IDWeek 2014 811

Amended Abstract

Background: Ceftaroline fosamil (CPT) is a broadspectrum cephalosporin prodrug with potent in vitro activity against pathogens causing communityacquired (CA) respiratory tract infections (RTI), including ceftriaxone (CRO)-resistant (R) Streptococcus pneumoniae (SPN) and methicillin-R Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA). We evaluated the potency and spectrum of CPT and several comparators tested against CA-RTI pathogens.

Methods: 4,533 unique patient isolates were collected from 149 USA medical centers during 2013. The collection includes 3,099 S. pneumoniae (SPN; 6.5% CRO-non-S), 931 Haemophilus influenzae (HI; 23.8% β-lactamase-positive [BL+]), 99 *H. parainfluenzae* (HPAR) and 404 Moraxella catarrhalis (MCAT). Susceptibility (S) was tested by CLSI broth microdilution methods against CPT and other antimicrobials used to treat CA-RTI.

Results: CPT (MIC_{50/90}, ≤0.015/0.12 µg/mL was eightfold more potent than CRO (MIC_{50/90}, ≤0.06/1 µg/mL) against SPN, and highly active against CRO-non-S SPN strains (n=201; MIC_{90} , 0.25 µg/mL). S rates for SPN were 92.8% for penicillin (MIC, ≤2 µg/mL), 88.5% for amoxicillin/clavulanate, 56.0% for erythromycin, 77.0% for tetracycline and 98.8% for levofloxacin (LEV). CPT was very active against HI (MIC_{50/90}, 0.008/0.015 µg/mL), including BL+ strains (CPT $MIC_{50/90}$, 0.015/0.03 µg/mL). S rates for clarithromycin, azithromycin and LEV among HI were 90.3, 99.1 and 99.8%, respectively. CPT was also active against HPAR (MIC_{50/90}, 0.008/0.03 µg/mL) and MCAT (MIC_{50/90}, 0.06/0.12 µg/mL; see Table 1).

Conclusion: CPT exhibited high activity against bacterial pathogens from CA-RTI recently (2013) collected from 149 USA medical centers; all organisms (100.0%) were CPT-S. CPT was particularly active against CRO-non-S SPN. Based on these results, CPT represents a valuable agent for treatment of CA-RTI, including multidrug-R isolates.

Introduction

Community-acquired (CA) respiratory tract infections (RTI) may be caused by bacteria resistant to some or many available therapies and the choice of the appropriate initial empiric therapy is important to minimize morbidity and mortality. In spite of the increasing concern over the emergence of antimicrobial resistance, there have been relatively few new antibacterial agents licensed for the treatment of RTI in the United States (USA) and/or Europe.

Ceftaroline is a cephalosporin with broad-spectrum in vitro bactericidal activity against gram-positive and common gram-negative pathogens causing CA-RTI, including oxacillin (methicillin)-susceptible (MSSA) and resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), multidrug-resistant (MDR) Streptococcus pneumoniae and β-lactamase-producing Haemophilus influenzae. The prodrug, ceftaroline fosamil, was approved by the USA Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of communityacquired bacterial pneumonia (CABP) and acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections (ABSSSI) in 2010.

The <u>Assessing Worldwide</u> <u>Antimicrobial</u> <u>Resistance</u> <u>Evaluation</u> (AWARE) Program monitors the activity of ceftaroline and comparator agents tested against pathogens causing either community-acquired or health-care associated infections worldwide. As part of the USA AWARE Program, we evaluated the potency and spectrum ceftaroline and several comparators tested against CA-RTI pathogens collected from USA medical centers in 2013.

Methods

Organism collection: A total of 4,533 unique patient isolates were collected from patients with CA-RTI in 149 USA medical centers in 2013. The collection included 3,099 S. pneumoniae (6.5% ceftriaxone-nonsusceptible), 931 *Haemophilus influenzae* (23.8% β-lactamase-positive), 99 H. parainfluenzae and 404 Moraxella catarrhalis. All medical centers collected the strains following a common protocol and only isolates determined to be significant by local criteria as the reported probable cause of the infection were included in this investigation. Species identification was performed at the participant medical center and confirmed at the monitoring laboratory (JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA) using the Vitek 2 System (bioMerieux, Hazelwood, Missouri, USA) or MALDI-TOF (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany), when necessary.

<u>Susceptibility methods</u>: Broth microdilution tests conducted according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) documents determined antimicrobial susceptibility of ceftaroline and numerous comparator antimicrobials used to treat CA-RTI. Validated MIC panels were manufactured by ThermoFisher Scientific[®] (Cleveland, Ohio, USA). Moraxella catarrhalis strains were tested in cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth (CA-MHB), S. pneumoniae isolates were tested in CA-MHB supplemented with 2.5-5% lysed horse blood, and *Haemophilus* spp. strains were tested in Haemophilus Test Medium (HTM) according to CLSI document M07-A9 (2012). Quality control (QC) strains included: S. aureus ATCC 29213, S. pneumoniae ATCC 49619 and H. influenzae 49247. Susceptibility percentages and validation of QC results were based on the CLSI guidelines (M100-S24).

Antimicrobial Activity of Ceftaroline Tested Against Respiratory Tract Infection Pathogens Isolated from USA Medical Centers in 2013 HS SADER, DJ FARRELL, RK FLAMM, RN JONES JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA

Results

- Ceftaroline (MIC_{50/90}, ≤0.015/0.12 µg/mL; 100.0%) susceptible) was eight-fold more potent than ceftriaxone (MIC_{50/90}, ≤0.06/1 μg/mL; 93.5% susceptible; Tables 1 and 2 and Figure 1) against S. pneumoniae, and highly active against ceftriaxone-nonsusceptible S. pneumoniae strains (n=201; MIC_{50/90}, 0.12/0.25 µg/mL; highest MIC, 0.5 µg/mL; Table 1).
- Ceftaroline was also very active against penicillin-nonsusceptible S. pneumoniae (penicillin MIC of >2 µg/mL) strains (n=222), with MIC₅₀ of 0.12 μ g/mL and MIC₉₀ of 0.25 µg/mL (100.0% susceptible); whereas only 22.5% (50/222) of these strains were susceptible to ceftriaxone (Table 1 and Figure 2).
- Susceptibility rates (CLSI criteria) for S. pneumoniae were 92.8% for penicillin (MIC, $\leq 2 \mu g/mL$), 88.5% for amoxicillin/clavulanate, 81.6% for meropenem, 56.0% for erythromycin, 77.0% for tetracycline and 98.8% for levofloxacin (Table 2).
- Ceftaroline exhibited potent activity against *H*. *influenzae* (MIC_{50/90}, 0.008/0.015 µg/mL; 100.0% susceptible). The highest ceftaroline MIC value among *H. influenzae* was 0.25 µg/mL (one isolate, 0.1%), and 99.7% of isolates were inhibited at ≤0.06 µg/mL of ceftaroline (Table 1 and Figure 3).
- Among *H. influenzae*, 23.8% of isolates were βlactamase producers and ceftaroline was very active against these strains (MIC_{50/90}, 0.015/0.03 µg/mL; Table 1 and Figure 3). Susceptibility rates for clarithromycin (MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀, 8 μ g/mL), azithromycin (MIC_{50/90}, 1/2 µg/mL) and levofloxacin (MIC₅₀ and MIC₉₀, ≤0.12 µg/mL) among *H. influenzae* were 90.3, 99.1 and 99.8%, respectively (Table 2).
- Ceftaroline was also highly active against H. parainfluenzae (MIC_{50/90}, 0.008/0.03 μ g/mL) and M. catarrhalis (MIC_{50/90}, 0.06/0.12 μ g/mL; Tables 1 and 2).

Table 2. Activity of ceftaroline and comparator antimicrobial agents when tested against isolates of S. pneumoniae and H.

millenzae from CA-R II (USA, 2013)												
		MIC (µg/	/mL)	%S/I/R ^a								
Organism (no. tested) / Antimicrobial agent	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	Range	CLSIª %S / %I / %R	EUCAST ^a %S / %I / %R							
S. pneumoniae (3,099)												
Ceftaroline ^b	≤0.015	0.12	≤0.015 – 0.5	100.0 / - / -	99.6 / 0.0 / 0.4							
Ceftriaxone	≤0.06	1	≤0.06 – 8	93.5 / 6.0 / 0.5	81.9 / 17.6 / 0.5							
Penicillin ^c	≤0.06	2	≤0.06 – 8	92.8 / 6.8 / 0.4	- / -							
Penicillin ^d	≤0.06	2	≤0.06 – 8	60.7 / 24.9 / 14.5	60.7 / 32.0 / 7.2							
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	≤1	4	≤1−>8	88.5 / 3.7 / 7.8	-/-/-							
Meropenem	≤0.06	0.5	≤0.06 – 2	81.6 / 11.2 / 7.2	100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0							
Erythromycin	≤0.12	>16	≤0.12−>16	56.0 / 0.8 / 43.2	56.0/0.8/43.2							
Clindamycin	≤0.25	>2	≤0.25−>2	83.6 / 0.5 / 15.9	84.1 / 0.0 / 15.9							
Levofloxacin	1	1	≤0.12−>4	98.8 / 0.3 / 0.9	98.8/0.0/1.2							
Linezolid	1	1	≤0.12 – 2	100.0 / - / -	100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0							
Tetracycline	0.25	32	≤0.03 ->32	77.0 / 0.2 / 22.8	77.0/0.2/22.8							
TMP/SMX ^e	≤0.5	>4	≤0.5−>4	70.1 / 12.5 / 17.4	77.3/5.3/17.4							
Vancomycin	0.25	0.5	≤0.12 – 0.5	100.0 / - / -	100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0							
H. influenzae (931)												
Ceftaroline ^b	0.008	0.015	≤0.001 – 0.25	100.0 / - / -	98.3/0.0/1.7							
Ceftriaxone	≤0.06	≤0.06	≤0.06 – 0.12	100.0 / - / -	100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0							
Ampicillin	≤0.25	>8	≤0.25 – >8	75.8 / 1.1 / 23.1	75.8/0.0/24.2							
Amoxicillin/clavulanate	≤1	2	≤1 – 4	100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0	99.8 / 0.0 / 0.2							
Piperacillin/tazobactam	≤0.5	≤0.5	≤0.5	100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0	-/-/-							
Meropenem	≤0.06	0.12	≤0.06 – 0.25	100.0 / - / -	100.0 / 0.0 / 0.0							
Tetracycline	0.5	0.5	≤0.12 – >16	99.1 / 0.2 / 0.8	99.1 / 0.0 / 0.9							
TMP/SMX ^e	≤0.5	>4	≤0.5−>4	67.7 / 4.1 / 28.2	67.7 / 1.8 / 30.5							
Azithromycin	1	2	≤0.03−>4	99.1 / - / -	1.6/97.5/0.9							
Clarithromycin	8	8	≤0.12 – >16	90.3 / 8.4 / 1.3	1.7 / 98.3 / 0.0							
Levofloxacin	≤0.12	≤0.12	≤0.12 – >4	99.8 / - / -	99.8 / 0.0 / 0.2							
 a. Criteria as published by the CLSI [2014] and EUCAST [2014]. b. US-FDA breakpoints were applied when available [Teflaro Product Insert, 2012]. c. Criteria as published by the CLSI [2012] for 'Penicillin parenteral non-meningitis' (S ≤2, I= 4, R ≥8 µg/mL). d. Criteria as published by the CLSI [2012] for 'Penicillin oral penicillin V' (S ≤0.06, I =0.12-1, R ≥2 µg/mL). e. TMP/SMX = trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. 												

Table 1. Summary of ceftaroline activity when tested against bacterial isolates from CA-RTI (USA, 2013)

	No. of isolates (cumulative %) inhibited at ceftaroline MIC (μ g/mL) of:									MIC (µg/mL)	
Organism (no. tested)	≤0.004	0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	50%	90%	
S. pneumoniae (3,099)			1,938 (62.5)ª	303 (72.3)	303 (82.1)	443 (96.4)	100 (99.6)	12 (100.0)	≤0.015	0.12	
Ceftriaxone-non-S ^b (201)				1 (0.5)	1 (1)	101 (51.2)	86 (94.0)	12 (100.0)	0.12	0.25	
Penicillin-non-S ^c (222)					2 (0.9)	124 (56.8)	84 (94.6)	12 (100.0)	0.12	0.25	
H. influenzae (931)	116 (12.5)	465 (62.4)	259 (90.2)	75 (98.3)	13 (99.7)	2 (99.9)	1 (100.0)		0.008	0.015	
β-lactamase-positive (222)	10 (4.5)	82 (41.4)	80 (77.5)	38 (94.6)	9 (98.6)	2 (99.5)	1 (100.0)		0.15	0.03	
H. parainfluenzae (99)	19 (22.2)	36 (58.6)	19 (87.9)	7 (94.9)	2 (97.0)	2 (99.0)	0 (99.0)	1 (100.0)	0.008	0.03	
M. catarrhalis (404)		17 (4.2)	24 (10.1)	95 (33.7)	132 (66.3)	101 (91.3)	32 (99.3)	3 (100.0)	0.06	0.12	

a. Lowest dilution tested for S. pneumoniae.

b. Ceftriaxone MIC values of >1 µg/mL (CLSI, 2014).

c. Penicillin MIC values of >2 µg/mL (CLSI, 2014)

Figure 1. Ceftaroline MIC distributions when tested against S. pneumoniae (n=3,099), including penicillin-susceptible (PEN-S [MIC, $\leq 2 \mu g/mL$], n=2,877) and –non-susceptible (PEN-NS [MIC, >2 µg/mL], n=222)



Figure 2. Ceftaroline and ceftriaxone MIC distributions when tested against penicillin-non-susceptible (MIC, >2 µg/mL) S. pneumoniae (n=222)



Figure 3. Ceftaroline MIC distributions when tested against *H*. *influenzae* (n=931, 709 β -lactamase-negative and 222 β lactamase-positive strains)



Helio S. Sader, MD, PhD **JMI** Laboratories North Liberty, IA, USA www.jmilabs.com ph. 319.665.3370 fax 319.665.3371 helio-sader@jmilabs.com

Conclusions

- Ceftaroline exhibited potent activity against bacterial pathogens from CA-RTI recently (2013) collected from 149 USA medical centers. All organisms (100.0%) were susceptible to ceftaroline.
- Ceftaroline was particularly active against ceftriaxone-non-susceptible S. pneumoniae.
- Based on these results, ceftaroline represents a valuable agent for treatment of CA-RTI, including MDR strains.

References

- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2012). M07-A9. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard: ninth edition. Wayne, PA: CLSI.
- 2. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2014). M100-S24. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 24th informational supplement. Wayne, PA: CLSI.
- 3. File TM, Jr., Low DE, Eckburg PB, Talbot GH, Friedland HD, Lee J, Llorens L, Critchley IA, Thye DA (2011). FOCUS 1: A randomized, double-blinded, multicentre, Phase III trial of the efficacy and safety of ceftaroline fosamil versus ceftriaxone in community-acquired pneumonia. J Antimicrob Chemother 66 Suppl 3: iii19-iii32.
- 4. Flamm RK, Sader HS, Farrell DJ, Jones RN (2014). Antimicrobial activity of ceftaroline tested against drug resistant subsets of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* from United States medical centers. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58: 2468-2471.
- 5. Flamm RK, Sader HS, Jones RN (2014). Ceftaroline activity against organisms isolated from respiratory tract infections in USA hospitals: Results from the AWARE Program, 2009-2011. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 78: 437-442.
- 6. Low DE, File TM, Jr., Eckburg PB, Talbot GH, Friedland HD, Lee J, Llorens L, Critchley IA, Thye DA (2011). FOCUS 2: a randomized, double-blinded, multicentre, Phase III trial of the efficacy and safety of ceftaroline fosamil versus ceftriaxone in community-acquired pneumonia. J Antimicrob Chemother 66 Suppl 3: iii33-iii44.
- Teflaro® Package Insert (2012). Available at http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2013/200 <u>327s009lbl.pdf</u>. Accessed July 2014.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by Cerexa, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Forest Laboratories, LLC. Forest Laboratories, LLC, was involved in the design and decision to present these results and JMI Laboratories received compensation fees for services in relation to preparing the abstract/poster, which was funded by the sponsor. Forest Laboratories, LLC, had no involvement in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data.