Antimicrobial Activity of Ceftazidime-Avibactam and Comparator Agents Tested against Gram-Negative Organisms Isolated from Patients with Bloodstream Infections in United States Medical Centers (2017–2018)

IDWeek 2019 Poster #129

INTRODUCTION

- The etiology of bloodstream infections (BSIs) has changed substantially in the last 2 decades due to an increase of gram-negative pathogens outnumbering gram-positive pathogens
- Recently, the most significant changes have been the antimicrobial resistance patterns, especially among gramnegative organisms recovered from BSIs
- The emergence and spread of multidrug-resistant (MDR) gram-negative organisms triggered the development of a series of β-lactamase inhibitor combinations
- Ceftazidime-avibactam is approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) to treat hospitalacquired bacterial pneumonia, including ventilator-associated bacterial pneumonia, complicated intra-abdominal infections in combination with metronidazole, and complicated urinary tract infections, including pyelonephritis
- Herein, we evaluated the antimicrobial susceptibility of *Enterobacterales* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* causing BSI in United States (US) medical centers, focusing on the new β-lactamase inhibitor combinations ceftazidimeavibactam and ceftolozane-tazobactam

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial isolates

- A total of 3,317 *Enterobacterales* and 331 *P. aeruginosa* isolates were consecutively collected (1/patient) from patients with BSI in 68 US medical centers in 2017-2018
- Only isolates determined to be significant by local criteria as the reported probable cause of infection were included in the program

Resistant subsets

- Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales (CRE) isolates were defined as displaying imipenem, meropenem, and/or doripenem MIC values at ≥4 mg/L (CLSI, 2019)
- Imipenem was not applied to Proteus mirabilis or indole-positive Proteeae due to the intrinsically elevated MIC values
- Multidrug-resistant (MDR) Enterobacterales strains were classified according to recommended guidelines (Magiorakos et al., 2012) as follows:
- MDR = nonsusceptible (CLSI breakpoints) to at least 3 antimicrobial classes

Susceptibility testing

- All isolates were tested for susceptibility as part of the INFORM program by broth microdilution method according to CLSI
- Avibactam was provided by Allergan (Irvine, California, USA) and combined with ceftazidime (avibactam at fixed concentration of 4 mg/L) for susceptibility testing
- Ceftolozane stock solution was obtained from ThermoFisher Scientific (Cleveland, Ohio, USA) and combined with tazobactam (acquired from United States Pharmacopeia [USP]) at fixed concentration of 4 mg/L for susceptibility testing
- All other compounds were obtained from USP or Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Missouri, USA)

Screening for β-lactamase-encoding genes

• Enterobacterales isolates displaying MIC values $\geq 2 \text{ mg/L}$ for at least 2 β -lactams (ie, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, aztreonam, or cefepime) and all CRE isolates were tested for β-lactamase-encoding genes using next-generation sequencing

RESULTS

- The most common *Enterobacterales* species isolated from BSI were *Escherichia coli* (41.9% of all Enterobacterales), Klebsiella pneumoniae (24.4%), and Enterobacter cloacae species complex (8.7%)
- Ceftazidime-avibactam MIC distributions against *Enterobacterales* and resistant subsets are presented in Figure 1
- The most active agents against *Enterobacterales* were ceftazidime-avibactam (99.9% susceptible), amikacin (99.6% susceptible), and meropenem (99.3% susceptible; Table 1)
- Ceftazidime-avibactam was active against all *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates (100.0% susceptible; Figure 2)
- Among *Enterobacterales*, only 2 *E. cloacae* species complex isolates (0.06%) were ceftazidime-avibactam resistant, which were both NDM-1-p isolated from patients in the New York City area from different medical centers

Cecilia G. Carvalhaes, Mariana Castanheira, Rodrigo E. Mendes, Helio S. Sader

JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA

- Ceftolozane-tazobactam and piperacillin-tazobactam showed good activity against *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* (92.2–98.9% susceptible; Figure 2), with limited activity against *E. cloacae* species complex (81.9–83.7% susceptible)
- The most common extended-spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) genes were CTX-M-type, which was observed in 93% of ESBL producers (mainly CTX-M-15 [64% of ESBL producers] and CTX-M-27 [13%]), and OXA-1/OXA-30 (42%; Figure 3
- Isolates displaying ≥2 ESBL genes were observed in 42% of ESBL producers (n=333, excluding carbapenemase producers); mainly CTX-M-15 and OXA-1/OXA-30 (40% of ESBL producers)
- The most active agents against ESBL producers were ceftazidime-avibactam (100.0% susceptible), colistin (99.1% susceptible), tigecycline (97.9% susceptible), meropenem (97.6% susceptible), and amikacin (97.6% susceptible; Table 1)
- Only ceftazidime-avibactam (99.4% susceptible), amikacin (96.2% susceptible), and meropenem (92.8% susceptible) were active against >90% of MDR *Enterobacterales* (Table 1)
- Among 19 CRE (0.6% of *Enterobacterales*), 11 produced a KPC-like, 2 an NDM-1, and 2 an NMC-A; carbapenemase genes were not found in 4 CRE isolates (Table 2)
- Colistin (100.0% susceptible), ceftazidime-avibactam (98.5% susceptible), amikacin (98.5% susceptible), ceftolozane-tazobactam (98.1% susceptible), and tobramycin (97.0% susceptible) were very active against P. aeruginosa (Table 1 and Figure 2)

Figure 1. Ceftazidime-avibactam MIC distribution of *Enterobacterales* isolated from bloodstream infection in US medical centers (INFORM program, 2017–2018)



Abbreviations: ESBL, extended-spectrum β-lactamases (excluding carbapenemase producing strains); MDR, multidrug-resistant; CRE, carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales; S, susceptible; R, resistant. Breakpoint criteria as published by CLSI, 2019.

Figure 2. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* and *Enterobacterales* isolated from bloodstream infections in US medical centers (INFORM Program, 2017)



Table 1. Antimicrobial activity of ceftazidime-avibactam and comparator agents tested against *Enterobacterales* and *P. aeruginosa* isolated from bloodstream infection (INFORM) program, 2017–2018)

Organism/organism group (no. of isolates)			CLSI ^a		
Antimicrobial agent	wic ₅₀ (mg/L)	MIC_{90} (mg/L) -	%S	%R	
Enterobacterales (3,317)					
Ceftazidime-avibactam	0.12	0.25	99.9	0.1	
Ceftolozane-tazobactam	0.25	1	96.2	3.0	
Piperacillin-tazobactam	2	8	93.5	3.4	
Ceftazidime	0.25	16	87.2	11.3	
Ceftriaxone	≤0.06	>8	83.7	15.4	
Cefepime	≤0.12	4	89.2	8.7	
Meropenem	0.03	0.06	99.3	0.5	
Levofloxacin	0.06	16	77.5	20.5	
Gentamicin	0.5	4	90.1	9.1	
Amikacin	2	4	99.6	0.1	
Tigecycline ^b	0.25	1	95.4	0.6	
Colistin ^c	0.12	>8	84.2°		
ESBL-producing non-CRE isolates (333) ^d					
Ceftazidime-avibactam	0.12	0.5	100.0	0.0	
Ceftolozane-tazobactam	0.5	2	90.6	6.8	
Piperacillin-tazobactam	4	64	80.2	8.4	
Ceftriaxone	>8	>8	0.3	99.4	
Ceftazidime	16	>32	18.3	70.6	
Cefepime	>16	>16	6.6	79.6	
Meropenem	0.03	0.06	97.6	1.2	
Levofloxacin	8	>16	19.5	76.0	
Gentamicin	1	>16	55.3	42.6	
Amikacin	4	8	97.6	0.9	
Tigecycline ^b	0.25	1	97.9	0.0	
Colistin ^c	0.12	0.25	99.1 ^c		
MDR isolates (319)					
Ceftazidime-avibactam	0.25	1	99.4	0.6	
Ceftolozane-tazobactam	0.5	>16	78.1	16.2	
Piperacillin-tazobactam	8	>128	64.6	18.5	
Ceftriaxone	>8	>8	24.5	72.1	
Ceftazidime	16	>32	33.2	59.9	
Cefepime	16	>16	37.9	55.8	
Meropenem	0.06	0.25	92.8	5.3	
Levofloxacin	8	>16	13.8	78.9	
Gentamicin	>16	>16	37.6	56.1	
Amikacin	4	8	96.2	1.3	
Tigecycline ^b	0.5	4	75.9	4.7	
Colistin ^c	0.25	>8	64.5 ^c		
Pseudomonas aeruginosa (331)					
Ceftazidime-avibactam	2	4	98.5	1.5	
Ceftolozane-tazobactam	0.5	2	98.1	0.9	
Piperacillin-tazobactam	4	64	83.4	8.8	
Ceftazidime	2	32	86.4	10.3	
Cefepime	2	16	88.5	3.3	
Meropenem	0.5	4	85.8	9.7	
Levofloxacin	0.5	16	70.4	21.1	
Gentamicin	2	4	90.9	4.2	
Amikacin	4	8	98.5	0.6	
Tobramycin	0.5	1	97.0	2.4	
Colistin	0.5	1	100.0	0.0	
		I			

ESBL, extended-spectrum β -lactamase; MDR, multidrug-resistant.

^a Criteria as published by CLSI 2019. ^b FDA breakpoints accessed February 2019.

^c Percentage of wild type based on epidemiologic cutoff value. CLSI M100 (2019).

^d Organisms include: *Citrobacter freundii* species complex (3), *Enterobacter cloacae* species complex (16), *E. coli* (214), *Klebsiella oxytoca* (2), *K. pneumoniae* (98).

Helio S. Sader, MD, PhD **JMI** Laboratories 345 Beaver Kreek Centre, Suite A North Liberty, IA 52317 Phone: (319) 665-3370 Fax: (319) 665-3371 Email: helio-sader@jmilabs.com

Figure 3. Frequency of ESBL genes detected among ESBL-producing non-CRE isolates recovered from bloodstream infections in US medical centers (INFORM program, 2017–2018)



Table 2. Carbapenemase genes detected among *Enterobacterales* isolated from bloodstream infection in US medical centers (INFORM program, 2017–2018)

Organism Carbanenemase gene	No. of isolates
E. coli	
KPC-2	1
K. pneumoniae	
KPC-2	3
KPC-3	2
Enterobacter cloacae species compl	ex
KPC-2	1
KPC-3	1
KPC-4	1
KPC-6	1
NDM-1	2
NMC-A	2
Raoultella spp.	
KPC-2	1

CONCLUSIONS

- Ceftazidime-avibactam displayed potent activity against contemporary Enterobacterales (n = 3,317) and *P. aeruginosa* (n = 331) isolates from patients with bloodstream infections in US hospitals
- Ceftolozane-tazobactam was less active than ceftazidime-avibactam against Enterobacterales in general and exhibited limited activity against MDR isolates
- Ceftazidime-avibactam and ceftolozane-tazobactam showed similar coverage (% susceptible) against P. aeruginosa (98.5% susceptible vs. 98.1% susceptible)
- Ceftazidime-avibactam is not licensed by the FDA for treating BSIs, but is potentially important in treating infections due to highly resistant Enterobacterales and P. aeruginosa

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank all participants of the International Network for Optimal Resistance Monitoring (INFORM) program for providing bacterial isolates.

This study was supported by Allergan. Allergan was involved in the design and decision to present these results, and JMI Laboratories received compensation for services related to preparing the poster. Allergan had no involvement in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of data.

REFERENCES

- 1. AVYCAZ[®] (2016). AVYCAZ[®] (ceftazidime-avibactam). Allergan USA, Inc. Available at https://www.allergan.com/assets/pdf /avycaz_pi. Accessed January 2017.
- 2. Castanheira M, Mendes RE, Jones RN, Sader HS (2016). Changes in the frequencies of beta-lactamase genes among Enterobacteriaceae isolates in U.S. Hospitals, 2012 to 2014: Activity of ceftazidime-avibactam tested against beta-lactamaseproducing isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60: 4770-4777.
- 3. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2019). M100Ed29E. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 29th informational supplement. Wayne, PA: CLSI.
- 4. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2018). M07Ed11E. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard: eleventh edition. Wayne, PA: CLSI.
- 5. Food and Drug Administration. Antibacterial Susceptibility Test Interpretive Criteria. Tigecycline. Available at: https://www.fda .gov/drugs/development-resources/tigecycline-injection-products
- 6. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, Harbarth S, Hindler JF, Kahlmeter G, Olsson-Liljequist B, Paterson DL, Rice LB, Stelling J, Struelens MJ, Vatopoulos A, Weber JT, Monnet DL (2012). Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrugresistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect 18: 268-281.
- . Sader HS, Castanheira M, Streit JM, Flamm RK. Frequency of occurrence and antimicrobial susceptibility of bacteria isolated from patients hospitalized with bloodstream infections in United States medical centers (2015–2017). Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2019 Jun 11. doi:10.1016/j .diagmicrobio.2019.06.002. [Epub ahead of print]



Visit www.allergancongressposters .com/260951

Allergan.