# Activity of Meclocycline Sulfosalicylate Tested Against Oral Pathogens

RN JONES, TR FRITSCHE, HS SADER, PR RHOMBERG JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA

ICID 2006

JMI Laboratories North Liberty, IA, USA www.jmilabs.com 319.665.3370, fax 319.665.3371 ronald-jones@jmilabs.com

#### AMENDED ABSTRACT

Background: Meclocycline sulfosalicylate (MSS) is a topically-used tetracycline derivative that has been utilized for acne vulgaris treatments and for its other antimicrobial qualities for over three decades. Tetracycline-class agents also have non-antimicrobial features that can minimize the release of free radicals, reduce expression of cytokines and alter degeneration of vascular/connective tissues.

Methods: Over 20 pathogen groups (265 strains) were tested, including 35 staphylococci (15 methicillin-resistant), 10 *E. faecalis*, 80 viridans group streptococci (8 species), 20 ß-haemolytic streptococci, 30 Enterobacteriaceae (3 species), 10 Pseudomonas aeruginosa, 10 Acinetobacters, 11 Burkholderia cepacia, 10 Moraxella catarrhalis, 10 Neisseria spp., and 27 anaerobic oral flora (10 species). Susceptibility tests were performed by reference CLSI methods (M7-A7, 2006) with associated interpretive criteria (M100-S16, 2006). Comparison tetracyclines (four), tigecycline and six other drugs (data not shown) were used. A MSS breakpoint concentration of ≤4 mg/L was applied for comparisons only, that breakpoint most used for other tetracyclines. Strains with documented tet-mechanisms of resistance were also tested.

**Results:** MSS exhibited equal or greater potency (MIC<sub>50</sub>) when compared to other tetracyclines against streptococci (0.03-0.25 mg/L), staphylococci (0.06-0.12), Neisseria (0.06), most Enterobacteriaceae (1-2 mg/L) and some non-fermentative bacilli (*Acinetobacter* spp., MIC<sub>50</sub> 0.5 mg/L). *P.* aeruginosa and enterococci were inhibited by MSS with MIC<sub>90</sub> results at 8-16 mg/L. MSS exhibited cross-resistance with other class agents against strains having tet A-E, K-M, O and S mechanisms. Generally, MSS was less potent than minocycline and tigecycline versus resistant mutants.

	MSS MI	C (mg/L)	% ≤4 mg/L		
Organism (no. tested)	50%	90%	MSS/Tetracycline		
Staphylococci (35)	0.12	0.12	100/100		
E. faecalis (10)	4	16	50/30		
viridans group streptococci (80)	0.06	0.5	96/75		
B-haemolytic streptococci (20)	0.06	2	100/75		
Enterobacteriaceae (30)	1	8	80/77		
P. aeruginosa (10)	4	8	80/0		
Acinetobacter spp. (10)	0.5	4	90/80		

Conclusions: At concentrations topically utilized of this non-absorbed tetracycline (MSS), the vast majority of the tested bacteria were inhibited, with lowest MSS MIC values for S. aureus, various streptococci and other oral flora (MIC<sub>90</sub>,  $\leq$ 2 mg/L).

### INTRODUCTION

Meclocycline sulfosalicylate (SS) is a topically applied tetracycline derivative that has been utilized for acne vulgaris treatment and for its other antimicrobial qualities for over three decades. Tetracycline-class agents also have nonantimicrobial features that can minimize the release of free radicals, reduce expression of cytokines and alter degeneration of vascular/connective tissues. To assess the continuing spectrum of meclocycline SS and older peer drugs (doxycycline, minocycline, oxytetracycline and tetracycline HCI), all were tested against a wide variety of contemporary pathogens and upper airway or oral flora. Reference methods were utilized with commonly applied interpretive breakpoints.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The susceptibility testing methods were reference procedures from the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) documents M7-A7 (2006) and M11-A6 (2004). MIC interpretations followed CLSI M100-S16 (2006), where available. For comparison purposes, ≤4 mg/L was the breakpoint for susceptibility applied to all tetracycline derivatives.

Organisms tested (n = 265) were generally recent clinical isolates or index type strains from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). These included: S. aureus (25; 10 oxacillin-resistant [MRSA]), S. epidermidis (10; 5 oxacillin-resistant), *E. faecalis* (10), viridans group streptococci (80; 8 species), S. pyogenes (10), serogroups C and G B-haemolytic streptococci (10), Enterobacteriaceae (30; 3 species), P. aeruginosa (10), A. baumannii (10), B. cepacia (11), M. catarrhalis (10), Neisseria spp. (10; 5 species) and 27 anaerobic isolates (Tables 1 and 2). Also, a collection of 12 strains of either S. aureus or E. coli having well characterized tetracycline resistance mechanisms were tested (Table 3).

## RESULTS

- Meclocycline SS and minocycline were the most active tetracyclines tested against the staphylococci (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 0.12 mg/L).
- Only 30.0-80.0% of *E. faecalis* were inhibited at ≤4 mg/L of the tetracyclines, best for doxycycline (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 4 mg/L).

- Meclocycline SS was markedly superior to other tetracyclines when tested against streptococci by inhibiting 96.3, 100.0 and 100.0% of viridans group streptococci, group A and groups C/G streptococci, respectively (Table 1).
- Essentially all tetracyclines were comparable to tetracycline HCl against Enterobacteriaceae (MIC<sub>50</sub> ranges, 1-4 mg/L).
- Meclocycline SS had the best activity versus P. aeruginosa (80% inhibited at 4 mg/L) and minocycline was most potent (MIC<sub>90</sub>, 0.5 mg/L) against A. baumannii.
- B. cepacia was refractory to all tetracyclines, but upper airway colonizers/pathogens such as M. catarrhalis and various Neisseria spp. were quite susceptible (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 0.06-0.25

Table 1.	Activity of five recent clinical			_	tested against 226
Organism (no antimicrobial	•	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	Range	% susceptible / resistant
Me Doz Mir Oxy	n-susceptible (15) clocycline SS xycycline nocycline ytetracycline racycline	0.12 0.25 0.12 0.5 0.25	0.12 0.25 0.12 0.5 0.5	0.06-0.12 0.12-0.25 0.12-0.25 0.25-0.5 0.25-0.5	100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0
Me Doz Mir Oxy	n-resistant (10) clocycline SS xycycline nocycline ytetracycline racycline	0.12 0.25 0.12 0.5 0.5	0.12 0.25 0.12 0.5 0.5	0.06-0.12 0.12-0.25 0.12-0.25 0.25-1 0.25-1	100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0
Doz Mir Oxy	s (10) clocycline SS xycycline nocycline ytetracycline racycline	0.12 0.5 0.12 0.5 0.5	0.25 2 0.5 4 2	0.06-0.25 0.25-2 0.12-0.5 0.5-4 0.25-2	100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0
Doz Mir Oxy	o)) clocycline SS xycycline nocycline ytetracycline racycline	4 4 8 >16 >16	16 8 8 >16 >16	0.06-32 0.12-8 0.12->8 0.25->16 0.25->16	50.0 / 20.0 80.0 / 0.0 40.0 / 10.0 30.0 / 60.0 30.0 / 70.0
Me Do: Mir Ox:	p streptococci (80) <sup>b</sup> clocycline SS xycycline nocycline ytetracycline racycline	0.06 0.25 0.12 0.5 0.5	0.5 2 2 8 16	≤0.002-16 ≤0.06->8 ≤0.06->8 ≤0.12->16 ≤0.12->16	96.3 / 1.3 85.0 / 8.8 87.5 / 6.3 76.3 / 17.5 76.3 / 21.3
Doz Mir Oxy	(10) clocycline SS xycycline nocycline ytetracycline racycline	0.03 0.12 0.12 0.25 0.25	0.06 0.12 0.12 0.25 0.25	0.03-2 0.12-8 0.12-8 0.25->16 0.25->16	100.0 / 0.0 90.0 / 0.0 90.0 / 0.0 90.0 / 10.0 90.0 / 10.0
Me Do: Mir Oxy	streptococci other (1 clocycline SS xycycline nocycline ytetracycline racycline	0)° 0.12 0.25 0.12 2 4	4 8 >8 >16 >16	0.06-4 0.12->8 0.12->8 0.25->16 0.25->16	100.0 / 0.0 60.0 / 10.0 70.0 / 30.0 60.0 / 40.0 60.0 / 40.0
Doz Mir Oxy	clocycline SS xycycline nocycline ytetracycline racycline	1 4 2 2 4	>64 >8 8 >16 >16	0.25->64 1->8 0.5-8 1->16 1->16	70.0 / 30.0 50.0 / 20.0 70.0 / 0.0 70.0 / 30.0 70.0 / 30.0
Doz Mir Oxy	cloacae (10) clocycline SS xycycline nocycline ytetracycline racycline	2 4 4 2 2	4 >8 >8 16 16	1-8 2->8 4->8 2-16 2-16	90.0 / 0.0 70.0 / 20.0 70.0 / 20.0 80.0 / 20.0 80.0 / 20.0
Doz Mir Oxy	ae (10) clocycline SS xycycline nocycline ytetracycline racycline	1 2 4 1 2	8 >8 >8 16 16	0.5->64 1->8 1->8 1->16 1->16	80.0 / 10.0 80.0 / 20.0 80.0 / 20.0 80.0 / 20.0 80.0 / 20.0
Doz Mir Oxy	(10) clocycline SS xycycline nocycline ytetracycline racycline	4 >8 8 8 16	8 >8 >8 8 16	2-8 8->8 4->8 4-8 8-16	80.0 / 0.0 0.0 / 90.0 10.0 / 20.0 20.0 / 0.0 0.0 / 80.0
Doz Mir Oxy	(10) clocycline SS xycycline nocycline ytetracycline racycline	0.5 0.12 0.12 2 1	4 2 0.5 16 16	0.12-32 ≤0.06-2 ≤0.06-2 0.5->16 0.5->16	90.0 / 10.0 100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 80.0 / 20.0 80.0 / 20.0
Doz Mir Oxy	1) clocycline SS xycycline nocycline ytetracycline racycline	>64 4 4 >16 >16	>64 >8 >8 >16 >16	2->64 0.5->8 0.25->8 16->16 16->16	18.2 / 71.8 54.5 / 45.5 63.6 / 27.3 0.0 / 100.0 0.0 / 100.0
Dox Mir Oxy Tet	clocycline SS xycycline nocycline ytetracycline racycline	0.06 0.12 0.12 0.25 0.25	0.12 0.12 0.12 0.5 0.5	0.03-0.12 ≤0.06-0.25 ≤0.06-0.12 ≤0.12-0.5 ≤0.12-0.5	100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0
Do: Mir Oxy Tet	clocycline SS xycycline nocycline ytetracycline racycline	0.06 0.25 0.12 0.25 0.25	0.5 1 0.5 0.5 0.5	0.03-1 0.12-1 0.12-1 0.25-2 0.25-4	100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0 100.0 / 0.0
comparison b. Includes ei	published by the CLSI [2006 n purposes only. ght different species. erogroups C and G.	, where available. A	Dieaκρυπι σι ≤4 ľ	g, ∟ ior susceptibility	was applied to all tetracyclines for

- Anaerobes (Table 2) were very susceptible to meclocycline SS with 23 of 27 strains inhibited at  $\leq$ 0.25 mg/L (Table 2).
- Table 3 shows the variable effects of tet resistance mechanisms on the MICs of five tetracyclines and a glycylcycline, tigecycline.

	MIC population distribution of four tetracyclines tested against 27 anaerobic isolates.												
	Occurrences at each MIC (mg/L):												
Antimicrobial Agent	≤0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	≥64
Meclocycline SS	7	2	5	7	2	-	1	-	1	1	-	1	-
Doxycycline	-	-	1	5	9	4	4	1	1	-	-	2	-
Minocycline	1	1	3	10	1	6	1	-	1	1	1	1	-
Tetracycline	NT	NT	2	5	2	14	-	-	-	-	-	3	1

Table 3.	<b>Table 3.</b> Listing of tetracycline and glycylcycline class agent MIC results tested against <i>S. aureus</i> (5) and <i>E. coli</i> (7) strains with characterized tetracycline resistance mechanisms.										
		MIC (mg/L):									
Organism (tet mechanism	Meclocycline SS )	Tetracycline	Doxycycline	Minocycline	Oxytetracycline	Tigecycline					
E. coli (A)	>64	>16	8	2	>16	0.12					
E. coli (B)	>64	>16	>8	8	>16	0.12					
E. coli (C)	>64	>16	>8	4	>16	0.25					
E. coli (D)	>64	>16	8	4	>16	0.12					
E. coli (E)	>64	>16	>8	2	>16	0.25					
E. coli (O)	0.25	1	1	0.5	1	0.06					
E. coli (S)	0.25	1	1	0.5	1	0.06					
S. aureus (K)	16	>16	2	0.25	>16	0.12					
S. aureus (K)	32	>16	2	0.25	>16	0.25					
S. aureus (L)	64	>16	8	0.25	>16	0.25					
S. aureus (M)	8	>16	8	4	>16	0.12					
S. aureus (M)	8	>16	8	8	>16	0.12					

#### CONCLUSIONS

- Compared with currently available tetracycline-class agents at the CLSI breakpoint of ≤4 mg/L, meclocycline SS showed the best activity against staphylococci (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 0.06-0.12 mg/L), streptococci (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 0.03-0.25 mg/L), *M.* catarrhalis (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 0.06 mg/L), anaerobes (MIC<sub>50</sub>, 0.06 mg/L), and *Neisseria* spp.  $(MIC_{50}, 0.06 \text{ mg/L}).$
- By testing strains possessing tet mechanisms of resistance, many strains considered refractory to the antimicrobial action of other tetracyclines would also be resistant to meclocycline SS.
- Meclocycline SS, among the compared tetracycline derivatives tested, appears to have a balance of potency and breadth of spectrum comparable or superior to drugs in its class, and appears appropriate for use against pathogens associated with oral mucositis by virtue of its anti-inflammatory as well as antimicrobial qualities.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are thankful to the following individuals for the contribution of the bacterial strains used in the anaerobe component of this protocol: R. Darveau and P. Braham (University of Washington Department of Pediatrics); D. Drake and B. Olson (University of Iowa Department of Endodontics); D. Snydman and L. McDermott (Tufts University School of Medicine); and P. Bradford (Wyeth Pharmaceuticals).

# REFERENCES

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. (2006). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, 16th informational supplement M100-S16. Wayne, PA: CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. (2006). Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically, 7th ed. Approved Standard M7-A7. Wayne, PA: CLSI, 2006.