0	96.0	4.0
Vancomycin	0.5 — 2	1	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	-
CoNS (80)								
Oritavancin	≤0.008 — 0.12	0.03	0.12	-	-	-	-	-
Clindamycin	≤0.25 — >2	1	>2	32.5	30.0	37.5	20.0	12.5
Daptomycin	0.12 — 1	0.5	0.5	100.0	-	-	100.0	-
Erythromycin	≤0.25 — >2	>2	>2	20.0	41.4	38.6	23.8	16.2
Levofloxacin	≤0.5 — >4	>4	>4	3.8	3.8	92.5	3.8	3.8
Linezolid	4 — >128	32	128	7.5	-	92.5	7.5	-
Oxacillin	≤0.25 — >2	>2	>2	6.2	-	93.8	6.2	-
Teicoplanin	≤2 — >8	4	8	92.3	6.4	1.3	58.2	-
Tetracycline	≤2 — >8	≤2	≤2	92.5	0.0	7.5	76.5	14.7
TMP-SMX	≤0.5 — >2	>2	>2	16.2	-	83.8	18.3	36.6
Vancomycin	1 — 2	2	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	-
E. faecalis (13)								
Oritavancin	≤0.008 — 1	0.015	0.12	92.3°	-	-	-	-
Ampicillin	≤1 — 4	≤1	2	100.0	-	0.0	100.0	0.0
Daptomycin	0.5 — 2	1	2	100.0	-	-	-	-
Levofloxacin	1 — >4	>4	>4	15.4	0.0	84.6	-	-
Linezolid	4 — 16	8	16	0.0	46.2	53.8	-	-
Teicoplanin	≤2 — >8	≤2	>8	91.7	0.0	8.3	84.6	-
Tetracycline	≤0.25 — >8	>8	>8	15.4	0.0	84.6	-	-
Vancomycin	1 — >16	1	>16	84.6	0.0	15.4	84.6	-
E. faecium (32)								
Oritavancin	≤0.008 — 0.12	0.015	0.12	-	-	-	-	-
Ampicillin	>8 >8	>8	>8	0.0	-	100.0	0.0	0.0
Daptomycin	0.5 — 4	2	2	100.0	-	-	-	-
Levofloxacin	>4 >4	>4	>4	0.0	0.0	100.0	-	-
Linezolid	4 — 64	8	32	0.0	25.0	75.0	-	-
Teicoplanin	≤2 — >8	>8	>8	29.6	3.7	66.7	25.0	-
Tetracycline	≤2 — >8	≤2	>8	50.0	0.0	50.0	-	-
Vancomycin	1 — >16	>16	>16	21.9	3.1	75.0	21.9	-

a. All S. aureus were methicillin-resistant, except for one isolate. CoNS = coagulase-negative staphylococci (includes: two S. capitis, one S. co S. epidermidis, five S. haemolyticus and three S. hominis). b. Breakpoint criteria for oritavancin according to the FDA package insert (CLSI column) and EUCAST, as available. S. aureus at ≤0.12 µg/mL for

susceptible; *E. faecalis* at ≤0.12 μg/mL for susceptible (FDA breakpoint for vancomycin-susceptible only). Breakpoint criteria for comparator agents were those from CLSI (M100-S25, 2015) and EUCAST (2015), as available.

c. 92.3% of all *E. faecalis* and 100.0% of vancomycin-susceptible *E. faecalis* were susceptible to oritavancin. One *E. faecalis* displaying a VanA-phenotype (i.e. vancomycin and teicoplanin MIC values of >4 and >8 μg/mL, respectively) had an oritavancin MIC result of 1 μg/mL.

Rodrigo E. Mendes, PhD JMI Laboratories **345 Beaver Kreek Centre** Rodrigo-mendes@jmilbs.com

ant ^b	
- 84.0 0.0 88.0 84.0 64.0 19.0	
0.0	
0.0	
- 67.5 0.0 60.0 92.5 92.5 93.8 41.8 8.8 45.1 0.0	
-	
0.0	
-	
-	
15.4	
15.4	
- 100.0 - -	
-	
75.0 -	
78.1	
ohnii, 69	

Conclusions

- Oritavancin had potent *in vitro* activity against this collection of Gram-positive isolates exhibiting elevated linezolid MIC results, including isolates with newly characterized and transferable (plasmid-encoded) linezolid resistance mechanisms.
- All Gram-positive isolates exhibiting elevated linezolid MIC results included in this study were inhibited by oritavancin at $\leq 0.12 \mu g/mL$, except for one vancomycin-resistant (VanA-phenotype) *E. faecalis* (MIC, 1 μg/mL).
- The results presented here confirm the absence of in vitro cross-resistance between linezolid and oritavancin. Moreover, these data suggest that oritavancin could be an option for treating ABSSSIs, including those caused by isolates displaying decreased susceptibility to linezolid.

Disclosures

This study was sponsored by an educational/research grant from The Medicines Company (Parsippany, New Jersey, United States) via the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program platform.

References

- 1. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2015). M07-A10. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard- tenth edition. Wayne, PA,
- 2. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2015). M100-S25. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 25th informational supplement. Wayne, PA, USA.
- 3. Deshpande LM, Ashcraft AS, Kahn HP, Pankey G, Jones RN, Farrell DJ, Mendes RE (2015). Detection of a new *cfr*-like gene, cfr(B), in Enterococcus faecium recovered from human specimens in the United States: Report from The SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother In press.
- 4. EUCAST (2015). Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 5.0, January 2015. Available at: http://www.eucast.org/clinical_breakpoints/. Accessed January 2015.
- 5. EUCAST Addendum (2015). Clinical breakpoints and QC recommendations for the new agents dalbavancin, oritavancin and tedizolid. Available at: http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/ src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint_tables/Addenda/New_E UCAST_breakpoints_final.pdf. Accessed August 2015.
- 6. Mendes RE, Deshpande LM, Castanheira M, DiPersio J, Saubolle MA, Jones RN (2008). First report of *cfr*-mediated resistance to linezolid in human staphylococcal clinical isolates recovered in the United States. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother 52: 2244-2246.
- 7. Mendes RE, Deshpande LM, Jones RN (2014). Linezolid update: Stable *in vitro* activity following more than a decade of clinical use and summary of associated resistance mechanisms. Drug Resist. *Updat*e. 17: 1-12.
- 8. Orbactiv[™] Package Insert (2015). Available at http://www.orbactiv.com. Accessed August 7, 2015.
- 9. Wang Y, Lv Y, Cai J, Schwarz S, Cui L, Hu Z, Zhang R, Li J, Zhao Q, He T, Wang D, Wang Z, Shen Y, Li Y, Fessler AT, Wu C, Yu H, Deng X, Xia X, Shen J (2015). A novel gene, *optrA*, that confers transferable resistance to oxazolidinones and phenicols and its presence in Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium of human and animal origin. J. Antimicrob. Chemother 70: 2182-2190.